SEVEN MODERN AMERICAN NOVELISTS The seven essays which appear in this book were first pub-

lished separately in the series of University of Ministeria Pamphiles on American Wister, and together such the other pamphiles in the series are intended as introductions to sufficient the series are intended as introductions to sufficient the series of the series of the series of the pamphiles are series are William Van O'Connor, Allen Tate, Leonard Unger, and Robert Fern Warren Many pamphiles, in addition to the series represented here, ore available, and the University of Ministeria Presidentian Sy

SEVEN MODERN AMERICAN NOVELISTS

An Introduction

EDITED BY WILLIAM VAN G'CONNOR



@ Copyright 1959, 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, 1964 by the University of Minnesots, All rights reserved Originally published by University of Minnesota Press. Municipolis, Municipal, U.S.A.

First published in Irdia 1968

PRINTED BY M & EIRLOSKAR, RINGOSKAR PRESS, WER SAVARKAR NAGAR, POONA 9 AND PUBLISHED BY IS. IL BHATKAL FOR POPULAR PRARADIAN, 35C TARDED ROAD, BOMBAY 31 WIL

Table of Contents

NTRODUCTION, by William Van O'Connor	
DITH WHARTON, by Louis Auchineloss	

WILLIAM FAULKNER, by William Van O'Connor

NATHANAEL WEST, by Stanley Edgar Hyman

ERNEST HEMINGWAY, by Philip Young

THOMAS WOLFE, by C. Hugh Holman

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHIES

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

INDEX

SINCLAIR LEWIS, by Mark Schorer
F SCOTT FITZGERALD, by Charles E. Shain

3

R.

118

153

189

226

265

18t

285



Introduction

WE EXPECT a fiction writer to know his craft, and to help us discover something about the world we did not know before or know in the same way, something we believe to be true and that has relevance to our own attitudes and conduct. We expect a fiction writer to find, as we do in hie, themes submerged in action. He must ponder them and make them live, like a vital electrical force. A theme ought to struggle with resistant, recal eiternti, and partly inclosed matter—and overcome it or almost overcome it. He ought not to know in advance what his subject means. He must discover his theme as the story develops And if, when the book is completed, the theme emerges with perfect clarity probably the writer has hidden some of the evidence, and produced a prece of didaction or propagands

We also expect a strikingly brilliant story—the made-yed captain pursuing the white whale and his own death; John Marcher caught in his dream that fate has something special for him, Hester Prynne, with the luminous A on her bosom, living in the guilt-ridden community between the forest and the ocean; Moll Flanders, corklike, refusing to let her experiences sink her; or Joe Christmas, living in a community that refuses to recognize his humanity, finally confronting Joanna Burden, the embodiment of implacable inhumanity, confronting the threat to his own manhood and his own life.

We expect a story to begin well. The undertow begins, or should begin, on the first page, and pull the reader's feet from beneath him, so that soon he is swimming for dear life in the fictional sea

WILLIAM VAN O'CONNOR

the writer has created Faulkner is good at such beginnings. Lena, at the opening of Light in August, is sitting by the road, watching a wagon coming toward her, and she thinks: "All the way from Alabama a-walking. A fur piece." Hemingway is good at it too. The first page of A Farewell to Arms pictures soldiers walking along the dusty road, with the dust settling on the leaves, and we are told the leaves fell early that autumn. The opening paragraphs tell us, although not in detail, what will happen

In the late summer of that year we lived in a house in a village that looked across the river and the plain to the mountains. In the bed of the river there were pebbles and boulders, dry and white in the sun, and the water was clear and swiftly moving and blue in the channels. Troops went by the house and down the road and the dust they raised powdered the leaves of the trees. The trunks of the trees too were dusty and the leaves fell early that year and we saw the troops marching along the road and the dust rising and leaves, stirred by the breeze, falling and the soldiers marching and afterward the road bare and white except for the leaves

The plain was rich with crops; there were many orchards of fruit trees and beyond the plain the mountains were brown and bare. There was fighting in the mountains and at night we could see the flashes from the artillery. In the dark it wat like summer lightning, but the nights were cool and there was not the feeling of a storm coming. . . .

At the start of the winter came the permanent rain and with the rain came the cholera. But it was checked and in the end only seven thousand died of it in the army.

We expect, but rarely find, characters that live in the memory.

E. M. Forster says plot and story might be set aside in favor of some other units, but one wants characters "to seem alive." He adds that there are characters who live in the mind long after the book is finished, and those that live only on the page, as one reads, but die once the book is closed. Probably most of Edith Wharton's characters die after the book is closed, but some of these are "alive" and engaging as one seads.

Each memorable character represents a principle, a force, a condition, an essence. The movement of such characters through a story seems inevitable; there is something mysterious, sample, and fateful about them. Lesser characters live thanks to the situation in which they are involved, the verbal skill of the author, or the reader's curiosity about what happened? Perhaps Nathanael West's characters belong in this category. One ought also to be able to "hear" a character, as well as "see"

him. Probably Jay Gatsby in his garish clothes would be less memorable if we did not hear him say "Old Sport":

I went in - after making every possible noise in the kitchen, short of pushing over the stove - but I don't believe they heard a sound. They were sitting at either end of the couch, looking at each other as if some question had been asked, or was in the air, and every vestige of embarrassment was gone. Daisy's face was smeared with tears, and when I came in she jumped up and began wiping at it with her handkerchief before a mirror. But there was a change in Gatsby that was simply confounding. He literally glowed; without a word or a gesture of exultation a new wellbeing radiated from him and filled the little room.

"Oh, hello old sport," he said, as if he hadn't seen me for years I thought for a moment be was going to shake hands,

"It's stopped raining "

"Has it?" When he realized what I was talking about, that there were twinkle-bells of sunshine in the room, he smiled like a weather man, like an ecstatie patron of recurrent light, and re-peated the news to Daisy. "What do you think of that? It's stopped raining."

"I'm glad, Jay." Her throat, full of aching, grieving beauty, told

only of her unexpected joy.

"I want you and Daisy to come over to my house," he said, "I'd like to show her around."

"You're sure you want me to come?"

"Absolutely, old sport,"

Some authors - Thomas Wolfe and Hemingway are writers in point - use essentially the same protagonists, their own alter egos, and feel other characters only in relation to the protagonists. Therefore they tend to write pretty much the same story in novel after novel. Others, William Faulkner, for example, can cteate a diversified community of characters, each seeming to live individually and not merely in his relationship to the protagonist.

A truly memorable character has, as Henry James said, been vividly rendered - but the external appearance must be in keeping

WILLIAM VAN O'CONNOR with his psychic identity, as it is for example, in Dickens' Joe Gar-

thathmic sween.

felt" and flow invide the action, as in an electric field. What they are und the way they appear affects Pip's feelings and actions, and the plot's development. What each is becomes, finally, a part of Pip, forming him for the rest of his life. James created such a character in Miss Bordereau, the "Julana" of The Alpern Paper He evokes the old lady physical presence, set against a chill sense of the past. When we learn in the next chapter that this ancient crone, almost a living corpus, is greedy and has a quick, multifour, and cynical mind, and when we hear her Jugh, we realize that James has created a memorable character. Thanks to her, the

Future in that is the story becomes filled with excitement and surpense. The visiting writer and the sweet, generous, but unattrative nices are soon caught in a downward movement, from which there is no escape. She sets the action in motion, like a strong wind catching a heavy canvas sail, and constitutes to the story list

gery. Magwitch the convict, and Mr. Jaggers; they are "seen" and

back rolling for a white instant. "Gret God," he said, "Hinsh Hush! Gret God!" He whiled again and struck Queenie with the switch. It broke and he cast it away and with Ben's voice mounting toward its unbelievable crestendo Luster caught up the end of the reins and leaned forward as Jason came jumping across the squire and onto the step.

With a backhanded blow he hurled Laster asde and caught the reins and sawed Queenie about and doubled the reins back and stashed her across the hips. He cut her again and again, into a plunging gallop, while Ben's hoarse agony roared about them, and swung her about to the right of the monument. Then he struck Luster over the head with his fict.

"Dont you know any better than to take him to the left?" he said. He reached back and struck Ben, breaking the flower stalk again, "Shut upi" he said, "Shut upi" He jerked Queenie back and jumped down. "Get to hell on home with him If you ever cross that gate with him again, TI kill you!"

"Yes, suh!" Luster said He took the reins and hit Queenie with

the end of them "Git upl Git up, darl Benny, for God's sakel" Ben's voice roared and roared, Queenic moved again, her feet began to clop-clop steadily again, and at once Ben hushed. Lutger looked quickly back over his shoulder, then he drove on. The broken flower drooped over Ben's fist and his eyes were empty and blue and serene again as cornice and facade flowed smoothly once more from left to right; post and tree, window and doorway, and signboard, each in it ordered place.

The fiction writer knows he is a friend of the genie. The genie makes a gesture — and smoke rives from the earth. One looks more closely, and sees a tight lipped young man entering an all night bar in Spain. A waiter, wiping a tableton, looks up, and smiter of the wear of the sent and blows the cloud away. Another cloud, and again we peer intently. We see a decked young man with light red hair, he is wearing a striped into the has good but eyes, and thick sensual lips. He is sitting in a shabby hotel lobby. He is a drummer. It is a November day wearing a long skirt emerges. She is obviously poor, and probably has come to Chicago to find work. The drummer intends to make advances. Alp, we say, Theodore Dreiser.

Each fictional world is different, and recognizable. Book to book

WILLIAM VAN O'CONNOR

the characters change, and the setting changes – but the individual vision usually remains constant. Plus pa change, plus Cett la même chore. It is up to Edich Wharmon, Sinclair Lewis, F. Scort Finger ald, William Faulkner, Ernest Hemingway, Thomas Wolfe, or Nathanael West to cause us to believe in and to respond to the worlds they have created.

We also expect a fiction writer to master time, to properly deteribe the color of the sky, the weather and time of day, the stams on an old walnut table, street lighting, carriages, hairsyles, and tepins, Simultaneously, he has to give his story another dismension – the chronological time of the given action and a universal time, recognizable in 1979, 1832, or 1960. The achievement of universal time in a story is the ultimate test of x writer's lasting power, One can say with some assurance that the early Fitzeriad stories are period pieces, and will eventually interest only the speciallit and the cultural historian. Possibly this will be the fate of all, or most, of the novels of Sinclair Lewis. Probably this will not be the fate of Willa Cather's The Professor's House or My Mortal Essens.

We ought to ask of American novelists neither more nor less than we ask of European novelists—that they be judged against the great writers who preceded them, a Jane Austen, a Charles Dukens, a Herman Melville. a Nathaniel Hawthorn

Ever since Henry James and Ford Madox Ford were Issuing manifestor, much has been made of "technique," of "rendering," of "impressionism," of "point-of-view." These concepts made it existe to talk about fiction—and presumably aided certain noverlists to learn their craft. When, for example, would William Fault-ner have found his "impressionism"—his Sound and the Fury and his Absolom, Abstelon!—if he had not studied Joseph Contral's Marlow He might, however, have learned a good deal from Emily Bront's Yuthering Height.

When one is young, it is easy to dismiss the "older generation," but as T. S. Elíot said, in advising against this practice, we should not brag about knowing more than our predecessors, because they are that which we know. Presumably the observation is valid, Now that the Modernist movement has itself begun to recede into history, it is easier to see that modern fiction, after all, is a part of a long tradition.

For example, Charles Dickens, a generation ago, was held up as a "sentimentalist," which he probably is, but what novel better catches the hallucinatory air of the modern city than Great Expectations? Virginia Wooll's London or John Dos Passos. New York carl hold a candle to it—aithough Joyce's evocation of a paralyzed Dublin is a different matter.

The twentieth-century novelist has been advised to tidy up loose ends in his novels, to be careful to justify his focus of narration, But neither Henry James nor Scott Fütgerald was more successful in maintaining steaduly the steady foci of narrators than was Emily Bronte in Puthering Heights. He sense of the pre-human or anti-human or cosmic also puts her close to the "discoveries" of D. H. Lawrence.

A writer's world vision, we have often been told, should hang together—the premise of his "world" should give rise to plot, see ting, character, diction, and philosophical implication. The "Hemingway world" is self-contained, a revelation of a world always at war, and of man confronted by nada. Hardy's "world" is also self-contained, as in Tess of the d'Urbervilles and Jude the Obscure. The very stones and chairs and beds are dank, and every twilight elowers.

The point of all this is not that the twentleth-century novelists are less able than their eminent predection:—some are and some are not—but that genutine at in any period has rettain characteristics, and the great novellists have always known how to tell a story. Literary conventions come and go. We have been inclined to find George Eliot's intrusion as an all-knowing author rather painful—but we must admit that her knowledge of human characteristics greatly surpasses Vitignias Woolfs, or Ernett Hemingway's; in reading her we eventually suppress our annoyance, and say, yet, her insight into human psychology, however heavy-handed she sometimes is, is enormous. The point then is that the

WILLIAM VAN O CONNOR

great novelists in one period, Those contemporary with each other may at first glance seem closer to each other, but for superficial reasons. The great ones kave their contemporaries, and live in another order of time

All history, including literary history, tarnishes, and enthusiasts for a particular author or novel find it necessary to get out the silver poishs and the cloth, to try to show that back of time's tarnish is valuable metal. If the metal is stuly valuable other observers will acknowledge it. This presumably is why critics are tolerated—and sometimes thanked.

Edith Wharton

It was the fashion among Edith Wharton's friends, instiated by Henry James, to describe her in terms of glowing hyper bole, to see her in the guise of a great golden eagle swooping down from her high built palace of adventure to stir up the poor old croaking barnyard fowls. The woman is almost lost sight of in their boasts of her activities and possessions the lovingly clipped and tended gardens, the gleaming, perfectly appointed interiors, the big, fast motor (purchased with the proceeds of the current book) bearing its multilingual owner and her faithful band over the roads of Europe to seek out in every nook and cranny the beauty that must redeem a modern wasteland. One imagines rooms refurbished and gardens relandscaped, all over Europe and the American East Coast, to conform with the mandates which she laid down in The Decoration of Houses and Italian Villas and Their Gardens Indeed, there are moments when the lady whom Percy Lubbock describes in her Paris garden, fresh and trim, basket on arm clippers in hand, ready for the daily task of shearing the heads of yesterday's roses, seems but the symbol of a larger self dedicated to sprucing up our very planet.

This is a long way from the picture in the minds of some American critics of a precious and snobbish old lady. Yet one can see how both pictures came into being. Perfection rivitates as well as it attracts, in fiction as in life. As some of Mrs. Whatson's acquaintances complained that her case in Durnibling was too good, her Ernch too precisely idomastic, so have some of her critics found

her heroes and heroines too exquisite, too apt to exclaim in rapt unition over little known beautes in art and literature with which the majority of her readers may not be equally familiar. The glittering irritture of her cultivation sits on her novels like a rather showy iding that detracts from the cake beneath. That same majority may be put off by descriptions, however syrid, of physical objects and backgrounds that obtitude on the action, by being made to notice, even in scenes of tensest drams, a bit of red damask on a wall, a Jacqueminot rose, a small, dark Italian primitive. As Edmund Wilson points out, Mrs. Wharson was not only the pioneer but the poor of interior decoration.

Such cultivation was certainly not typical of her generation Ladies of the New York and Newport of her day, educated by tutors, may have acquired a sound basts in German, French, and Italian, but they used these languages, if at all, more for dinner parties than for books They did not spend their spare time, like Edith Newbold Jones, In their father's library, nor did they publish, at the age of sixteen, privately printed volumes of poetry. In fact, the very rarry of such intellectual achievement in the family of George Frederic Jones has lent comfort to the bearers of an old legend, totally without foundation, that Edith was the daughter of her brothers' English sutor, a clever young man with a mild painter's talent who was killed in the West by Indians. The theory seems to spring from the same kind of thurking that cannot conceive a Shakespeare born in Stratford But I find it easier to believe that Lucretia Stevens Rhinelander Jones, granddaughter of a Revolutionary patriot and a conventional society matron in a then small-town New York, should have had a brilliant daughter than an illegitimate one, and the fact that Edith, being so much younger than her brothers, was brought up like an only child seems adequate explanation for the hours that she spent alone in her father's library in West s3rd Street.

In her menoirs she describes as her good fortune that she was forbidden, on moral grounds, to read the ephemeral rubbish of the day and so was not distracted from the classics on the paternal shelves. It was obvious, she tells us, though I am not sure how

quickly we agree, that a little girl "to whom the Old Testament, the Apocalypte and the Elizabethan dramatists were open, could not long pine for Whyte Melville or even Rhoda Broughton." In the sternly impressive list of her early reading, with its heavy embasis on history and poetry, the only American names are Prescott, Parkman, Longfellow, and Irving. The other Melville, Herman, "a cousin of the Van Remsselaers, and qualified by birth to figure in the best society," she never, as a girl, even heard mentianed, Culture and education, to the Joneses and to their group, still meant Europe.

Europe, however, was not only the fountain of arts; it was also good for one's health and pocketbook. The foneses were badly hit by the inflation that followed the Civil War and took their little daughter for long, economizing visits to Italy and France, It was a life of hotels and watering places, seeing only fellow Americans and their servants, but there were compensations for a sensitive child in driving out to the Campagna and wandering among the tombs of the Appian Way, in collecting fragments of porphyry and lapis lazuli on the slopes of the Palatine, and in such Parislan sights as the Empress Eugénie in her daumont, with the little Prince Imperial at her side and a glittering escort of officers. At the same time the young Henry James was knocking about the Continent, staying in pensions and picking up material for his first international tales. On both, the European experience was to have a lasting effect. But what was to have a much greater iofluence on Edith Jones as a writer, and to supply her with the subject material for her most important work, was neither her father's library nor her early impression of Europe, but her own clear, direct, comprehensive little girl's vision of the New York society in which her parents lived.

In 1862, the year of her birth, and for perhaps two decades thereafter, this was a small, sober, proper, tightly huit society, of Dutch and English descent, which lived in uniform streets of chocolate house fronts on income largely derived from municipal real estate. It was wary of the arts, beyond a dip into Longfellow or Bryant, or an evening of Norma at the Academy of Music, and dudainful of politics and any business that smacked of retail. The men practiced law in a listless sort of way and sat on charitable boards and had the lessure and taste to appreciate with their wives long meals of good food washed down by the "Jones Claret" or the "Newbold Madeira" Young Edith, sharply aware of their indifference to beauty in the arts, found their society suffage and stulltying, but as an elderly woman, surrounded by a world that seemed to her to have lost its values, she decided ruefully that the ment of those "amuable persons" had been to uphold standards of education and good manners and of scrupulous probity in business and private affairs.

As a young girl and as a debutastie, she was miserably shy, a quality that was to dog her all her life, and that she was later to encase behind the enamel of formality, but by the time of her marriage, at the age of twenty-three, she had become at least outwardly reconciled to the observances of social life Edward Robbins Wharton was an easygoing, friendly Bostonian, of no intellectual pretensions, who adoled his much younger wife and always kept a thousand dollar bill in his purse in case "Pussy" wanted anything They lived in New York and Newport and went every year to Europe, and no children came to interrupt their torial and sightseeing routine, Obviously, it was not an existence to satisfy Indefinitely a mind rendered immune to Rhoda Broughton by the beauties of the Apocalspan and gridually the young wife started to write, here and there a poem, then a sentimental short story with an uplifting conclusion, then a serious book on interior decoration, then travel pieces, at last a historical novel. In her memoirs she describes her writing as the natural sequence of a childhood habit of "making up" on bits of brown wrapping paper, but Edmund Wilson suggests that she took it up because of the tensions of an incompatible marriage and at the advice of Dr. S. Weir Mitchell, an early pioneer in female neurous; and himself a novelits. Frag ments of her diary, recently published by Wayne Andrews, in which she notes that she could endure the "moral solutude" of her marriage only by creating a world of her imagination, certainly seem to substantiate Walson At any rate, there was the gap of a

generation between those brown paper scribblings and the publication of her first volume of fiction at the age of thirty-seven.

The tales in The Greater Inclination (1899) and in its successor, Crucial Instances (1901), have some of the flavor of James's stories of artists and writers of the same period. They are apt to be set against European backgrounds and to deal with such themes as the temptation to the serious artist of commercial success or the bewildering influence upon him of the art of an older, richer civilization. They are clever and readable, if a trifle thin, and in three of them, "The Pelican," "The Rembrandt," and "The Angel at the Grave." Mrs. Wharton shows herself already in full command of the style that was to make her prose as lucid and polished as any in American fietion. It is a firm, crup, smooth, direct, easily flowing style, the perfect instrument of a clear, undazzled eye, an analytic mind, and a sense of humor alert to the least pretentiousness. We may later wonder if her style was adapted to all the uses to which she put it, but at this point it perfectly presents to us, in all their pathetic and confused dignity, the brave little lady who lectures with an ignorant boldness to women's groups on every aspect of arts and letters, first for the love of her baby and ultimately for the love of her own voice, the proud and splendid widow who is induced only by direst poverty to part with her false Rembrandt, and the dedicated spinster who devotes a lifetime to maintaining her grandfather's house as a shrine for a public that has forgotten him. The defect in Edith Wharton's poetry, of which she published three volumes, is that this same style, consciously ennobled and stripped of laughter, becomes as dull and overornamented as the privately printed verse of any number of aspiring ladies who sought refuge from the distraction of social life, But noetry is subjective, and Mrs. Wharton, like many persons of wide reading and disciplined exterior, was inclined to be mawkish in subjective mood.

Her first novel, The Valley of Decision, appeared in 1902, when she was forty, and its scene is laid in Italy, that charpel house of English and American historical fiction. It is Edith Wharton's

LOUIS AUCHINCLOSS

Romola, except that it is a better book than George Eliot's, for the fruits of her research are strewn attractively through the pages and not spooned into the reader like medicine. But although she captures remarkably the spirit and color of the eighteenth century, nothing can save the novel from its pale and lifeless characters. It is like a play with perfect settings in which the actors stand stiffly in the middle of the stage, their eyes fixed on the prompter. Only when Odo as a boy visits his grandfather's castle in the mountains, and later in the grand ducal gallery when he faces the portraits of his interbred ancestors, is there any true linking of characters and sets. The theme, however, is of some interest to the student of Edith Wharton, for it presages the political and social conservatism that was later to enchain her, Odo brings reform to Planura only to find himself the harbinger and later the prisoner of the Reign of Terror. His creator was always afraid that even a needed cleaning of sewers might cause the collapse of the civilization above them.

influence of Paul Bourget and James. In the first part of this absurd but charming little tale Kate Peyton marries a cheat and a liar in order to become the mother of the moral defective whom he might otherwise site upon a woman less capable of raising such offspring—surely almost a parody of a Bourget theme—and in the second, twenty five years later, she contrive to keep this dispring from committing an odious firmed by radiating sympathy to him in silent Jamesian waves The Descent of Mon (1904) is another volume of short stories, similar in tone to the earlier once except for "The Dilectante," which marks an advance in the development of a male character who is to pervade all of Mrs. Whatton's fiction, the cold, cultivated, aristocratic egoist who feeds on the life and enthusiasm of simpler souls. The story has a clever towist at the only when the dictance's between the significant of the development of a character who is the provided plate him on discovering that the lady who has been his intimate friend for years has not been his mitters. It is a theme that we shall meta gazale.

The next two years find Mrs. Wharton still experimenting Sanctuary (1903) demonstrates, a bit comfcally, the combined

The House of Merth (1905) marks her coming of age as a novel-

ist. At last, and simultaneously, she had discovered both her medium and her subject matter. The first was the novel of manners and the latter the assault upon the old Knickerbocker society in which she had grown up of the new millionaires, the "invaders" as she called them, who had been so fabulously enriched by the business growth following the Civil War. New money had poured into New York in the 1880's and 1800's and turned the Joneses' quiet old Fifth Avenue into a dizzy parade of derivative facades from Azay-le-Rideau to the Porch of the Maidens. The Van Rensselaers and Rhinelanders might purse their lips at the ostentation of the Vanderbilts, but in a dollar world the biggest bank balance was bound to win out. A Livingston would marry a Mills, as in an earlier day a Schermerhorn had married an Astor For what, really, did that older world have that was so special? It was all very well for James to describe the Newport of his childhood, surviving into this gilded age, as a little bare white open hand suddenly crammed with gold, but the fingers of that little hand closed firmly enough over the proffered bullion. The sober brown stucco of Upjolin's country villas concealed a materialism as rampant as any flaunted by the marble halls of Richard Morris Hunt, Mrs. Wharton saw clearly enough that the invaders and defenders were bound ultimately to bury their hatchet in a noisy, stamping dance, but she saw also the rich possibilities for satire in the contrasts afforded by the battle line in its last stages and the pathos of the individuals who were fated to be trampled under the feet of those boisterous truce makers.

Lily Bart, the heroine of The House of Mitth, stems from both worlds. Her father is related to the Penistons and the Stepneys, but is driven by her mother, a more ordinary creature, to make a fortune which, not being of invader blood, he is bound to lose. Lilv orphaned, is loosed on the social seas with only her beauty acalcharm for sails and no rudder but a ladylike disdain for shabby compromites and a vague seme that there must be somewhere a better life than the one into which the hast drilled. Her rich friends, who use her as a social secretary to write notes and as a billind whileld them from importunate and suspicious husbands, cannot

understand the squeamishness which keeps her, at the critical moment, from extracting a proposal from the rich bachelor whom she has not been too squeamish to pursue. Her respectable relatives, on the other hand, of an older society, cannot understand her smoking or gambling or being seen, however briefly, in the company of married men Laly falls between two stools. She cannot bring herself to marry the vulgar Mr. Rosedale for all his millions, or the obscure Lawrence Selden, for all their affinity. She postpones decisions and hopes for the best and in the meanwhile seeks to distract herself. But we know from the start that she is doomed She has only her loveltness, and what is that in a world that puts its store in coin and hypocrisy? The other characters, of both new and old New York, seem strangely and vindictively united in a constant readiness to humiliate her: Grace Stepney to tell tales on her, Mrs. Penisson to disinherit her, Bertha Dorset to abandon her in a foreign port, Gus Trenor to try to seduce her, his wife to say he has. We watch with agonized apprehension as Lily turns and doubles back, as she keeps miraculously rehabilitating herself, each time on a slightly lower level. For no matter how hard she struggles, without money she is unarmed in that arena. And in the end when the finally compromises and is willing to marry Rosedale, it is too late. He will not have ber, and she falls to the job at the milliner's and the ultimate overdose of sleeping tablets But we finish the book with the conviction that in the whole brawling, terrible city Lily is the one and only lady,

The different levels of society in The House of Mitth an explored with a precision comparable to that of Proust whom Mrs Wharton was later so greatly to admire. We follow Lily's gradual descent from "Bellomont" on the Hudson and the other great country houses of a world where the old and new societies had begun to merge, to the Intite court of the Gormers, who, although rich enough to be ultimately accepted, are still at the stage of having to fill their house with hangerson, to the bogus intellectual world of Carry Father who pretends to like interesting people while she earns her living helping climbers up the social ladder, rom the final drop into the gilded host of the demismodation Post

Edith Wharton

Hatch, Lily learns that money is the common denominator of all these worlds and that the differences between them consist only in the degrees of scent with which its odor is from time to time concealed. Van Wyck Brooks accused Mrs. Wharton of knowing nothing of the American West, and perhaps the did not, but she had a firsthand knowledge of where the profits of the frontier had gone. Lily Bart, weary on foot, watching the carriages and motors of her former friends ply up and down Fifth Avenue, Mrs. Van Osburgh's Capring barouche, Mrs. Hatch's electric victoria, is seeing the natural successors of the covered wagon.

I do not suppose that Mrs. Wharton intended Lawrence Selden to constitute the last and greatest of Lily's trials, but so he strikes me. He is a well born, leisurely bachelor lawyer, with means just adequate for a life of elegant solitude, who spends his evenings, when not leading through the pages of his first editions, dining out in a society that he loves to ridicule. Lily knows that he is a neutral in the hattle of life and death in which she is so desperately engaged, and she asks only that he hold her hand briefly in moments of trisis or brush her lips with a light kiss. He does, in the end, decide to marry her, but as she has been too late for Rosedale, so is he too late for her, and he can only kneel hy her bed and give to her lifeless lips the last of his airy kisses. Mrs. Wharton's attitude toward Selden's type of man is enigmatic. He may be a villain in "The Dilettante," or he may at least pose as a hero in The House of Mirth. She is careful in the latter to point out for his sake, whenever he condemns Lily Bart, that appearances have been against her. Perhaps she conceived him as an abused lover in the Shakespearean sense, as an Othelio or a Posthumus. But Othelio and Posthumus are quick to believe the worst because of the very violence of their passions. An eye as dry as Selden's should be slower to be deceived. I incline to the theory that Mrs. Wharton really intended us to accept this plaster-cast figure for a hero, but that she had a low opinion of heroes in general When Lily suddenly retorts to Selden that he spends a great deal of his time in a society that he professes to despise, it is as if the author had suddenly slipped into the book to express a contempt that the reader is not meant to share,

reader is not meant to share.

The enigms of Selden unevitably leads to a consideration of the deepest friendship of Eduk Whatton's life. "The year before my matriage," she relates in her memoirs, "I had made friends with a young man named Walter Berry, the son of an old friend of my family's (and indeed a distant couisn)." Despite the note of kinship thus custously sounded, there is a legand that he proposed to her and was turned down as a not good enough match. This mught, if true, explain in the light of revenge some of his acts of coldness to her in later years At any rate, he became a friend of the young Whartons, and it was during one of his visits to their house in Newport that the showed him the "Iumpy pages" of an early manuscript and had the mortification of hersing his "show to laughter," a peal that was never quite to crear tinging in her cars But a minute later he said good naturedly, "Come, let's see what can be done," and settled down beside her to try to model the lump into a book. In that modeling process the claimed, dee ades later, that the had been taught whatever the knew about the writing of "Gere consise Entellish."

I do not believe it, shough I am sure that she did. There seemed no limits to her admiration of Berry. "He found me when my mind and soul were hungry and thirty, and he fed them till our last hour together." Evidently, he supplied her with the intellectual and spiritual companionship that she had never found with Edward Wharton. The latter, as her writing and fam developed, had shrunk to a kind of clipher in her lite. In her memoirs, Consuelo Vanderbill Balaan recalls him "as more of an equerry than an equal, walking behind her and carrying whatever paraphernalias she happened to dissort." It was only to be expected, under the circumstances, that the should fall in love with Berry, yet it is not until 1906, when she was forty six, that her journal begins to evidence it. "I should like to be to you, friend of my heart, like the scent of an invisible garden shat one passes by on a nuknown.

the opinion of many of those close to her that she received only friendship in return. Berry, according to them, was perfectly willing to let her play her chosen role of the touch of wings or the invisible garden. But these, of course, had been only phrases. Like any woman, she wanted more: "You but me — you disillusioned me — and wben you left me, I was more deeply yours." When he went to Gaire to be a judge of the International Tribunal, she could hardly endure it: "Oh, my adored, my own love, you who have given me the only moments of real life I have ever known, how am I to face the long hours and days?" But she did face them, and one wonders if correspondence with so tepid an admirer might not have been simpler in the end than talks and scenes.

What sort of man was he? James seems to have liked him and to have enjoyed their faintly catty old bachelors' correspondence in which Mrs. Whatton, because of her raids into the secluded retreats of friends, is described as "the angel of devastation." But to others of her circle Berry was less sympathetic, and to Percy Lubbock he was a dogmatic and snobbish egotist, the evil genius, indeed, of her life. "None of her friends," he put it bluntly, "thought he was the better for the surrender of her fine free spirit to the control of a man, I am ready to believe, of strong intelligence and ability – but also, I certainly know, of a dry and narrow and super-cilious temper."

Mrs. Wharton's attitude toward evasion of the marriage vow was always ambiguous. Divorce (though the was to come to it hereft) she considered crude and antiocial, and the facile forming of new martial ties unispeakably volgar. On the other hand, the dishonessies and evasions of concealed adultery struck her as offensive and degrading, while any open disregard of the conventions led to a slow, sordid end in those shabby E ropean watering place with which the minds of her contemporaries seemed always to identify extramarital passion. Pethaps, finally, the latter course seemed best to her. At least the spirit that was capable of facing it seemed the finest spirit. Paulina Trant in "The Long Run" (included in Xingu, 1916) bas all her creator's sympathy when she offers to give up her husband and home for love, and Halston

LOUIS AUCHINCLOSS

Metrick, who logically and sensibly tries to reason her out of it, appears as the shallowest of lowers. There must have been womanly moments, for all of Edith Whatmon's admixtation of Betry, when he struck her as a bit of a Halston Metrick, when she saw him with eyet that made even Lubback's seem charitable by comparison. It is perhaps to such moments that we owe the curious am busilence in her treatment of homes.

Despite the great critical and popular success of The House of Mirch, Mrs. Wharton did not seturn to her New York subject matter for another eight years. Perhaps she was afraid of exhausting it too quickly Madame de Treymes and The Fruit of the Tree, so radically different from each other, both appeared in 1907. The first is a true famesian tale of innocents abroad, as subtle and fine as any of James's own but with more liveliness and humor. It portrays the duel between John Durham, an American hero in the tradition of James's Christopher Newman, and a wily, charming Parisian aristocrat, Madame de Treymes, over the latter's sister inlaw, poor little Fanny Frisbee of brownstone New York, who has found only misery in her French marriage. Principles and ideals, for the last time in Edith Wharton's fiction, are found on the side of the Stars and Stripes, and Madame de Treymes ruefully recognizes the moral superiority of the Yankee in her final sob: "Ah, you poor, good mani" In later years, unhappily, Mrs Wharton's Americans abroad were to become the corrupters and not the corrupted

cotrapted

The Frui of the Tree is an experiment in a totally new field, the novel of reform Mrs. Wharton began her task of research conscientiously enough with a tour of a factory near her country home in 'nox, Massachusetts, but she soon lost interest in her theme accumbed to an unworthy compromise. In order to be able to draw her factory manager and trained nurse from models in her own world, the endowed them both with old and dutinguished families which had only recently lost their money, thus giving to these parts of the bods a cautious air of social manquerade. Even to, the reader's interest is caught when Antheru, the prigrish manger, marriest the widow owner of the factory, having misconsurades.

her passion for himself as a zeal for the cause of the workers, and settles down in blithe ignorance to what he imagines will be their shared task of reform. But at this point Mrs. Wharton changes her theme altogether. Bessie Amherst, bored with the workers and interpreting, perhaps correctly (again the enigma of the Wharton hero), her husband's interest in reform as indifference to herself. goes galloping over icy roads until she falls from her horse and receives an incurable back injury, which condemns her to a long period of hideous and futile agony. The novel now turns abruptly into a problem novel about euthanasia in the manner of Bourget. for Bessie's sufferings are abbreviated by the needle of the trained nurse with the social background, Mrs. Wharton handles both themes competently, but the book simply collapses between them The failure of The Fruit of the Tree is just the opposite of that of The Valley of Decision; the settings, and not the characters. fade away. It is, however, a less disastrous fault. Bessie Amherst, indolent, selfish, but quite ready to be led by any man who will take the trouble to understand her, is interesting enough to make the novel readable even today, when industrial reform of the type in question has long since been effected and when euthanasia, if still illegal, has ceased to be morally shocking.

The Hermit and the Wild Woman (1908) is another volume of slender, contrived Jamesian stories of artists and diletantes, but Tales of Men and Ghotsi (1916) contains some superb chillers. A tricky ending to a serious short story will sometimes detract from the total effect and make it seems uperficial or sentimental, or both, but in a ghost story it has a valid, even an indispensable, function The egoism of Mrs. Wharton's constantly recurring bachelors is brought out more effectively in "The Eyes" than In any of her other short stories or novels. Culwin tells a listening group about a fire, which includes his young protégé, of the eyes, the old eyes with sunk orbits and thick, red lined lids and look of vicious security, that haunt him an inglu whenever he has performed what he deems an unselfish act, which the reader, of course, knows to have been just the opposite As he finishes his tale he marks the horror on the features of the protege, with whose youth and bloom

LOUIS AUCHINCLOSS

he has tried to water his own dry nature, and turning to look in the mirror behind him, he sees at last whose eyes they are.

Mrs Wharton's other ghost stories may be considered out of chronological order because their style and effectiveness do not vary, except to improve, with the years. This kind of tale requires a skill that never left her, the skill of telling a story reduced to its bare bones, without the aid of social problems or manners or mores or even of human nature, except in its most elemental sense. She always believed that the storytelling faculty was basic in any writer. She was like a representational artist who looks askance at an abstract painting and wants to know if the man who executed it can really draw At times she would try her hand, almost as one might try a puzzle, at a story that was nothing but teclinique, like "Roman Fever," where the interest and excitement are con centrated in the last line that gives the whole meaning to what has gone before. Her technique in ghost stories is to keep the supernatural to the minimum that will still irradiate the tale with horror Character can be important as in "The Eyes," but it is by no means essential As long as there is one plain human being as in "All Souls," to register terror for the reader, there is an ade quate cast Time, as we shall see, brought to Mrs. Wharton an attitude of

Time, as we shall see, brought to Mrs. Whatron an attitude of disapproval toward the changing social scene which was to souther later work, but the gloot stories, by their very nature, escapeths, and her grasp of the secret of chilling her reader continued to improve to the end "The Lady's Maid's Bell," an early venture, suffers from a slight overdoes of the centic. There is not only the bell; there is the constantly reappearing ghost of Liman Saxon "Tomogranate Seed," a later tale, corrects this A second wife's happears as destroyed and her life turned to nightmare by the appearance, at irregular intervals, on the hall table, addressed to her husband in a faint, lemale handwriting, of envelopes which unnerve him but which he refuses to discuss. The conviction that these missives come from the dead wife begins to dawn on the reader at the same time that it dawns on her successor, and in the mood of horror that we thate with he we completely accept her

husband's final disappearance. The ghost of the first wife never comes on the scene to derogate from her letters as Emma Saxon does from the bell

In the compilation Ghosts (1937), published in the last year of Mrs. Wharton's life, two of the best of the eleven stories. "Miss Mary Pask" and "Bewitched," deal, not with the supernatural, but with the appearance of it Here Mrs Wharton sets herself the difficult task of scaring the reader in a ghost story without the aid of a ghost. The atmosphere has to be made correspondingly more ominous; Mary Pask must be where and more wraithlike on that foggy night in Brittany than if she were a true spirit, and the New Englanders of "Bewitched" must be gaunter and grimmer than the characters of Ethan Frome to make sufficiently terrible the ending that proves Venny Brand to have been masquerading. The last story of the collection, and its masterpiece, "A Bottle of Perrier." is not really a ghost story at all, but a tale of hatred and murder in the African desert where an eccentric Englishman lives in a lonely eastle with his butler and a host of Arab servants Mrs Wharton's style is at its richest as she sets her African scene: "The afternoon hung over the place like a great velarium of cloth-ofgold stretched across the battlements and drooping down in ever slacker folds upon the heavy headed palms. When at length the gold turned to violet, and the west to a bow of crystal clasping the dark sands, Medford shook off his sleep and wandered out," And the final sentence which reveals for the reader where the body of Almodham has all the time been rotting is like one of those screaming chords at the end of Strauss's Salome: "The moon, swinging high above the battlements, sent a searching spear of light down into the guilty darkness of the well "

In 1911 Mrs, Wharton published the short novel with which her name has ever since been Insked and which sometimes threatens to precumpt the whole of her niche in the history of American Interature. She says in her memoirs that in writing Ethan Frome she felt for the first time the arisan's full control of his implements, In later years its continuing success was to plague her, as the success of "Daity Miller" plagued James, for she could never agree

with the critics who claimed that it was her best work. Yet it is surely among her best. When I think of it, I visualize a small painting, perfectly executed to the last detail, of three silent figures in a small dark cottage kitchen, with snow glimpaed through a window, the tertible Zeena in the center, white and pasty and gaunt, and, scattered on the table, the pieces of a broken dish. But I could never put the ttory as fiction in the same class with The House of Mirth on the very ground that to me at a petture and, as such, one dimensional. Lily Bart and the society in which she lives are turned around and around and stadied from different angles It in ot fair, of course, to compare a long novel with a novelette, but the tremendous reputation of Ethan Frome evokes such a defense.

There has been some disposition in critics to view with distrust Mrs. Wharton's excursions into life among the needy, as evidenced by Ethan Frome, "The Bunner Sisters," and Summer, to see her as the great lady from "The Mount" in Lenox, peering at Ethan and his womenfolk from the back seat of her big motor. I doubt if these comments would have been made had the stories been published under another name, for the keenness of Mrs. Wharton's observation was not affected by the social status of her models. Only, in later years, when she attempted to describe persons and places that she had never seen did she fail in her job I am totally persuaded of the reality of that notions shop kent by Ann Eliza Bunner and her sister and by the dank public library where Charity
Royall dreams away her histers days. The reason why Summer and
"The Bunner Sisters" are less convincing than Ethan Frome does not lie in any failure of observation or imagination on the part of the author, but in the fact that one feels her presence, which in Ethan Frome the device of a narrator has sucressfully eliminated. When Chatty Royall sees ber mother's kinfolk on the mountain "herded together in a sort of passive promiscury in which their common misery was the strongest link," and when Evalina Bunner, contrasted to the Hochmullers, is described as "a faintly washed sketch beside a brilliant chromo," we see clearly enough what is meant, but we are standing on that mountain and at Evalina's wedding with Mrs Wharton herself, and we feel with a touch of constraint the incongruity of our presence.

The Reef (1912) was greeted with a burst of congratulation from all the Jamesian circle. "Racintan" was the adjective used by the master, and indeed a Racinian unity of mood is achieved by centering the action in an old, high-roofed chateau of brick and yellowish stone, bathed in the pale light of October alternoons, The rooms in which the characters tensely talk are like a series of paintings by Walter Gay It is a quiet, controlled, beautiful novel. but its theme has always struck me as faintly ridiculous Mrs. Leath, a widow, is at last about to marry George Darrow, her old bachelor admirer, and her stepson, Owen, is entertaining a similar plan with respect to the beautiful young family governess, Sophy Viner, when the discovery that Darrow and Sophy have once been lovers reduces all the characters to a state of quiet desperation. Even conceding that in 1012 such an affair might have disqualified Sophy as a bride for Owen, would Mrs. Leath, a woman who had lived all her adult years in France, consider that her own happiness had to be sacrificed as well? Of course, Mrs. Wharton's answer would be that Mrs. Leath is a woman of the finest sensitivities and that the affair occurred at a time when she had every reason to believe Darrow attentive to herself, but I still cannot get away from the suspicion that at least part of the horror of the situation has in the fact that Sophy is a governess. The final chapter, so jarringly out of tune with the rest of the book, tends to confirm this suspicion. When Mrs. Leath goes to Sophy's sister's hotel to tell Sophy that she has given Darrow up, she is received by the sister in a dim, untidy, scented room, complete with lover and masseur, Coarse and bloated as the sister is, Mrs. Leath can nonetheless see in her what the beautiful Sophy will one day become, and when she discovers that the latter has departed for India in the company of a disreputable woman, she takes her hasty leave, presumably to return to Darrow and happiness.

The only moral that I can make out of this is that Sophy Viner, a paid dependent who is an appendage rather than a true part of the social pattern, may be expected, under the first bad influence.

Moffatt. What would the wiles of even Madame de Treymes have accomplished against Elmer? James complained to Mrs. What hat she had not sufficiently developed the theme of the relationship between the Chellese and Undine. Surely, he was wrong. Surely, as the book indicates, no such relationship could have even existed. What Mrs. What no list to prove is that Chelles would have married Undine at all. For she is really too awful to be quite so successful with quies on many men Her vulgarity destroys the allure that such a woman would have been bound to have and that her creator was not to understand until her last, unfinished novel.

Lily Bart takes only one trip to Europe in the course of her saga, but Undine spends half of hers there. It was abroad, indeed. that Mrs. Wharton must have observed her prototypes, for in these years she had been spending less and less time in her own country. She had always been attracted by the order and grace of French living and by the assured social position of intellectuals in France, so different from what she had experienced in New York. She had a deep respect for traditions and ceremonials that gave her some assurance that the existing form of society had a hasis in the past, and, by a like token, a hope for preservation in the future. The New York of her younger years had had traditions, but she had found them merely restricting. The dead hand of a Manhattan past had seemed to her simply dead. Her writing, for example, had never been recognized by her friends and relations as anything but a vaguely embarrassing habit that was better not mentioned. Her husband, it was true, took a rather childish pride in her growing fame, but in all intellectual matters he was as bad as the others. "Does that sort of thing really amuse you?" he asked when she showed him a striking passage in R. H. Lock's study of heredity and variation, "That is the answer to everything worth while!" she mouned in her journal. "Oh, Gods of derision! And you've given me twenty years of it! Je n'en peux plus."

This cry of the heart is dated 1908 when she was forty-six. Release was on its way. In 1910 the Whartons sold the house in Lenox and moved permanently to France. In the same year Edward Wharton had a nervous collapse and was placed in a sanatorium In 1913 they were divorced. She had found at last a world where everything blended, beautiful surroundings, intellectual companionship, a society that combined a respect for the past with a vital concern for the present London was within easy reach, and she could be in constant touch with writers whose conversation was as polished and civilized as their prose James, Bourget, Lubbock, Howard Sturp's It is easy to comprehend the charm of such a life, but what did it have to do with the contemporary American scene that it was her profession to study? James in his later years built his characters into an exotic world of his own imagination. It was not necessary for the creator of Maggie Verver or Milly Theale to have an up to-date knowledge of life on the other side of the Atlantte. It was enough that he had been born American. But Edith Wharton was concerned with representing the life and manners of New York, and for this she needed more than the chatter of tourist friends

In a surprisingly insiped little book, French Ways and Their Meaning (1919), made up of articles originally written to acquaint Americans coming to France during the war with the character of their allies and hosts, Mrs Wharton descants on the Gallic quali ties of taste, reverence, continuity, and intellectual honesty. The picture that emerges, quite unimentionally, is of a nation chained to ancient forms and observances which could hardly have survived four years of trench warfare with the first military power of Europe Mrs. Wharton was paying France what she deemed the greatest compliment she knew in describing as national virtues the qualities that most attracted her in her own polite, intellectual circle. There is some of the sell sustification of the expatriate in the attitude that her adopted country had to possess to the fullest degree, and her native land to the least, the civilized atmosphere which she lound so indispensable in daily life. As a result, there was always a presumption in favor of France in her thinking, just as there was one against America, an injustice that is everywhere reflected in this misleading little book. When she speaks of French culture, Richelieu and the Academy are invoked, but when it is

a question of American, she cites only the middle-western college girl who "learnt art" in a year.

When crisis came, at any rate, she had proved a true, if not a legal, citizen of France. She scorned the expatriates who scuttled home at the first rumble of danger in the summer of 1914 and whom she later described in The Marne. She was passionately involved from the beginning with the land of her adoption and threw herself into work for the refugees and the wounded with a fervor and efficiency which resulted in her being decorated by President Poincaré and named an officer of the Legion of Honor. She regarded the war from a simple but consistent point of view: France, virtually singlehanded, was fighting the battle of civilization against the powers of darkness. It was the spirit that made men fight and die, but it has never, unfortunately, been the spirit of fiction. Reading The Marne (1918) and A Son at the Front (1928) today gives one the feeling of taking an old enlistment poster out of an attie trunk. It may be a significant comment on the very nature of Armageddon that the only literature that survives it is literature of distillusionment and despair. Mrs Wharton knew that the war was terrible; she had visited hospitals and even the front itself. But the exhibitation of the noncombatant, no matter how dedicated and useful her services, has a shrill sound to postwar Part

The corrosive effect of war on a civiliration already vulgarized by American money induced in Mrs. Whatton a mood of nostalgia for the old quest New York world of her childhood that she ad once found so confining. Much later she was to write: "When I was young it used to seem to me that the group in which I grew up was like an empty vessef into which no new when would ever again be poured Now I see that one of its uses lay in preserving a few drops of an old vintage too rare to be savoured by a youthful palate."

There was no rose color, however, in the glasses through which she viewed the past, she did not flinch at sight of the old preju dices; she simply reinterpreted them. Mrs Lidcote, in "Autre Temps" (Xingu), has been ostracized for leaving her husband for

LOUIS AUCHINGIOSS

a lover, but when she returns to New York, a generation later, to take her stand by her daughter who has done the same thingthe discovers that times have changed and that her daughter can now marry her lover and he received by the very people who have out Mr. Lulcote The times, however, have changed only for the danghier a generation. Soriery will not revise its judgments of in displayly and Mrs Lideote must dine unstales on a tray in order out to embarrais a dinner party gathered to beam on the young lovers. But there is another, subtler moral in the story, and that it in the inggration that Mrs. Ladcote, with all her suffering, has had a richer life than her dauehier with her easy divorce and remarringe. She may feel take a banely anachronism as the returns to her earle in Luzope but there is no envy in her reflections, "Where indeed in this stouded, tops, tursey notid, with its headlong changes and helter skelter teadquatments, its new solerances and indulerences and accommodations, was there from for a character lustivined by slower steamer processes and a life broken under their mexicable presure?"

But this is nottalgus for the very brand that did the burning! Fen yeart later, in Techght Steep, Mrs. Wharion was to go even further in less stand against the yapid pandesiness of the positivar world by ruliculing the heavy doping of mothers for childfulful. The prist same to have a certain valudity to be sumply by being the prist. The New York of her childhood, that "examped horizontal guittern of a 1-win without somet, postucous, fountains or perspectives, indecloused in its dealth sunformity of mean ugliness," has not yearned to be a pology soward her parents' generation that we see her finers movel.

The tule, The Ace of Immocrace, refers to the New York of the 1870's in the grithood of Eduh Jones and gives to the book the flavor of a Immorral most, as is often pointed out by critics. The feet not always recognized by critics is their it was a labil of Vircinan notellies to set their stories in the era of their childhood. The notelist of manners has since shown a tendency to revert to a totally recent past where social distinctions, which make up 90 a totally recent past where social distinctions, which make up 90

much of his subject master, were more sharply defined, or at least where he thinks they were. The Age of Innocence (1920) is written in a Proustian mood of remembered things that evokes the airless atmosphere of an old, ordered, small town New York as vividly as a conversation piece by Eastman Johnson. Here the dilettante bachelor, Newland Archer, as usual a lawyer, is at last placed in a story adapted to bring out the best and the worst in him. For he must have enough passion and imagination to aspire to break through the barriers of convention that surround him and yet be weak enough so that he cannot finally escape the steely embrace of an aroused tribe. Newland knows that he never really has a chance from the beginning; that is his pathos. He is engaged to May Wel land, and he will marry May Welland and spend a lifetime with May Welland, and that is that, and both he and May's beautiful, Europeanized, disenchanted cousin, Ellen Olenska, realize it and accept it.

We have a suffocating sense of a creature trapped and doomed as poor Newland comes to the awareness, from the exchanged glances, coughs, and silences that surround him, that all of his vast family and family-in-law, including his own wife, are convinced that he is enjoying the very affair that he has failed to achieve and are united in Irresistible tact to cut it short. But Mrs. Wharton is not suggesting that Newland and Ellen, in their renunciation of each other, have condemned themselves to a life of unrewarding frustration. Rules and regulations have now their validity to her, no matter what passions they crush. "It was you," Ellen tells Archer, "who made me understand that under the dullness there are things so fine and sensitive and delicate that even those I most cared for in my other life look cheap in comparison." And a generation later Archer sees no cause to repine in thinking back over his married life with May: "Their long years together had shown him that it did not so much matter if marriage was a dull duty, as long as it kept the diguity of a duty: lapsing from that, it became a mere battle of ugly appetites. Looking about him, he honored his own past, and mourned for it. After all, there was good in the old ways."

LOUIS AUCHINGLOSS

It is Edith Whatton's tribute to be rown background, this affirmation that under the thick, smoky glass of convention bloom the fine, fragile flowers of patient suffering and self-sacrifice. To run away from society may be as vulgar in the end as to crash it. Newland Archer builds a shrine in his heart around the image of Ellen from which he derives strength to endure his uneventful and moderately useful hite, a life where civic and social duties are judiciously balanced and where he impacts of Theodore Roosevelt even get him into the state legislature, if only for a single term. We see him more completely than any other of Mrs. Whatton's heroes, and the reader who doubts that such a type existed has only to turn the pages of the voluminous diary of George Templeton Strong, published long after Edith Whatton's death.

The comparison with Strong's diary is also relevant in that The Age of Innocence is the first of Mrs. Wharton's novels to have all the action seen through the eyes of one character. The interest is thus centered in Newland Archer, as the interest in the two later books where she used the same method. The Mother's Recompense and The Children, is centered in Kate Clephane and Martin Boyne, Unlike James, however, she refused to be limited in her own comments to her central character's point of view, Archer's conventional way of looking at life, at least in the first half of the book, is too dull a lens for the reader, and his creator never hesttates to peer over his shoulder and point out all kinds of interesting things on the New York scene that we would otherwise miss James would have objected to this He would have argued that the spiritual growth of Archer, like that of Lambert Strether in The Ambassadors, would have a richer significance if viewed entirely through Archer's mind It was one of their principal points of division. Mrs Wharton refused to subordinate to any rule of design the "irregular and irrelevant movements of life" that to her made up the background of her stories.

It is interesting that her name should be so constantly linked with James's, considering bow different were their approaches to their art. His influence is visible, superficially, in her early work, and, of course, they were both interested in Americans in Europe.

but there the resemblance ceases James was subtle, speculative, and indirect; Edith Wharton was always clear and to the point Percy Lubbock speaks of her aversion to the abstract, to any discussion of the conundrum of life's meaning. She dealt with definite psychological and social problems and handled them in her own definite way. Her sentences never have to be read and reread, like James's, for richer and deeper disclosures. Furthermore, she and James, although good friends, never appreciated the best in each other's work. He found her most successful when most under his influence, as, for example, in The Reef, while she distrusted the whole artistic bent of his later years, feeling that he was severing himself more and more from "that thick nourishing human air in which we all live and move " If she must be regarded as any one's disciple, it would be more accurate to note her relation to George Eliot, whose clear, strong style, broad canvas, and obsession with moral questions always fascinated her.

Al long as Mrs. Whatson had elected, after the war, to continue writing about the social life of a cuty that she had given up even visting, she would have done better to restrict herself to the eras of its history with which she was acquainted. The four stortes that make up Old New York (1934) evoke the atmosphere of the last century as successfully as anything in The Age of Innocence. But she was too concerned with the world around her to write only of the past. She wanted nothing less than to interpret the age in which she lived and to seek out the origin and cause of the fincesaring number of things in it that angered her. Also, her way of life had become expensive—a house north of Paris, another on the Riviera, twenty-two servants—and she needed a wider audience. To take advantage of the big pay of the American women's magazines, it was necessary for her to write about Americans of the moment.

The Glimpset of the Moon (1912) was first serialized in the Pictorial Review, which may give the due to its author's remarkable lapse of style and taste. The jacket of the book depicts an Italian villa on Lake Come by moonlight to evoke the maskish, gushing mood of an opening chapter which makes the reader rula his eyes and look again to be sure that he is itealing with Edith Wharton Nick and Susy Lansing, two bright young penniless hangers on of the international set, have married on the understanding that their bond may be dissolved at the option of the first to find a richer spouse. Nick is again the dilettante hero, writing a novel about Alexander the Great in Asia because it takes less rest itch than an essay, but now, for the first time, reader and author see him from radically different points of view. To the reader he is, quite simply, an unmitigated ead, perfectly content to live in the borrowed houses of rich friends so long as his wife agrees not to steal the cigats or to take any overt part in the blindfolding of their hostesses' deceived husbands. On these two commandments living all his law and his prophets, and when Susy has violated both (in each case, for his sake), he abruptly abandons her to pursue an herress It is impossible to imagine how Mrs. Whirton could have picked such a man as the hero of a romance unless she seriously believed that he represented what a gentleman had sunk to in the seventeen years which had elapsed since the publication of The House of Mirth. But could even Lawrence Selden have degenerated to a Nick Lansing? And could Lily Bart ever have stolen eigars? Surely the world had not been entirely taken over by the Lansings and their dismal set of international drifters who blur together in a mare of furs and jewels and yachts. Mrs. Wharton's preoccupation with vulgarity had for the moment vulgarized her perceptions.

The lapse in her style can be illustrated by contrasting three descriptions of ladies of fashion. The larst is from The House of Mrith Lastence Selden is staing his shreed, leburely note of the person of Lily Bart, and his speculations provide our first imight into the central problem of the relaxaters:

Exerything about her was at once vigorous and exquisite, at once strong and fine. He had a confused sense that she may they cope must, as great deal to make, that a great many dull and ugly people must, in some mysterious way, have been sacrificed to produce liet. He was a ware that the qualities disunguishing her from the herd of her sex were chiefly ever the confused in the great of the confused with the product of the sex were chiefly everall, as though a fine glare of beauty and fastificiousness that been applied to wrigher class.

The second is from the scene an Madame de Treymes, published two years later, where John Durtiam contemplates Fanny de Maltive after her call upon his mother and sister at their hotel and discerns in her fluster a ground for hope as to his future. The passage, with a faint Jamessan ring, is finely conceived.

The mere fact of her having forgotten to draw on her gloves as they were descending in the hotel life from his mother's drawing room was, in this connection, charged with significan to Durham She was the kind of woman who always presents erself to the mind's eye as completely equipped, as made of exquistley cared for and finely related details, and thus the heat of her parting with his family should have left her unconscious that, she was emerging gloveless into Paris, seemed, on the whole, to speak hopefully for Durham's future opinion of the city

Turning to The Gimptes of the Moon, we see one of Sury Lansing's friends, not only through Sury's eyes, but through the angruly disapproving eyes of Mrs. Wharton The idea to be conveyed is that the lady described is as banal as her motor and her motor as banal as a magazine advettisement, but as the style is interally the style of a magazine advettisement, we can only wonder what reason the author has to sneet:

But on the threshold a still more familiar figure met her- that of a lady in exaggerated pearls and sables, descending from an exaggerated motor, like the motors in magazine advertisements, the huge arks in which jeweled beauties and alender youths pause to gaze at snow-peaks from an Alpine summit.

Fortunately the novels that followed The Glimpies of the Moon are not all quite as slick. If they are not good novels, neither are they potholicis. But it seems a pity that Mris. Whatton should have chosen to lay all the blame lor the shapelessness of the postwar world on her native land. In book after book her complaints grow striller and shriller until at last everything across the Atlantic is tained with the same grotesque absurday. She gives to her American towns such names as Delon, Aeschylus, Lohengrin and Halleluja, and to their inhabitants, in their brief hours away from money-making, a total gullibility in dealing with religious and medical chartatan. Their fuzzy real for good causes envelops their

hideous skystropers in a suffing doud of eighborn. And the American facel How it haunts her! It is as "unexpressive as a footbill."

It might have been made by "a introducturer of sporting goods."

Its someons encompasses her "with its innocent uniformity." How many of such faces would it site "to make up a single individuality? Years before, the had written to an English friend about James "Ametica can't be quite so summarily treated and so lightly distributed as our great Henry Uniks." Yet, reading het later novels, we can only wish that she had dismissed America altogether

Kate Clephane in The Mother's Recompense (1925) returns to a society in New York which has ourstured her, as it ostratical Mrt. Lidotose in "Auto Temps," to find, unlike Mrs. Lidotose, that it can revue its judgments. She is completely accepted by the people who once cut her and thinks the less of them for their tolestane. She finds only one person in New York who seems to have any real moral liber, and that is her daughter who, perhaps for the same reason, strikes the cader as a rather wooden grif. When Kate discovers that Anne is agnorantly about to marry her own formed loser, the trues desperately to break up the match without celling the grif why and finally surrenders to the situation in order to acod "sterile pain" Dut having renounced sterile pain for the daughter, the elects it for herself by refusing the offer of marriage from a devoted old admarer who has been shocked, but only momentarily, by het confession.

Mrs. Whatron reemed the cruies who deplored the ending of the book and apoke of the "demouses of incomprehension" with which the now left herself surrounded. The clue to Kate's scriffer, she hinted, hes in the quotation from Shelley on the flylend. "Declation is a delicate thing." My own interpretation is that Kate, imbined with the semittisty of one who, like Mrs. Lifdote, has been broken on the wheel of a stemer age, feels more kernly than anyone clse the horror of Anne's marriage. What she hates in the modernt world is not so much that such things can happen as that people no longer really care that they do. Anne is caught in the situation of marrying her moder's lover because her mother has had a lover, and for that there must be expansion on the mother's part, alone in her shabby Riviera vallage, without the comfort of her old admirer. For Kate to go from the litter of fallen rose petals and grams of rice of her daughter's wedding to her ownould be joining forces with the noisy, thoughtless world of vacuous toatts in which all delicacy of feeling has vanished. Those who believe in the old, harder standards must be willing to suffer alone, without sympathy or even comprehension. But this, evidently, is not sterile pain Kate Clephane is intended to inhale finer aroms from the bouquet of her loneliness than her daughter will ever know.

So far Mrs, Wharton had only skirmished with America. The

story of the Lansings takes place in Europe, and Kate Clephane's drama is too much of the heart to have the locality of first importance. But she was preparing herself for a closer study of what had happened to America, and she had now spotted a type that she considered a representative victim of the disease of modern vul garity, if, indeed, it was not the virus itself. Pauline Manford in Twilight Sleep (1927) is the daughter of an invader from "Exploit" who has first been married to a son of the age of innocence, Arthur Wyant. But time has profoundly altered both types. The inyader's daughter is no longer prehensife or even crude; she has become bland and colorless and pointlessly efficient, building a life of public speeches and dinner parties around causes that she does not even try to understand, while Wyant, no longer the cool, well-dressed New York gentleman with a rollector's eye for painting and porcelain, has degenerated to a foolish gossiping creature whom his wife has understandably divorced for a speaky affair with his mother's old maid companion. That is what has come of the merger of the old and new societies; it has cost each its true character, Pauline Manford, with invader's blood, has survived better than has Wyant, but hers is a lonely and precarious survival in a rosy cloud floating on an ether of fatuity from which she views with frightened eyes the moraf collapse of her family. The invaders and their daughters have in common the faculty of immense preoccupation, the former with their businesses, the latter with their

LOUIS AUCHINGLOSS

causes. But both are blinded to all that is beautiful or significant in the world around them by the dust stirred up by their febrile activities.

In Mrs Manford Eduh Wharton was groping at the outline of

a well known American phenomenon, the committeewoman who, manuel to a man who cares only for his business, seeks refuge in bogus Utopias where beauty is expected to spring like a phoenix from the ashes of para If Mrs. Wharton had only stayed in Amer ica, how quickly she would have comprehended such a womant But Mrs Maniord is nothing but a caricature, mixing up her sperches to the birth control league and the society for unlimited families, going to the Busy Man's Christ" for "uplift" treatments and seeing her children only by secretarial appointment. Mrs Wharton seems to have no sense of the violent resentment that may tinderlie such a woman's placed stare or of the fixtred of spouse and possessive passion for offspring that her false air of good sportsmanship may conceal. The American committeewoman is not apt, like Mrs Manford, to be surrounded by a family who re gard her goings-on merely with a cheerful, amused tolerance. Twilight Sleep is a rather formidable battering ram used on a straw woman. There was to be one more last gram sketch of the final decadence

There was to be one more lass gain sketch of the final decadence retuiling from the now ancient merger between old New York and the invaders. In the short story "After Holbein," a smille Mrapar ust down alone every might at the end of her great dilning room table, imagining that she is still the hostess at a dinner party, while her smirking servant go through a pantomine of serving guests. Anson Warley, a veteran bachelor, dilettinite, and diner out, who has scorned her parties in the days of her greatness, usifiering now himself from low of memory, goes to her house by mittake, and the two old broken down creatures squeak and gibber together, drinking the work awater which they take for Perriet Jouet and admiring flower vases stuffed with old newspapers 1 in sheen said that shere is no compassion in this story, but how much compassion does a short story need? It is a chilling, eleveity executed high piece, a sort of dance of death, pointing a grin

Edith Wharton

monial of the dinner party.

American mothers she bad now done, together with their husbands, both of the invader and dilettante variety, but what about children? They had never played much of a role in her books, as,

indeed, they had played little in her life. Mrs. Winthrop Chanter, a long-time friend of Mrs. Whaton's, has written that she was actually straind of them. But if she was ignorant of American nurseries and schools, she was very much aware of those pathetic little waifs, the products of multiple marriages, who were dragged about Europe in the wake of rich, pleasure-seeking parents and finally abandoned with governesses in seaside hotels. The various Wheater offspring in The Children (1938) have sworn to remain together under the lead of Judith, the eldest, in spite of what other custody arrangements their various parents and "steps" may

make. The children are sometimes amusingly, sometimes touch ingly drawn, but the sketches are still superficial and "cute," and the background of rich expatriate life in European resorts is filled in with the now heavy hand of her satire. The more interesting though secondary topic of the book is the relationship between Rose Sellars, the quiet, gentle widow of exquisite tact, with whom I suspect Mrs. Wharton may have a bit identified herself, and Martin Boyne, again the tasteful middleaged bachelor, who has made a fortune, like other Wharton heroes. offstage and has now plenty of time to idle abroad. Rose Sellars immediately understands and accepts the fact that Boyne's preoccupation with the Wheater children is, unknown to himself, a manifestation of his hopeless passion for little Judith. The novel was published in the year that followed Walter Berry's death, and the relationship between the two characters seems analogous to what may have existed between their creator and Berry; she, loving and easer, but restrained by the fear of embarrassing them both by a scene that might expose the small beer of his feeling, and he. detached but admiring, half-disappointed and half-i-ritated at

his own inability to respond so a gratifying if sometimes cloying affection. It is tempting to speculate that Martin Boyne's fate is

the author's revenge on his deceased counterpart. We leave him in the end, old and desolate, staring through a ballroom window at the beautiful Judish who, dancing with young men, is no longer even aware of his existence.

After The Children Edith Wharson embarked, although then in her late institute, on the most ambitious experiment of her literary career in the fictional buography of a young middle-western American writer, Vance Weston, told in two novels Hudson River Bracketed (1993) and The Gold Armee (1993) She opens his story in a town which is, typically enough, called "Euphonia" and plunges Larlesty imo details of middle-western life, as it Sinchar Lewis by dedicating Babbitt to her had given her some special insight into an area of America that the had never even serior result is as a bid as might be expected, but Varice Weston soon leaves his home town and comes to New York and an old house on the Hudson where his create or is on more familiar ground and where he meets a highly accomplished young Isdy, Halo Spear, who receives German poerry to him, "Just latent to the sound of the

words," she says, when he protests his ignorance of the tongue-It is easy to redicule this long saga with its distorted picture of the New York publishing world, as uncouth young writers and artists ("Zola - who's he?" somebody yawned. "Oh, I dunno The French Thackeray, I guess "), its irritatingly efficient heroine who can change travel accommodations and rent villas as easily as she can spout Goethe, its insensitive hero whose obsessive egotism becomes ultimately tedious, its ponderous satires of popular novelists and literary hosiesses, but it nonetheless contains a strong picture of a young genius who educates himself and fights his way to interary success with a ruthlessness of which he is 100 preoccu pied to be more than dimly aware. We sympathize when he is stifled in the ignorant, carping atmosphere of his invalid first wife's home and with his artist's need to rip away even at the most basic family ties. Here at last in Edith Wharton's fiction is a picture of a man It may have all kinds of personal significance that he is neither a New Yorker nor a gentleman. As he develops cultivation in Europe, however, he develops some of the hardness of the older Wharton heroes, and when he leaves Halo at last for a round of parties in London, there is not much to choose between him and Martin Boyne. But that is in the second volume which, like so many sequels, should never have been written. Both reader and author have become bored with Vance. Yet one cannot but be impressed by the fund of creative energy that could produce such a book on such a wheet in the author's seventieth year.

At the very end of her life Edith Wharton turned back once again to the rich field of her childhood memories, and immediately the shrill butterness disappears, and the old, clear, forceful style is back to the aid of its mistress. If she had finished The Buccaneers (1938) it might well rank among the best of her work. The little band of social-climbing maidens who find New York too difficult and leave it to triumph in London are unsque in her fiction as possessing both her approval and affection. Old New York seems merely petty and narrow now in the person of Mrs. Parmore, while the parvenu is actually given charm and vitality in that of Colonel St George. The author's point of view is expressed by the English governess of the St. George girls, Laura Testvalley, an erudite but romantie spinster of Italian descent, a cousin of the Rossettis, who adores her covey of Daisy Millers and guides them up the slippery rungs of the London social ladder. Until the girls have achieved their titles the mood of the book is light and amusing; thereafter It becomes more serious. For they have, after all, missed happiness, and Nan, as the author's notebooks reveal, will find

hers only by leaving the Duke of Tintagel for Guy Thwarte.

Mrs Wharton had not only cast aside for once her disapproval
of those who are discontented to remain in the social grade of their
origin; the had even cast off four decades of classicism in taste and
morals to plot an ending that was to relebrate the triumph of
"deep and abiding love." Yet how many times in her stories and
novels have we not been told that no love can survive the cold
shoulder of society, the disintegrating shabbiness of a life in
second-class watering places! And is Nan now to get away with it,
to escare the fact of Anna Karenina?

But if Mrs. Whatton, at the end, permitted herself to Indulge

.

LOUIS AUCHINGLOSS

in the vision of a love that was to make up for everything, the love that Ellen Olenska and Newland Archer had renounced, she was still enough of a Yankee purstan to stipulate that such a love had to be paid for. If Nan and Guy are to have their happiness, Laura Testvalley must lose hers Her engagement to Guy's father will not survive her role in his son's elopement. Laura, the author's representative and a bit her alter ego, must be sacrificed to the gods

of order One wonders if at the last those gods did not, to Laura's creator, show some of the lineaments of the gods of derision whom she had so bitterly apostrophized in her journal thirty years before. With her posthumously published works, The Buccaneers and Ghosts, the total of Edith Wharton's fiction comes to thirty two

volumes Obviously, her ultimate reputation in American letters well rest upon only a fraction of this list Ethan Frome, I have no doubt, will always be read, but it is out of the main stream of her work I believe that she will be remembered primarily for her two great novels of manners. The House of Mirth and The Age of Innocence In these she succeeded in recreating an unadventurous and ceremontous society, appropriately sheltered behind

New York brownstone, looking always to the east rather than to the west, and the impact upon it of the winds that blew from both directions. There were plenty of minor writers who attempted to delineate this society, but among those of the first rank Mrs Whar ton, at least in the first decade of our century, had it to hersell It is true, of course, that some of James's characters come from the same milieu, but they are rarely considered in relation to their native land or cities. The reason Mrs. Wharton sucreeded where so many others have fasfed is that in addition to her gifts as an arrist she had a firm grasp of what "society," in the smaller sense of the word, was actually made up of. She understood that it was athitrary, capticlous, and inconsistent; she was aware that it did not hesitate to abolish its standards while most loudly proclaiming them. She knew when money sould open doors and when it couldn't, when lineage would serve and when it would be merely sneered at. She knew that compromises could be counted on, but that they were tasely mad: while still considered compromises. their summers. She realized that the social game was without rules, and this realization made her one of the few novelists before Proust who could describe it with any profundity. In American fiction her nearest counterpart is Effen Glasgow.

Edith Wharton died in her house near Paris of a stroke in 1937, at the age of seemityfixe. Her private papers were given to Yale and may not be published before 1968, which is probably the reason no biography has yet appeared. A great deal has been written about her in articles and memoirs, but almost always about the great lady, rarely the writer. This is nobody's fault, for Mrs. Whar-

acquired, how they decorated their houses and where they spent

ton took a certain pride in keeping her writing behind the scenes, in presenting herself to the world, so to speak, on her own. One short piece, however, by Iris Origo, describes a weekend on Long Island that Mrs. Wharton spent with old friends during a brief visit to America to receive a degree from Yale. It is one of the rare recorded occasions when the survivor from New York's age of innocence, the real figure behind the novelist, predominated over the brilliant and formidable lady of perfect houses and gardens Iris Origo relates how Mrs. Wharton refused to be led into any dis cussion of persons or events in France, of Carlo Placi or Madame de Noailles, and how, at each such attempt, she gently and firmly steered the conversation back to old friends and old memories in New York. The W's house on 11th Street, had it really been pulled down? Did her hostess remember the night they had dined there before the Colony Club ball? The X's daughter, the lair one, had she married her young Bostonian? Had Z indeed lost all his money?

ons money?

"For the whole evening, this mood continued. At one moment only—as, the last guest gone, she turned balf way up the stairs to wave good night—I caught a glumpse of the other Edith: elegant. formidable, as hard and dry as porcelain. Then, as the looked down on her old friends, her face soltened, even the erectness of her spine relayed a little. She was no longer the trim, hard Furo-Pan houses, but a nife old American lady Edith lad come home."

Sinclair Lewis

HARRY SINGLAIR LEWIS WAS the youngest of the three one of a country doctor, Edwar J Lewis. He was born on Febru ary 7, 1885, an the Minnesot willage of Sauk Centre, a raw hilde town less than thurry years old No one now knows where the name Harry came from, but the name Sinclair, which was to become famous, was the surname of a Wisconsin denties who was Dr. Lewis good friend. The boy's mother was an asling woman who had or prend much of her time away from home, in the South and South west, and when Harry was sive, the died In a year the doctor was married again. — to a good, bush, busy woman well suited to the hard working doctors onbending, friegal temperament. Harry Lewis boyhood was currously loseless, vexusions.

He was homely, ill coordinated, assignastic, redheaded, a stumbling, nossy, awkward boy. He was mept at hunting and fahinc, could hardly swim, was shunned in boy? games and sports, derided by his fellows and patronized by his elders. He was nearly friend less and was early given to solitary tramps about the countryside and to wide, indistributions are reading. He yearned so be in some place both more colorful and more kindly than Sauk Centre.

When he was seventeen, his father, whose forebears had lived near New Jixen, Connecticut, allowed him to carroll in Yel College after six months of necessary preparation in the Oberlin Academy. The college experience dashed his hopes for a lappive filer at Yale he was again friendless and tonely, more the outsider than ever, even though a number of his professors, recognising the lively intelligence, were good to him in high shool he had written occasional verses, and now at Yale he began to write regularly. Writing was not only a substitute for those social amenities that were denied him but also, he saw, the one means available to him whereby he might win the recognition and the respect of his fellows. His early verse and prose alike bore almost no resemblance at

all to either the subjects or the manner for which he would ultimately become famous. The poetry was imitative, occasionally of Kipling but generally of Tennyson and Swinburne, and he was much given to medieval subjects as he conceived them. His prose was archaic and florinted and its subject matter fantastie and melodramatic, Still, in 1904, he was the only freshman at Yale to appear (with a poem called "Launcelot") in the Yale Literary Magazine That poem is not without a certain imitative charm and almost certainly represents the highest poetic achievement of H. Sinclavre Lewys (as, at sixteen, he had thought of his literary persona)

LAUNCELOT

"Oft Launcelot greeves that he loveth the Oucen But oftener far that she cruel hath been."

Blow, weary wind,

The golden rod scarce chiding:

Sir Launcelot is riding

By shady wood paths pleasant To fields of vellow com.

He starts a whirring pheasant.

And clearly winds his horn

The Queen's Tower gleams mid distant hills:

A thought like joyous sunshine thrills,

"My love grows kind."

Blow, weary wind,

O'er lakes, o'er dead swamps crying, Amni the gray stumps sighing While slow, and cold, and sullen, The waves splash on the shore.

O'er wastes of bush and mullen. Dull cross flap, evermore.

The Autumn day is chill and drear As you knight, thinking Guenevere

Proves most unkind

Sinclair Lewis

HARRY SINCLAIR Lewis was the youngest of the three sons of a country doctor, Edwin J Lewis He was born on February 7, 1885, in the Minnesota village of Sauk Centic, a raw Intie town less than thirty years old. No one now knows where the name Harry came from, but the name Sinclair, which was to become famous, was the surraime of a Wisconsin dentits who was Dr. Lewis good friend. The boy's mother was an asting woman who had to spend much of her time away from home, in the South and Southwest, and when Harry was five, she died. In a year the doctor was married again — to a good, brish, busy woman well suited to the hard working doctor a unbenning, frugal temperament. Harry Lewis 'boykood was curnously lordes, verainous.

He was homely, ill-coordinated, assignante, redheaded, a stumbing, noisy, awkward boy. He was surpt at hunting and fisher could liardly swint, was shunned in boys games and sports, derided by his fellows and parnonized by his telders. He was nearly frend less and was early given to solitary tramps about the countrylife and to wide, indiscriminate reading. He yearned to be in some place both more colorful and more kindly than Sauk Centre.

When he was seemstem, his father, whose forebeats had lived near New Haven, Connecticut, allowed him to enroll in Yale College after six months of necessary preparation in the Oberlin Academy. The college experience dashed his hopes for a hoppine life at Yale he was again threadless and lonely, more the quisiter than ever, even though a number of his professors, recognism his lively intelligence, were good to burn in high alrool he had written objects. Furthermore, when those earlier novels were effective, they were so because of the body of closely observed physical detail, but it was detail more impressionistically, less massively presented than in Mam Street. Certain character types that were to be made amous by Main Street had already appeared—the hypocritical bigot, the village atheist, the aspiring idealist, and so on. And the basic pattern of Mam Street was exactly the same pattern that has already been described: a young creature is caught in a sultifying environment, clashes with that environment, flees from it, is forced to return, compromises.

Carol Kennicott, the heroine of Main Street, has no alternative

to compromise. Her values, her yearning for a free and gracious life, had only the vaguest shape, and when she tried to put the into action in Gopher Prairie, Minnesous, she found only the most artificial and sentimental means. To some readers even then (when thousands of women were identifying themselves with her) she seemed like a rather foolish young woman, and so today she must seem to every reader. In the end, the true values are those of her husband, "Doc" Kennicott, who, for all his stolidity, is honest, hard-working, kindly, thrifty, motivated by common rense—altogether like Lewis' brother, Dr. Claude, and even rather like his father, Dr. E. J. Ir is Kennicott who has the last word. In the end, then, it is the middle class that triumphs and the Middle West, and the middle brow. And so it would always be in fact in the novels of Sinchair Lewis.

It is more accurate to say that the triumph is given to the best qualities of the middle class and that it is its worst qualities that the novel cassigates: smigness, hypocriya, a gross materialism, moral cant. These are the qualities that Lewis' satire, even when the focus begins to blur as it does with Dodnworth, would continue to assail. Thus, immediately after Blain Street, he plunged into his research in that section of American life where those qualities were most obvious and therefore most readily Lamponed—the commercial world of the middle-class businessman in a medium-stred city. "Research" is the correct world if one thinks of a novelust perarting in the fastition of a sociologist preparing to make a field

1917 – was utled The Job, it is one of the best of his early books. The fifth of his novels, called Free Air, is a sentimental fictional reation of the Lewis trip across the continent in a Ford, and was published in 1919. At the same time that he was finishing Free Air, Lewis was working at what would be Main Street, finished in Washington early in the summer of 1920 and published in the full of that year. Now the apprenticeship was abruptly ended, and ended in a posture storm of willifection and applause. Suddenly Strelat Lewis was a farmous man.

When Main Street appeared, plunging fiterary America into a trare and heated controversy, at secured that nothing like it, with us shrill indictionent of village life, the middle class, prouncid America, land been published before. For many years popular American faction had been pivining village life as sweet and good, the middle class as kindly when not noble, the provinces as agious with an innocence in sharp contrast to the cruelry and corruption of the cities. In the fifty years before ago to there had, to be sufficient to the cruelry and corruption of the cities. In the fifty years before ago to there had, to be sufficient to the cruelry and corruption of the cities. In the fifty years before ago to there had, to be sufficient to the cruelry and corruption of the cities. In the fifty years before ago to the read of village life been visited to the cruelry and corruption with the correction of the cities. In the fifty years before ago to the cruelry and cruelly a sufficient properties and the correction of the cities of the cruel of the cruel of the cruel of the cruelry and correction of the cruelry and correction of the cruelry and cruelly and cruelly and cruelly and cruelly and cruelly and prevent and the cruelly and cruelly and cruelly and cruelly and prevent and the cruelly and cruell

Main Sireet seemed to those readers who had known Lewic earlier work to be a complete rupture with everything he had done before. A look at those easilier movels now shows this not to have been the situation at all. All five works had essentially the same pattern the impulse to escape the conventions of class or routine, flight; a partial success and a necessary compromise with conventions. Realistic in decail, these novels were optimistic in none in any that was anot generally associated with what was then thought of as the school of realism, and it was the combination of the optimistic view of human character with the body of observed social detail that cities remarked and some readers enjoyed.

There had been satureal fashes in the earlier books if not the generally sustained and less good tempered satire of Main Street, but satire nevertheless and satire directed against the same general

objects. Furthermore, when those earlier novels were effective, they were so because of the body of closely observed physical detail, but it was detail more impressionistically, less massively presented than in Main Street. Certain character types that were to be made famous by Main Street had already appeared—the hypocritical bigot, the village atheist, the aspiring idealist, and so on. And the basic pattern of Main Street was exactly the same pattern that has already been described: a young creature is caught in a stultifying environment clashes with that environment, flees from it, is forced to return, compromises.

Carol Kennicott, the heroine of Main Street, has no alternative to compromise. Her values, her yearning for a free and gracious life, had only the vaguest shape, and when she tried to put them into action in Gopher Prairie, Minnesota, she found only the most sartificial and sentimental means. To some readers even then (when thousands of women were identifying themselves with her) she seemed like a rather foolish young woman, and so today she must seem to every reader. In the end, the true values are those of her husband, "Doc" Kennicott, who, for all his stolidity, Is honest, hard-working, lindly, thirty, motivated by common sense—altogether like Lewis' brother, Dr. Claude, and even rather like his father, Dr. E. J. It is Kennicott who has the last word. In the end, then, it is the middle class that triumphs and the Middle West, and the middle-brow. And so it would always be in fact in the novels of Sinchair Lewis.

It is more accurate to say that the triumph is given to the best qualities of the middle class and that it is its worst qualities that he novel catigates: smugness, hypocrisy, a gross materialism, moral cant. These are the qualities that Lewis' saire, even when the focus begins to blura as it does with Dodnworth, would continue to assail. Thus, immediately after Main Street, he plunged into his research in that section of American life where those qualities were most obvious and therefore most readily lampooned—the commercial world of the middle-class businessman in a medium-sired city, "Research" is the correct word if one thinks of a novellist operating in the fathion of a sociologist preparing to make a field operating in the fathion of a sociologist preparing to make a field

MARK SCHORER

report. It is the novel Babbitt that established what would hence lorth be Sinclair Lewis' characteristic method of work, a method toward which he had been moving ever since his cattleboat notetaking days.

To begin, he chose a subject - not, 20 for most novelisis, a character situation or a mere theme, but a social area that could be systematically studied and mastered. Ordinarily, this was a subclass within the middle class, a profession, or a particular problem of such a subclass Then, armed with his notebooks, he mingled with the kind of people that his fiction would mainly concern. In Pullman cars and smokers, in the lobbies of side-street hotels, in 2th letic clubs, in a thousand junky streets he watched and listened, and then meticulously copied into his notebooks whole catalogues of expressions drawn from the American lingo, elaborate lists of proper names, every kind of physical detail. He drew intricately detailed maps, and maps not only of the city in which his story was set but of the houses in which his actions would take place, floor plans with furniture precisely located, streets and the kind and color of dogs that walked on them. Mattering this body of material, he would then write out a summary of his story, and from this, a much more extended "plan," as he called it, with every scene sketched in, the whole sometimes nearly as long as the book that would come from it. A first draft would then follow, usually much longer than the final version, and then a long process of revision and cutting, and at last the publishable text. Although he traveled the length and breadth of the United States in 1920 and 1921, always listening and looking with Babbitt in mind, it was, in lact, Cincinnati, Ohio, that provided the chief scene of his researches for this novel about a place called Zenith

his recearches for this novel about a place callent zentilion. Again, Babbit (1911) plunged the nation into literary controvery. Again, the novel seemed absolutely new, unlike anything that had rome before It. Again, to many the assault on American virtue seemed brutal, uncompromising, and unfair. All over the United States Sinclair Lewis was denounced as a 'Ulaim and a traitor, and all over the United States thousands and thousand of people bought his novel. In Europe it seemed that someone in

America was finally telling the whole truth about the appalling culture of that deplorable country. A class had been defined, as it had been given the name that stays with it still. H. L. Mencken's abstraction of boobus Americanus had been given a body, a body that lives still in the American imagination.

Lewis' original intention in Babbitt, he later said, was to recount twenty-four hours in the life of his character, "from alarm clock to alarm clock." That original structural convention remains in the first seven chapters as the book stands. The twenty-seven chapters that follow are systematically planned if rather aimlessly assembled set pieces that, taken together, give us the sociology of middle-class American life. These pieces have as their topics such matters as Politics, Leisure, Club Life, Trade Association Conventions, Class Structure and Attitudes, Conventional Religion, "Grank" Religion, Labor Relations, Marriage and the Family, and such lesser tonics as The Barb vishop and The Speakeasy, There is no plot to contain and unite these interests, but their fragmentariness is in part overcome by the fact that George Babbitt moves through all of them in the course of his rising discontent, his rebellion, his retreat and resignation. Each of these three moods, in turn, centers in a more or less separate narrative: the first in the Imprisonment of Paul Riesling after he shoots his wife; the second in Babbitt's attempt to find sympathy in Tanis Judique and "the Bunch": the third in the pressures brought on him by the Good Citizens' League and his wife's happily coincidental emergency operation. It is not surprising that the general thematic and narrative movement, like the central figure himself, is sometimes lost to sight in the forest of marshaled mores.

Had the early optimist vanished in the Menckenian pessimist, as it seemed to so many readers in 1922 and 1937. In fact, the essential narrative pattern had not changed in Babbitt the individual trapped in an environment, eatching glummerings of something more desirable beyond it, struggling to grap them, succeeding or failing Babbitt fails—or nearly does—with the result that the comic satiric element here is both heightened and broadened over that of the earlier nosels, fulfor Fadiman, writing

later, defined the essential pattern when he wrote of Dodsworth as a man who "can neither give himself wholly over to the business of bring a businessman nor give himself wholly over to the more difficult business of being a man. His vacillation between the part and the whole forms the basic theme of all of Sinclar Levis's finest novels." Similarly, Frederick Hoffman urgested that there are two Babbutts, one the perfect Menckenes "book," the other the "doubting Babbutt." A double question follows: can the doubting Babbutt energies of the quisties that make a man as well as a businessman, that create a society as well as a mere association of "souten", and, can Sinclar Levis?

of "joiners", and, can Sinelaw Lewes?

The noted makes it easy enough for one to asme the values that would save Zenith and Babbitt with at They are love and friend-ship, kindness, tolerance justice, and integrity; beauty intellect. For the first two of these Bubbitt has a throubleng desire if no very large capacity. Of the next four he has numations? The secunds he can approach only in the distortions of his tweetles, as in his morning dream of the "fairs child." To the last he is a total train ger Of Lewis one may asy he was much lake Babbitt in the first two, with no greater capacity; that the next four constitute the core of his character and of his demand on life, of the next, that is too tradity ordened by sentment, as is abbutts; and of the last one can only say that on the extdence of the novels the matter remains enfinement.

Omitted from this list is the power of observation, which, in tis full sense, may depend on all the other qualities taken to eighter and become the highest form of intuitions; but in the more limited sense in which we commonly use the teem in both social intercourse and literary discourse, it is this quality that differentiates Lewis from his creature. It is this quality that enabled John O'Hara, many years later, to say that "Lewis was born to write Babbitis* story... All the other novelitis and journalitis and Babbiti thinself were equally bland to Babbits and Zenith and the United States of America until stars."

The novel was, in fact, the first of its kind in two striking ways American literature had a full if brief tradition of the business novel. James, Howells, London, Phillips, Herrick, Sinclair, Wharton, Dreiser, Poole, Tarkington—all these writers had been tentrally concerned with the businessman; and, after James and Howells, only Tarkington was to find in him any of the old, perdurable American virtues. Business was synonymous with ethical corruption; the world of business was sayagely competitive, brutally aggressive, murderous. The motivation of the businessman was power, money, social prestige—in that order. But the businessman indust all this faction was the tycoon, the powerful manufacturer, the vast speculator, the fabulous financier, the monarch of enormous enterprises, the arch-individual responsible only to himself. And his ronters was production.

After World War I, the tyeoon may still have been the most colorful and dramatic figure in the business myth, but he was no longer the characteristic figure, and Babbitt discovers the difference. This is the world of the little businessman and, more particularly, of the middle man. It his morals are no better, his defections are anything but spectacular. Not in the least resembling the autocratic individualist, he is the compromising conformist. No producer himself, his success depends on public relations. He does not rule; he "joins" to be safe. He boosts and boasts with his fellows, sings and there in praise of the throng, derides all difference, denounces all dissent—and only to climb with the crowd And with the supremacy of public relations, he holishes human relations. All this Sinclair Lewis' novel was the first to give back to a culture that was just becoming aware that it could not tolerate what it had made of isself.

And it did it with a difference. The older novels, generally speaking, were solemn or grandly melodramatic denunciations of monstrous figures of aggressive esti. Babbit was statucously satisfied of a crowd of ninnies and buffoons who, if they were malicious and mean, were also ridiculous. And yet, along with all that, Babbitt himself was pathetic.

With Babbitt, Sinclair Lewis' extraordinary gift for satirical mimicry of American speech found a fuller and more persistent expression than in any previous work. Nowhere it it more success-

MARK SCHORER

ful than in Babbitt's address at the annual meeting of the Zenith Real Estate Board:

"Some time I hope tolks will quit handing all the credit to a lot of moth-caten, mildewed, out-of-date, old, European dump, and give proper credit to the famous Zenith spirit, that clean fighting determination to win Surcess that has made the little old Zip. City celebrated in every land and clime, wherever condensed milk and paste-board cartons are known! Believe me, the world has and paste-outre terroint are known theirer me, the worm the fallen too long for these women of countries that aren't producing anything but boothbacks and scenery and boore, that haven't got one bathroom per handerd people, and that don't know look leaf ledger from a slap-cover; and it's just about time for some Zenthier to get his back up and holler for a show-down!"

And so the stream of clotted argot and cliche floods on and on-With this book, Sinclair Lewis seemed to most readers to have become America's leading noveline. The reviews were extravagant and the one that seemed to mean most to Lewis himself appeared in the New Statesman and was written by Rebecca West. "It has that something extra, over and above," she wrote, "which makes the work of art, and it is signed in every line with the unique personality of the writer." After quoting from one of Babbitt's public speeches, she continues: "It is a bonehead Walt Whitman speaking, Stuffed like a Christmas goose as Babbitt is, with silly films, silly newspapers, silly talk, silly oratory, there has yet struck him the majestic creativeness of his own country, its miraculous power to bear and nourish without end countless multitudes of men and women . . . But there is in these people a virility so intense that it must eventually bolt with them and land them willy nilly into the sphere of intelligence; and this immense com-mercial machine will become the instrument of their aspiration."

There were dissenting voices among the reviewers. There were those who argued that the vitality of the novel was only the aimless if "unique" vitality of the author himself, and what a critic like Gilbert Seldes, even when praising the book, was really saying was that the imaginative vitality of Sinclair Lewis failed to find any satisfactory aesthetic organization. The whole book should have been rewritten, he argued, after Lewis had taken a long look into himself. The implication was - and it was made explicit by others - that the book had no values beyond Babbitt's own, and that satire, comic and critical as it may be, must found itself on positive standards that are clearly there even if they are not stated. Some critics personalized this view by saying that Lewis himself was Babbier, and ascribed the success of the povel to the fact that the audience that Lewis satirized recognized in the author not an enemy but an ally, not a teacher but a brother And, indeed, many of the most loosely enthusiastic reviews that the book received came from the newspapers of those middle-sized middle-western cities that most resembled Zenith and that took pride in having served, as they thought, as the model for that modest metropolis If his environment is too powerful for George Babbitt, Lewis' next here was to prove more powerful than his, and, after the preceding two novels, critics thought again that a "new" Lewis had emerged. In fact, Arrowsmith (1925) merely permitted the idealism that had always been present to prevail. The idealist is no longer a solitary figure, for, besides Martin Arrowsmith, there are also Gottlieb, Sondelius, Terry Wickett, and others These are the dedicated truth seekers, the pure scientists who will not compromise with commercial standards or yield to institutional pressures. If, in the end, in order to maintain their own standards, they are forced to withdraw entirely from institutions, their standands are nevertheless aircotions.

After Babbitt, Lewis had not intended to write a novel about the medical profession. Returning to the Middle West, he was pursuing his intermittent researches for a "labor novel" which he had had in mind ever since his youth. In Chicago he quite accidentally met a young medical research scientist recently associated with the Rockfeller Institute in New York, Paul de Kruft, and together the two discussed the possibility of a novel about the corruptions of the medical profession and of medical research. The idea seized upon Lewis' imagination. His father and brother were both doctors and two of his uncles had been doctors, and while he had already treated the type of the country doctor, he had not dealt with medical seience in its transfer

MARK SCHORER

aspects, and this subject too had long interested him. With De Kruif, he atranged a tour of the Caribbean, where much of the action of Armountith was to take place, and then they proceed to England where Lewis, with De Kruif always at his elbow, began to write the nosel. The writing of this novel probably gave him more personal satisfaction than any other that he had already published or that he was to publish. It released a latent strain of idealism that was very powerful in his character but that his other subject matter had not permitted full expression.

The other side of this idealum continued the same as before, and most ed the same subjects for stife. A narrow provincialism, hypocrity, comparency, the "security" of organizational activity, pomposity, the commercial sparst, and the ideal of eath – all three were present again. Their presentation differed not only in that their opposites were given more substantial representation but had so in that they were woren into a story that was fuel more excit ing than any other that Lewis had devised and in that this story included a heroine, Martin's wife Leora, with whom everyone could sympathie, as not expresse could sympathie, as not express could sympathie, as not expresse could sympathie, as not express on the country of the coun

The praise for Arrowsmith, except for the disgranded remarks of a few doctors, was universal. In Evansion, Illinois, art obscure young English teacher named Bernard De Voto was able to say what the book was not it was not utbane, sophisticated, ironical, symmetrical, concise. If it was in some ways native, so were Hawthorne, Whitman, Mark Twain. And this is what Arrowmith is -Americal - in its naivete no less than its splendor. And thus, try ing to tell us what Sinclair Lewis' true quality is, the young critic, as critic, gives up; but not the enthusiastic reader: "It is the most American novel of the generation; and if it is not the best, at least it can never hereafter be out of mind when the few, diverse novels entitled to compete for such an epithet are considered . . . It goes down to the roots of our day. It is the almost inconceivable pageant of our America. . . . And that will . . . put Arrowsmith safely among the permanent accomplishments of its generationto endure with a few other great novels of America, none of them quite innocent of defect." The voice grows hourse; it was, the young De Voto confessed within the review, "the most extrava gant praise" he had ever written. And he was by no means alone but only a part of the booming chorus. It came as no surprise that this novel, unlike the controversial works that had preceded it, should command the interest of the donors of the Publicer Prize.

Sinclair Lewis had by this tume become a public figure of such quixotic reputation that it came as no great surprise either when he declined to accept the honor. His grounds, not very well argued, were that such prizes tended to legislate taste. Whether or not be was being distingenuous, attempting to punish the Pulurer people for not baving given him the prize for Main Street or Babbitt, the tact remains that the attendant publicity was worth infinitely more to him than the prize useful or the publicity that he would have received had he accepted it. With this gesture and his next two books, he swittly reversed the augmented reputation he had won as an idealistic novelist.

had won as an idealistic novelist. The first of these two novels was a piece of hack work, a ridiculous account of adventures in northwest Canada called Mantrap
(1926), and the second, Elmer Gentry (1927), was another explosion, the most controversial of all his books, the most brutal attack
on American standards.

Elmer Ganiry deals with the shabby area of evangelical religion Lewis chose Kansas City as the field for his research, and there he cultivated ministers of every denomination and faith. The result was the broadest and the most slashing satire that he was ever to write and the satire least concerned with the presentation of

positive values.

Like most of Lewis' novels, Elmer Gantry is a loosely episodic chronicle which involves no primary conflict about which all the action is organized, in which value can achieve a complex definition, and by which at least two orders of value are dramatized. The chronicle, like Babbit, breats down into three large parts, each pretty nearly independent of the others. In each event Elmer's progress is colored and in two of them threatened by his relations with a woman, but from each Elmer emerges trium-bant. The first part takes us through his Rapitst education, his

ordination, his first pulpit, and his escape from Lulu; the second takes us through his eareer as an evangelist with the finassic Sharon Falconer; the third takes us through his experience of New Thought and his rue in Methodism, together with the decline of his marriage to Cleo and his escape from Hettic, who threatens to bring him to public rum but who is herself routed as, in the final sentence, Elmer promises that "We shall yet make these United States a moral nations!"

It should not be supposed that the frank prominence in Elmet Cantry of sexual appetite—a rare enough element in a Lewis novel—or the fact that it several times threatens Elmer's other wise unimpeded success, in any way provides the kind of dramaticed counterpoint on the absence of which we are remarking, or that it in any way screes to introduce an element of human tenderness that modifies Elmer's brusality On the contrary, it is an integral part of the inhumanity of the religious environment within which he exist. Indeed, of all the forms of relaxionship that the novel presents, the sexual relation is most undilutedly brushlish, and it is perhaps the chief element in that animus of revulsion that motivates the author's creation of this locacial world

Hovering on the fringes of the plot are a few figures of good like Frank Shallard, honest designmen of sincere religious conviction, but these figure, all munor, are never allowed to enter the action or to oppose effectively the major characters, notably II mer Gantry hinself, one of the great beam of all literature. The minusely detailed history of Elmer Gantry involves an extraordinarily (ull account of every form of religious decay in American life, an account in which nothing is missing extrept all religion.

The world of Elene Centry is a world on total death, of social monsters without shadow. And in some ways therefore the noted gives us the purest Sinclair Levis More than this, one may that although it caused the greatest towor of all Levis' novels at the time of publication and although it provided a script for a which shown film quite recently, it remains the most neglected and perhaps most underestimated of Levis' major work. For the

subject animated in Lewis a latent strain of extravagant fantasy on the one band, and, on the other, a devastating sense of the possible poverty of human experience. The two moods, nearly opposite and yet clearly counterparts, can be very readily illustrated.

The first is best observed in the phantssmagoric scene in which Sharon capitulates to Elmer before an altar where she associates benefit in a rimal invocation, with all coddware of fartility.

herself, in a ritual invocation, with all goddesses of fertility:
"It is the hourl Blessed Virgin, Mother Hera, Mother Frigga,
Mother Ishtar, Mother Isis, dread Mother Astarte of the weaving
arms, it is thy oriestess, it is the who after the blind centuries and

the groping years shall make it known to the world that ye are one, and that in me are ye all revealed, and that in this revelation shall come peace and wisdom universal, the access of the spheres and the pit of understanding. Ye who have leaned over me and on the pit of understanding. Ye who have leaned over me and on your bostoms, open his eyes, release the pinnoned spirit, make him your bostoms, open his eyes, release the pinnoned spirit, make him

as the gods, that with me he may carry the revelation for which a thousand thousand grievous years the world has panted. . . . O mystical rose, O lily more admirable, O wondrous union; O St. Anna, Mother Immaculate, Denetter, Mother Beneficent, Lakduni, Mother Mort Shinling; behold, I am his and he is youts and ye are mine!"

The absurd extravagance of this acene is somehow emphasized by the absence in it of any honest recognition of human need or of human fulfillment. The travesty that it makes of both the sexual and the religious experience is of course to be associated with the temper of evangelustic orgy that permeates the novel. Dramatelly, however, it should be juxtapored with such an earlier scene,

ence is finally equated with the memory of an "old hoss":

"I would of liked to had you try your hand at politics. If I could
of been, just once, to a sensor's house, to a hanque to romething,
just once, in a nice bright red dress with gold slippers, I'd of been
willing to go back to alpace and scrubbing floors and listening to
our erhearing your termons, out in the table, to that old mare

as blankly homely as this one is hilariously horrible—a scene in which a deaf old resired preacher and his wife are going to bed after fifty years of marriage, and the whole of that marital experi-

MARK SCHORER

we had for so many years - oh, laws, how long is it she's been dead now? Must be - yes, it's twenty seven years -"Why is it that it's only in religion that the things you got to believe are agin all experience? Now drat it, don't you go and

quote that 'I believe because it is impossible' thing at me again! "Twenty-seven years! And we had that old boss so long before

that. My how she could kick - Busted that buggy -" They were both asleep,

The two scenes supplement one another; they represent the extremes of the nightmare image of a world that, totally empty of human value, monstrously, and without relief, parodies the reality.

The book, to the great advantage of its sales, was immediately banned in Boston, and bans of one kind or another-from the simple refusal of public librarians to put it on their shelves, to announcements by booksellers that they would not stock it, to wholesale municipal bans - extended from Kansas City to Camden, from Boston to Glasgow. Every ban provided the publishers

with the least expensive form of prontotion. News stories of every kind developed out of the publication of the book and the character of the author. The Boston Transcript announced that "it is neither wrong nor unjust to acruse Lewis of being one of the greatest egoists in the world today." He was invited to a lynching party in Virginia; one cleric suggested that a prison sentence of fire years was clearly in order. Letters of abuse cluttered his mail

In a resolution supporting the Anti-Saloon League of New York State, one Methodist minister declared before the annual assent blage of the New York East Conference, "The Methodist Church is cordially hated, not only by the class represented by Mr. Sin clair Lewis and the rum organizations, but also by every evil organization of every kind whatsoever," while only a few weeks later the graduating class of New York University voted Sinclair Lewis its favorite author. An item in an Ohio newspaper ran as follows: "Trouble in the home of Leo Roberts, general manager of the Roberts Coal and Supply Company, began when his wife brought home a copy of Elmer Gentry and he burned it as undesirable reading matter, according to Mrs. Roberts at a bearing Wednesday before Judge Bostwick of Frobate Court, when Roberts was ordered to a private sanitatium for a short rest, after his wife, Mrs. Margaret Roberts, 1671 Franklin Park South, charged him with Junacy." Very soon ministers' wives were seeking divorces on the grounds that their husbands were Elmer Cantrys, i.e., adulterers; and ministers themselves were demanding that colleagues too attentive to their choir singers be investigated. In less than six weeks, even the least literate of churchgoers had heard of the novel as it was denounced from the pulpit of his church.

Never has a profession cooperated so zealously with a publisher as the clergy, of all denominations and faiths, in 1927. Generally, of rourse, the novel was the subject of denunciation: "slime, pure slime," "sordid and cowardly," "venomous," "supprincipled," "an insult," "filthy"—these were some of the terms of abuse. The evangelist Billy Sunday called Lewis "Satan's cohort." He was not only "Menchen's minion," he was Judas. Yet here and there, quieter clerical voices suggested that, while Elmer Gantry was a monster, the novel itself was a useful tonic in a situation not entirely beathy.

Reviewers praised the novel and abused it with equal vigor. Again, thousands of people bought it. It. L. Mencken thought it one of the great satires of all time and compared Lewis with Voltairs. The novel could not have been more appropriately desicated than it was —to Mencken, "with protogonal admiration,"

There were to be further reversals, Lewis' first marriage had by now fallen into decay and he was wandering about Europe, alone, looking for new subject matter while the furor over Elmer Contry raged at home. He found his subject matter in the story of a wealthier, more powerful, somewhat more sensitive Babbirt named Samuel Dodsworth, unhappily married, wandering about Europe and discovering a superior woman who would become his second wife. So, stumbling into Betlin, Sinchair Lewis met a superior woman, the handsome Dorothy Thompson, best known news-

MARK SCHORER

paperwoman in Europe, and presently she would become his second wife.

He interrupted the writing of Dodsworth to expand into a book length work a short story he had recently published in the American Mercury - "The Man Who Knew Coolidge" - the monologue of an idiotic, sub-Babbitt type named Lowell Schmaltz. Exercising here once more his remarkable gift for imitating the speaking American voice, he nevertheless added very little to his stature with this work. Then, after his marriage in London on May 14, 1928, he returned to the United States with his new wife and there finished Dodsworth (1929), This work once more assured Lewis' readers that he was a generous man, for while it again had its share of saure, the satire was directed largely at the frenetic pretentiousness and snobbery of Dodsworth's first wife, and it presented Dodsworth himself, with all his solidly American middle-class virtues, in full sympathy. Here there was no occasion at all for controversy And what Sinclair Lewis himself believed in, at the bottom of his blistered heart, was at last clear; a downright self-reliance, a straightforward honesty, a decent modesty, com on the cob and apple nie.

corn on the cob and apple pie.

The terms of the novel are much the same as they had always been, and the pattern is the same, of the man who glimppers a dream beyond the trivial actualities and stiffing habits of his life, and who, now, can make it real. Only the emphasis had been childred, and the object of satine drastically reversed. Whereas in earlier novels fie had satinzed the stuffy middle western clittenry, with its smugness, masteriabuse, and aggressive provinciality, and approved of the "outsiders," Carol and Paul Riesting and Marin Arrowsmith and Frank Shallard, now he satirizes the poor critic of Babbitty that he chooses to give the reader in the character of Fran Dodworth, and approves the middle-western citienty in the person of Sam, who has more money than Babbitt and needs, therefore, to think less about it, but who is hardly less aggressive in his own kind of provincialism.

For nearly the first time in his major novels he was handling material that was by no means new-for generations there had been novels about Americans in Europe; but what he was doing, or so it seemed, was new to him: approving the substantial middic-class, middle-western writues, the best of Babbitt. He had, of course, been doing this all the time and very explicitly in the early, little read books; but after Elmer Gantry and The Man Who Knew Coolidge, it seemed a sharp reversal.

No critics observed the larger significance of Dodsworth in the career of Sinclair Lewis and in modern American writing. Between the end of the war in 1918 and the beginning of the depression of the 1930's, a revolution had overtaken American life in manners and morals and all intellectual assumptions, and Main Street, Babbitt, Arrowsmith, and Elmer Gantry, whatever their aesthetic limitations, had played a major part, probably the major literary part, in this transformation. At the end of the 1920's, writers were left either in the situation of Scott Fitzgerald, trying "to hold in balance the sense of futility of effort and the sense of the necessity to struggle," or in the situation of young radicals who tried to turn their writing into social action on behalf of a hypothetical "proletariat." Only extremes of attitude presented themselves as possible: the jaded "aristocratic" attitude implied in the work of Fitzgerald (and implicit in such a school of criti cism as the New Humanism, however far this school may have been from him) and the revolutionary "working class" attitude exemplified by the New Masses and any number of "proletarian" writers. In Dodsworth, Lewis refused the extremes and turned back to a reassertion of those very middle-class, middle-brow, and middle-western values that the decade of the twenties seemed to have destroyed forever, and that it had most emphatically modified at least; and with those values he, who would henceforth seem to be the most old fashioned of modern American novelists, would henceforth abide.

Yet it was the Lewis of Babbitt rather than the Lewis of Dodsworth that led the Swedish Academy, at the end of 1930, to award him, the first American writer to be so honored, the Nobel Prize for Literature. That event followed on the birth of Lewis's second son, Michael, to his second wife, in the middle of that year, and it was probably a considerably less expected event for him. But for some time European readers had been fooking with increasing favor on American novelists, and especially on those who, like Sinclair Lewis, were critical of American culture. Other American novelists who were popular in Sweden—Jack London, Upton Sinclair, Edith Whatton, Theodore Dreiser, Sherwood Anderson—were read in much the same spirit as he was, as social critics of the same materialism and chauvanistic complacency, and with no important acribic discriminations to be made between them

Under these circumstances it is not surprising that Levis, who was the tharpest and the most detailed critic and who yet wrote out of what seemed to be love of his country, should have come to etem the leader. He had come to seem the leader, however, of a body of literature that was in fuelf as exciting as any in the world, and a body of literature that, in fits very criticism of American culture, demonstrated its maturity.

That criticism Lewis brought to its climax in his famous address delivered in Stockholm on December 12, 1930, and known now under the title "The American Fear of Literature." An attack on the atrophied tradition of gentifity and academicism in American critical values, it announced that "Our American professors hie their literature elear and cold and pure and very dead." Rather unfairly, it placed the blame on the continuing prestige of William Dean Howells (who had, in fact, been gracious to the still unknown young Lewis in their single encounter in 1916), and, delying "official" custodians of American literary culture, such as the American Academy of Arts and Letters, it praised such dissident novelists as Theodore Dreiser and Sherwood Anderson, and brought to the attention of its European audience the names of a whole group of young American writers who were still almost entirely unknown abroad. There are fallacies as well as injustices in the address, but it was composed in an authoritative spirit that made Lewis, on that day, in that year, the spokesman - what Walt Whitman had called the "literatus" - for the literary culture of the United States.

If Sinclair Lewis' reception of the Nobel Prize was the historic

event—and his spokesman-like acceptance of it only the marker of the event—its historic import was not merely in its putting American literature on a par with any other literature in the world, but also in its acknowledging that in the world America was a power that twenty years before it had not been, and that, until now, Europe had been refluctant to concede that it was. In December 1930 Sindair Lewis was bigger than America knew, proud as he may have been—and he was proud, above all, because he was regarded as of equal importance with three eminent scientists—he was bigger than even he himself knew, or would ever know. Of should we say that he was a smaller writer than he thought and a much larger symbol?

In Berlin early in 1931, in a fit of pique that climaxed long brooding, Lewis wrote his publisher, Alfred Harcourt, of Harcourt, Grand Company in New York, to tell him that their connection was severed. For a long time, he wrote Harcourt, he had felt that the firm had lost real susperst in his books, and its fallure to rise to the occasion of the Nobel Prize had made lts in difference all too clear. With proper advertising of the event, all his novels would have leaped into toaring stales figures again, Lewis announced. Worse than that, Harcourt had done nothing, even though he had the whole European press at his disposal, to counteract the supercilious and denigrating remarks about Lewis in the American press. "If you haven't used this opportunity to push my books energitically and to support my prestige intelligently, you never will do so, because I can never give you again such a monuent."

Alfred Hatcourt released him from his contractual obligations without any attempt to meet his charges. He may very well have felt that the separation came at logical time. The decade through which Harcourt, Beace, and Company had helped to make Sinclar Lewis an international reputation, and in the course of which Lewis' novels had helped to make of Harcourt, Brace, and Company a substantial firm, was over. Throughout that decade Lewis had promulgated his version of the American reality, and his effort had been brought to a climax with the great honor, But

the decade was over, and Lewis' sense of reality was no longer central to American history. He would never be able to change that sense, but history had alexady changed and would continue to change in his time, leaving him uneasily behind. His own discomforted sense of the change and of his inability to cope with current history as confidently as he had coped with the past may very well have been the major ingredient in his distuisfaction with his publishers. His nowels would continue to make money, and there would be many more of them, but they would never again bring distinction to a publisher's His as, in a succession of five smaking titles, they had brought to Harcourt, Brzee, and Company The Nobel Prite had come to him at preticely the right moment at was the moment at which Lewis, the serious novelist, was finished.

He was now forty-six years old and the author of twelve published novels. There were to be twenty more years and ten more novels. The beguilements of alcohol, which had for tome time been a problem for him, would become an increasingly acute problem as these twenty years passed. His second marriage would fall into even more sorded decay than had his first. His first son would be killed in World War II. His second son, even taller than his father, solider, and handsome, would grow up to be an actor, successful in that world that would presently captivate the father but in which the father was never to find a real place. Lewis, an increasingly restless man, would move from one establishment to another, from one city to another, all over the world, briefly occupying magnificent houses which, after a few months or a year or two at most, he would sell at great financial loss, when he would move on again in the hope of finding a better place. Pre cisely like his characters, he was always pursuing some vague and undefined glimmer of a happier place, a richer life,

How far he had moved, in these splendid establishments, from his humble beginnings in Sauk Centrel And yet there was always omething bleak and unlived us about even his most lavish house that suggested all too clearly that the bleakness of Sauk Centre will clume to him and lived on decre within him, How far, too, his international literary reputation had removed him from those taunts and jibes that had plagued him in his youth and young manhood, and yet he left himself still the victim of taunts and jibes, never really taken seriously as an artist, he felt, by other artists. In a kind of mounting frenzy he sought out the comforts of women much younger than he, especially young actresses, during a period when he was infatuated with writing for the stage and even took to acting himself, and finally, at the end of the 1930's and for a time in the 1930's, he did find a young actress who was willing to try to comfort him But in some profound way he was not to be comforted or consoled, and after the young woman abandoned him to marry a man more nearly her own age, Lewis began a series of resiless wanderings in Europe, and there, finally, in 1951, he was to die alone, among strangers, in Romarostenation, But all through those maddening years of decline, he continued, with a kind of mechanical regularity and even ruth lessness, to produce his novels.

The first of these was Ann Vickers, published in 1933—the story of an American cateer woman, and already, so soon alter his second marriage, shot through with all his ambiguities of feeling about the cateer of his new wife, which was to be phenome nally successful through all hat decade and into the next. The novel attempts through a large part of the life of a single character, to sketch in the chief interests in a whole period of American social history from before World War I into the Great Depression. For this history, Lewis drew largely on the background of his new wife's life but partly as well on that of his sown earlier years—pre-war Chrustian socialism, leminism and settlement house work, charity organizations, liberal and radical though, prison reform, sexual emancipation, the crisis of the depression, careers for women, equal rights, and so on. Through it all is the recurrent them of a woman who is trying to find hercell as a woman, not only as a Great Woman, just as Dodinorth was the tropy of a man trying to find himself as a man within the Businessman.

What is probably most interesting about the novel is the author's own ambiguous feeling about his heroine—exactly the feeling that he was already developing about Dorothy Thompson. Having chosen her as the prototype of Ann Vicken, he put himself in the position of describing symaphetically qualities that he was already resenting in life. His approval of Ann's deducation to degood" principles is at least uneasy, he resents the liberal and radical causes that his own characterization of her commuted him to approve, the sature touches are sporade, and sprawling, settling on her, on him, on them, but never pulling these together into real saure at all Most interesting is the portrast of Ann's husband, a feeble fellow who is palous of her expansiveness and prestige. Ann is rescued from this marriage by a man with red hair Sinclair Lewis, it, artiful and the standard of the feel have and was nicknamed "Red," but the character bears no other resemblance to Sinclair Lewis, it, arther, quite his opposite—a land of dream figure of warm toferance and relaxed semusity that Lewis would have liked to be but had nerer been and would never be able to the

Work of Art (1934), the next novel, was probably the first of Lewis' serious novels since Afain Street to be completely without distinction. (By "serious" one means work that he hunself took seriously) This novel brings to a climax, certainly, his old, uneasy suspicion of intellect and art, and his deep respect for middle class virtue, for effort. A novel about the hotel industry in America, it deals with two brothers, Myron and Ora Weagle. Myron is steady and reliable and, even as a boy, dreams of someday owning a perfect hotel. Ora is "literary" and spends his good for nothing days mooning in romantic fantasses and in writing verse of much the same sort as Sinclast Lewis wrose as a boy and a young man, and this portrait, a fantastic caricature of the Poet, is Lewis' belated act of exorcism. Ora grows up to be a commercial success and a hack, always self-deluded and scornful of his downright brother. But Myron is the true arrist, and Lewis makes nearly his every effort analogous to an act of artistic creation. Ultimately, Myron even keeps a notebook, "what must, in exactness, be called The Notebook of a Poet," in which he jots down ideas for im proving hotel management and reflections upon his experience as a hotelkeeper. Myron, too, has great success, then through the

ing that he was already developing about Dorothy Thompson. Having chosen her as the prototype of Ann Vickers, he but himself in the position of describing sympathetically qualities that he was already resenting in life. His approval of Ann's dedication to "dosgod" principles is at least uneaxy, he resents the liberal and radical causes that his own characterization of her commuted him to approve, the sature touches are sporadic and sprawing, settling on her, on him, on them, but never pulling these together into real sature at all. Most interesting is the portrait of Ann's husband, a feeble fellow who is gelous of her expansivents and prestige Ann is recough from this marriage by a main with red hair Sinchight Lewis was ignous for his red hair and was nichamed. "Red," but the character bears no other resemblance to Sinchight Lewis, it, arther, quite his opposite—a kined of derain figure of warm tolerance and relaxed semisability that Lewis would have liked to be but had never been and would never be able to but

Work of Art (1934), the next novel, was probably the first of Lewis' serious novels since Main Street to be completely without distinction. (By "serious" one means work that he himself took surption (py Serious one means with that he mager took seriously.) This novel brings to a climax, certainly, his old, uneasy suspicion of intellect and art, and his deep respect for middle-class virtue, for effort. A novel about the hotel industry in America, it writte, for effort. A novel about the hotel industry in America, it deals with two brothers, Myron and Ora Weagle. Myron is steady and reliable and, even as a boy, dreams of sometay owning a perfect hotel. Ora is "literary" and spends his good for nothing days mooning in romantic fantuses and in writing were of much the same sort as Sinclair Lewis write as a boy and a young min, and this portrait. a fantastic carneture of the Poet, is Lewis be lated act of exorcism. Ora grows up to be a commercial success and a hack, always self-defuded and scornful of his downight brother. But Myron is the true artist, and Lewis makes nearly his every effort analogous to an act of artistic creation. Ultimately, Myron even keeps a notebook, "what must, in excitness, be cilied. The Notebook of a Poet," "in which he jots down ideas for improving hotel management and reflections upon his experience as a hotelkeeper. Myron, too, has great success, then through the and a rather different kind from the first, Sinclair Lewis was only a confused man. Retreating into the absorbing life of the theater and devoting himself to the pursuit of young actresses, he turned, not surprisingly, to frivolous subjects in a half-dozen unsuccessful plays and in his next novel Bethel Merriday (1910) is a novel about a young actress. Less embarrassing than The Produgal Parents, it is hardly more important as fiction. Through the education of his young heroine in summer stock and touring companies, Lewis was able to include everything that he had learned about the theater; attached to rather than incorporated in this handbook material is a pale romance. Learning as much as one does of the theater, one learns nothing of the impulses that drive an actor or of the kind of satisfactions that an actor finds in his profession; and while the novel at one point glances at a May and December relationship, one learns no more of Sinclair Lewis' passion for young women than of that for the stage.

Gidron Planth (1948), the novel that followed, scemed to promise something of a return to the old Lewis. While he apparently intended, in this satiric attack on organized philanthropy and the activities of liberal "do-gooders," a return to the savage mode of Elmer Gantry, he achieved in fact little more than a crude parody and none of the solidity of that earlier novel. A splenetic attack, arising from the narrowest channels of a provincial mind, on the efforts of the professional "intellectual," its starte deteriorates into farce very soon after the novel gets under way. One figure in the book, Winifferd Homeward, "the Talking Woman," a cattoon-like take-off of his newly divorced wife, only underlined the essential lack of seriounces that characterizes this novel. And yet, self-deluded, Sinclait Lewis was able to autograph a copy of this work with the inscription "My most serious book—therefore, naturally, not taken too seriously."

That he intended to be serious in Gidron Planish, at least at the unuser, one cannot doubt; but it is something of a relief to turn to the next novel, Gass Timberlane (1945), with its much less serious subject. A novel about American marriage, it is halfsemtimental, half-splenettic. It is his own thinly veiled love story, political and social customs into their opposites. Documis Jessup, the hero, driven into his heroic stance at the end of the novel, is not really very different from Lewis' next hero, Fred Complow, of The Produgal Parents. It Cast's Happen Here elicited considerable excitement among left wing sympathics who could. The Product of the Production of the P

Considered as a whole work. It Can't Habben Here differs from other examples of the genre in having neither the intellectual coherence of Aldous Huxley in Brave New World nor the persuasive vision of a nightmare future of George Orwell in 1084. But in 1935 readers in the United States, like readers in Britain and in France (Impossible Ici'), were sensitive to their immediate history, and it was to the immediate possibility of that history that Lewis' novel shook their attention. Yet to have seen the novel as committing Sinclair Lewis to what was then called the United Front - the collaborative effort of all liberal and radical patties against the threat of fascism - was an error, for Lewis, while once a socialist and still a liberal of sorts, was certainly in no sense a political radical This fact became abundantly clear in the next novel, that sad effort of The Produgal Parents (1938) This story of Fred Cornplow and his wife Hazel, in revolt from their foolishly radical and trresponsible children, brings to a lame end, no doubt, Lewis' one-time ambition to write a novel about political idealism Rads cal politics are parodied in the figure of a comiostrip Communist and through the vagaties of undergraduates whose abound concern with the problems of labor is apparently the net result of Lewis' observation of liberal student attitudes in the United States during the 1930's, when he lived in the neighborhood of Darimouth College. Against these feeble antagonists is set the good American. Complow, a studgy bundle of receised opinions, the stereotype approved.

Now, at the end of the fourth decade of the twentieth century, with the United States about to plunge into another world war

plex, as well as one of the most pressing, issues in the national life the United States. From this attempt to deal with the immediate present, Lewis retreated into the historical past of Minnesota. The God-Seeker (1949) is apparently the first part of what was projected as a rillogy about labor in the United States. But it is a wooden, costumed performance about which even Lewis' publishers despared. And his last novel, World So Wide, published posthumously in 1951 (he det on January 10 of that year), is a thin attempt to write another Dodsworth. It is the final self-paredy, As Malcolm Cowley wrote, his characters sound now "like survivors from a vanished world, like people just emerging from orphanages and prisons where they had listened for thirty years to nothing but tape recordings of Lewis novels."

As Sinclair Lewis had experienced a long and unrewarding apprendictship before his phenomenal, ten-year success, so he suffered a long and sad decline. This beginning and this end do not make easy the problem of delivering any final literary judgment on him. The estimate of his literary contemporaries, which became so apparent at the time of the Nobel award, does not make the problem any easier.

The aggréssively enlightened had, of course, almost never taken him teriously. The experimentalists and the expariates though of him as a commercial hack. The scademic critics—whether simple literary historians like Fred L. Pattee, or dogmatic authoritarians like Professor Irving Babbitt and his followers in the New Humanism, or old fashioned conservatives like Henry Van Dyke in the American Academy of Arts and Letters—were united in their displeasure with the award. "Nothing [Lewis] can write can matter much now." Professor Pattee had just pontificated in The New American Literature, and the brilliant young liberal critic T. K. Whipple had just published his damaging estimate (one of the few grouinely critical appraisals of Lewis up to that time, and up to this) in his book called Spotzumen. Young radicals found Lewis politically illiterate. Older writers of no particular altegiance, like Sherwood Anderson, spoke out against him on the

MARK SCHORES

or rather, an extrapolation of such lattle love story as he had to tell; and from this situation arose has chief novelistic difficulties. Cast Timberlane is presented as forty-one years old, in love with a girl of twenty-three; but he behaves in some ways like a min of sixty, which Lwis now was, and in others like a fumbling boy of inteen, which he also was. Cas's most remarkable quality—which goes unremarked in the novel itself—is his sexual nalveté, and when the young Jinny Marshhand leaves him and enjoys an adulterous affair with his contemporary and best friend, it is not, the reader can only assume, his say that has been his problèm.

The story of Cass and Jinny is treated with a kind of sentimental affection, with only the faintest overtones of irony, and its treatment marks it off very sharply from the treatment of marriage an a whole group of surrounding sketches which the novel presents under the heading of "An Assemblage of Husbands and Wives." In these often brutally conceived accounts of lemale willfulness, tyranny, and lechery, the recognition of the American matriarchy is as clear as the method is uncompromisingly satirical. It is as if the novelist is trying to say two things at once, that all these are American marriages in general, including his own two marriages, but that this one at the center, of Cass and Jinny, is another matter, the marriage that he would now make if he could. With the slightest change of method - that is to say, with the slightest shift in perspective on his own situation - that central marriage would become only another in the great assemblage of miserable marriages at large. But one must remember that even Lewis' best novels were not notable for their clarity of point of view or for their power of self-evaluation, Should one expect these of him at

sixty, infatuated?

And so he staggered toward his end. In Kingiblood Royal (1947) he made his last streamous effort to re-enter American realise by addressing himself to the problem of the Negro minority in Anneitan life. The book aroused some excitement as a social document but none whatever as a literary performance, and even its social usefulness, it is now clear, is minimized by Lewis mechanical oversimplification of what is, of course, one of the most com-

society," T. K. Whipple said, "hecause he is himself the best proof that his charges are just." If he was the village intellectual, the village atheist, the rebel, the nonconformist crank for whom the dialect, the cracker barrel, and the false whiskers served as counterpoise to the stuffed shirt in his defense of what Lloyd Morris called "the old, free, democratic, individualistic career of the middle class," he was at the same time the pontifical village banker, the successful manufacturer of automobiles, the conservative, the very middle of the middle. His trust in "culture" was equaled by his trust in "things," His respect for science was certainly greater than his respect for art. Brought up in an environment that condescended to art and reverenced success, he managed, in that America, to make a success of "art." Often and increasingly it was bad art, and the success was in many ways abrasive and selfdestructive. In his novels, he loved what he lamented; in his life, he was most secure and content with the kind of people who might have been the prototypes for his own creatures,

Ten years before his death, in a mock obtusary, he said of himself that he had "affected but little the work of younger writers of fiction," that his style and his conception of the novel had in no way altered the contours of the American literary tradition. One can only wonder whether he had any seme at all of how increasingly old fashioned he came to sound, or that the generation immediately following upon his own—Fittgerald, Hemingway, Taulkner—was in fact quite a different generation which his work could in almost no way impinge upon, that he spoke for an older American experience than theirs. But in a larger sense than is suggested by the most familiar words in our critical vocabulary, style and structure, symbol and strategy, tone and tension and intention, he was an extraordinary influence, the major figure, probably, in what is called the liberation of modern American literature.

He had other impressive qualities, among them the ability to create a gallery of characters who have independent life outside the novels, with all their obvious limitations—characters that live now in the American historical tradition. A number of them

F. Scott Fitzgerald

The general acceptance of Scott Fitzgerald into the ranks of sersous and ambituous American novelists had to wait until his death in 1940. He was forty-four when he died and the story of the early rise and abrupt fall of his literary reputation - as well as his personal fortunes - can be fitted with neat symmetry into those two dramatic decades of the American twentieth century, the twenties and the thirties. The twenties were less than three months old when Fittgerald's first novel. This Side of Paradise. arrived and immediately became a famous American book. Within weeks of this first success a second hrand-new postwar product, his stories of the flapper and her boy friends, made it clear that the twenties would be his oyster and that he, handsome, clever, and lucky Scott Fitzgerald, would be one of the brightest figures of the new age. The climax of his fortunes arrived, we can see now, very rapidly. In 1025, came the splendid artistic success of The Great Gatsby, and then in the second half of the twenties the days and months of his private world began to descend into tragedy. He could not bring the order into his life that would allow him to write his next novel. By the end of the twenties he was living too high and drinking too much. In April 1930 Zelda Fitzgerald had the mental breakdown that ended the romantic life they had built together over the preceding ten years. During the thirties Fitzgerald's life encompassed enough pathos, irony, and final agony to make his biography by Arthur Mizener one of the saddest records of an American literary life since Edgar Allan Poe. Before he died he was dead as a writer. No one was buying his

MARK SCHORER

have become gigantic, archetypal figures that embody the major traits of their class Lewis' novels, as a result, are perhaps the last important American novels that are primarily concerned with social class Or are John Marquand and John O'Hara and James Gould Cozerns of his stature? If Lewis' novels often depended more heavily than theirs on the mere report of social minutus and of the details of the American lingo and more often failed to realize that material imaginatively, they nevertheless—as Joseph Wood Krutch has said—"recorded a reign of grotesque vulgatity which but for him would have left no record of itself because no one clies could have adequately recorded it."

He performed a function that has nearly gone out of American fiction, and American fiction is thinner for the loss, Many American novelists today tell us about our subjective lives, and on that subject Sinclair Lewis could hardly speak at all Fitzgerald, Herningway, Faulkner - they all had some sense of the tragic nature of liuman experience that was denied to Lewis Lyric 101, tensuous erstary - to these, too, he was apparently a stranger. But he had a stridently comic gift of mimicry that many a more polished American writer does not have at all. And a vision of a hot and dusty hell, the American hinterland, He gave Americant their first shuddering glimpses into a frightening reality of which until he wrote they were unawate and of which he himself may also have been unaware. As Alfred Kazın wrote: "There is indeed more significant terror of a kind in Lewis's novels than in a writer like Faulkner or the hard boiled novelists, for it is the terror immanent in the commonplace, the serror that arises out of the repression, the meannesses, the hard jokes of the world Lewis had soaked into his pores " With that America "soaked into his pores," he could document for an enormous audience the character of 2 people and a class, and, without repudiating either, criticire and laugh uproariously at both. In any strict literary tense, he was not a great writer, but without his writing one cannot imagine modern American literature. No more, without his writing, could Americans today imagine themselves. His epitaph should be: He did us good

Although the exchange never actually took place it has become part of the story of our two most legendary modern novelists. The moral implications of the anecdote, political, personal, and artistic, have usually been chalked up to Hemingway's score. It is significant for understanding the distance that separated the two men at this point in their frendship that Hemingway could make such demeaning use of Fitzgerald as a character in a piece of magazine faction. The anecdote concludes with this comment, "He thought they were a special glaunorous race and when he found they weren't it wrecked him just as much as any other thing that wrecked him," This was the public burnal of a liss-been writer, and Fitzgerald was deeply offended.

Hemingway's rebuke belongs to the general charge against Fitzgerald made frequently in the thirties that he was caunivated by the rich and their expensive manners, and forgot that too much money in America is always supposed to be a sign of vulgarity and wickedness. Applied to Fitzgerald's fiction this moralism is simpleminded. To disprove it there is exhibited in the novels and stories all the moral energy that Fatrgerald spent "fixing" the rich Since we read Fitzgerahl's stories of the sich in a more affluent Ameri can society, in which the rich have become less shocking because they are now less removed from middle-class mores, we should more easily detect the moral and cultural confusions in Fitzgerald's fiction if they are really there. Americans living through a new postwar society can no longer feel superior to Fitzgerald's interest in the American greed for fine cars, the right clothes, and the pleasures of the best hotels and offbeat entertainment. The American people now seem to be less embarrassed than they once were at the snothery of large parts of their social system. Contemporary social analysis has shown them how far ahead of his times Fitzgrahl was in describing the rigotous systems of status that underlie that rather contradictory American term, the Open Society.

We may in fact be today more responsive readers of Fitzerrald's stories of money and display and expensive charm than many of his contemporaries were. He wrote during two decades when an books though seven were still in print What has become clearer since his death in 1310 is a final mony, at the expense not of Fitzerial but of American Interry culture, the neglect he suffered during the 1310's was bugely undeserved. It sook two positionally published works to reveal to America how much serious work he had accomplished against great odds during the last ten vested to him the properties of the life.

The critical neglect of Fitzgerald had of course the effect of making the popular neglect seem deserted. That he interted his own life by dissupation and wated his fine talent all along the way was the judgment passed by most of the critica at the time of his death. The secretly of their judgments may have been judgment may have been work from the rest and to recognize how much good work there was It will perhaps become less of a temptation as the decades pass to be precupied with Fitzgerald as a person, and with his life as a cautionary tale, at the expense of a close concentration on his stories and novels. If used himself is omercilesty in his faction, there is often such a complere fusion between his life and his storiet, that concentrous reticution will always have to reprember D. If. Lawrence's warning to biographically minded critics: don't must the artist, trust the tale. There is, however, another order of difficulty in appreciating Fitzgrall's best work. His autilude toward money and moneyed people has been much musunderstood.

One way to begin a comideration of Higgerall's attraction to

One way to begin a consideration of Flugerald's attraction to the American rich as the prime subject matter of his fiction is to look at the most fanous Flugerald Interary anecdote. As Ernest Hemingway originally wrote is into his story "The Snows of Killimarjus", published in Equive in 1855, it went this way. Hemingway's writer here is mosting on his own life among the American rich. "The remembered poor Scott Flugerald and his romantic awe of them and how he had started a more once that began. The very rich are different from you and me.' And how someone had said to Scott, Yes they have more money that that was not humorous to Scott.

Francis Scott Key Fitzgerald was born in St. Paul on September 24, 1896. On his mother's side he was the grandson of an Irish immigrant who did well in the wholesale grocery business. His grandfather's estate was worth \$300,000 to \$400,000 when he died at the age of forty-four. This McQuillan money gave young Scott Fitzgerald the advantageous background of his grandmother's large house on Summit Avenue, the most aristocratic street in St. Paul, and it gave him eventually his expensive education in private schools and at Princeton. But he was always sensitive to the McQuillan beginnings as being what he called "straight 1850 potato famine Irish," The other half of his inheritance was much more pleasing to his keen sense of himself. His admiration for his gentlemanly but ineffectual father, who was descended from a seventeenth-century Maryland family, he put into both The Great Gataby and Tender Is the Night, He was named for Francis Scott Key, a distant cousin of his paternal grandmother's. In the thirties he wrote that he had early developed an inferiority complex in the midst of a family where the "black Irish half . . . had the money and looked down upon the Maryland side of the family who had, and really had, that . . , series of reticences and obligations that go under the poor old shattered word "breeding."

Fitzgerald's Catholic background was also opprenive to him as a boy. He wrote in his notebook later in his life that when hos a young "the boys in my street still thought that Catholic drilled in the cellar every night with the idea of making Pius the Ninth autocrat of this republic." But Fitzgerald never wrote these feelings of social displacement directly into his fiction or into the confessional essays of the mid-thirties. None of his important pragonitu is noticeably frish or Catholic and oone of the agonies they suffer is religious. He was not, apparently, a very derous schoolboy, even in a Catholic boarding school and under the influence of a sophisticated and cultivated priest. Montignor Fay, who was devoted to him and to whom he dedicated his first novel. (This state of Paradice is not as all a Catholic novel.) In 1919 at the end of his college career at Pintetton and his war service he waste to Edmund Wilson that his fact holicium was searchly more

American social revolution seemed more probable to thoughtful people than it does today. Nowadays we may be more ready to accept as he did the final complexity of our society and to recognize that we create as large part of our moral selves as we become cragaged in that society. This as the theme that runs through his fiction—and through his life. We do him an injustice if we as sume at the start that in order to understand the dreadful sanctions of social presuge—that is, money—Fitigerald had to make a fatal submission of himself to the glamorous rich.

The story of the legendary Fitzgerald of the twenties usually begins with the picture of newly married, handsome Zelda and Scott Fitzgerald dancing around or jumping into the fountain of the Plaza Hotel. This pastoral scene may be useful in reminding us that the Fitzgeralds were not native New Yorkers. She was from the deep South, from Montgomery, Alabama, He was a middle westerner, Edmund Wilson, one of Fitzgerald's closest literary friends, insisted on the important influence of St. Paul, Minnesota, in forming Fitzgerald's literary personality. In 1922 when Wilson did a literary profile of Fitzgerald he wrote, "Fitzgerald is as much of the middle west of large cities and country clubs as Lewis is of the middle west of the prairies and little towns " The culture that formed him, Wilson went on in a superior eastern manner, was characterized at its best by "sensitivity and eagerness for life without a sound base of culture and saste: a brilliant structure of hotels and exhilarating social activities built not on the eighteenth century but simply on the prairie." Wilson then took the occasion to advise Fittgerald-his friends were always giving him advice in public-to exploit the "vigorous social atmosphere" of his native state, "to do for Summit Avenue what Lewis has done for Main Street," Fitzgerald never followed Wilson's suggestion to write a middle-western novel - despite all that public advice one of Fitzgerald'a most surprising attributes was a capacity for making up his mind in private—but he made his own kind of use of his Minnesota background. It was not at all

like Sinclair Lewis' exploitation of that same territory.

show how exactly he could recall a moment of a boy's deep feeling about a person, or a place, or "the way it was." One of the safest generalizations that can be made about Fitzgerald is that he is America's most sentient novelist of manners. He was deeply interested in recording the history of his own sensibility at the same time that he wanted to describe a typical American boyhood, The Post stories of his young hero, Basil Duke Lee, are full of events that have their meaning in social distinctions, envious comparisons, and the important implications for young Americans of manners and possessions, But as Basil moves from one emotional crisis to another in his search for who he really is and who he wants to be, Fitzgerald would have us believe that Basil deliberately penetrates each moment of passion for its absolute emotional significance, and then passes on. On one magical late summer afternoon in a St. Paul hackyard - the story is called "The Scandal Detectives" - fourteen year-old Basil really looked into a girl's beautiful, "gnome-like" face for the first time. He had scarcely begun to drink his fill of his response to her, "a warm chill of mingled pleasure and pain," when, Fitzgerald writes, he realized It was "a definite experience and he was immediately conscious of lt." Then, as the swift moment of excitement filled him to the brim the boy consciously let it go, "incapable of exploiting it until he had digested it alone." The emotional plot of the story is about a writer-to-he, as well as, we are almost persuaded, a typical American boy.

Fittgerald's first boyish successes were literary and they were important to both his emotional and his rocial life. In an autohiographical essay written in the mid-thirties he recalled a piece of schoolboy writing and remembered how necessary it had been to his ability to meet the world. At Newman School the football coach had taken him out of a game unfairly, according to Fitzgerald. The coach thought he had been alraid of an opposing player and had let the team down. Fitzgerald was able to dominate the whole situation, the coach, his lack of nucress at football, and probably his own cowardies by writing a poem about the experience that made his father proud of him. "So when I went home

CHARLES E. SHAIN

than a memory. The autobiographical essays in The Crack Up tell us a great deal about Fargerald's sense of sinning against himself, against his gift of life and his gift of Islent, but none of the sources of his despair take as thereoff back to his early years in the muds of a dobiously gented Irth Catholic family in St. Paul.

His loyalty to his father may have been partly a way of defending his father against failure in business. As a boy of eleven Fitzgerald shared intensely the embarrassment of his father's being fired as a salesman for Procter and Gamble in Buffalo and the family's subsequent return to St. Paul to live under the protection of the McQuillan money. As if his family were restive under the pressures of feeling dependent, they moved from one house to another in the Summit Avenue neighborhood, circling the social strongholds but never able to afford more than "a house below the average/Of a street above the average" as Fitzgerald once put it One of his best known stories, "Winter Dreams," a Jazz Age version of the Horatio Alger fable, is based on St. Paul and its summertime suburb White Bear Lake. The hero at fourteen is a grocer's son who must earn his spending money as a caddy at the country club to which many of Fitzgerald's Summit Avenue friends belonged Fitzgerald was never a caddy, but it was easy for him to project a poor boy's social insecurity. His mother was a further embarrassment. She dressed oddly and sometimes behaved oddly in public. He was always aware that she had spoiled him and helped him to be the little show-off who could easily get on the nerves of his teachers and contemporaries. But the young Fitzgerald is also remembered in St. Paul as an imaginative, vital, and attractive boy. Plenty of social sucress came his way before he was sent off to boarding school in New Jersey at the age of fourteen.

Fitzgerald mined his boyhood years, as he did every stage of his life, for story material. The Saturday Evening Post stories of his life, for story material. The Saturday Evening Post stories of his youth in St. Paul and at Newman School that he wrote at the end of the twentest are delightful and show what a competent writer of magazine fiction he was by this time. But the moments in the stories that distinguish them as Fitzgerald's are those that

second year when you joined an eating club, as one of the best of your generation. This was the Princeton that first consumed Fitzgerald's imagination.

What Fitzgerald as an educated man owed to Princeton is harder to discern. Arthur Mizener believes that the group of literary friends that he was lucky to find there —Edmund Wilson and John Peale Bishop were two of them—gave him "the only education he ever got, and, above all, they gave him a respect for literature which was more responsible than anything else for making him a serious man." The narrowness of his educated mind, in one sense the failure of his Prinreton education, can be fairly deduced from letters he wrone to his daughter studying at Vassar during the last year of his life.

Twenty five years after his Princeton career he still recommends what were evidently his own college practices to his daughter. To form a prose style she must read the poets over and over. If she has anything of an ear the will soon hear the difference between poetry and non-poetry and thus have an advantage over most English professors She must have "some politeness toward ideas," but about adjectives, ". . . all fine prose is based upon the verbs carrying the sentences . . . Probably the finest technical poem in English is Keats' Eve of Saint Agnes. . . . Would you read that poem for me, and report?" Looking back at his own beginnings in college, he identifies himself as a poetic talent. It is the prose talents, he believes, who need the benefits of a formal education; they depend upon "other factors -- assimilation of material and careful selection of it, or, more bluntly; having something to say and an interesting, highly developed way of saying it." As for the education of poets, if she will try to give ". . . not the merely reported but the profound essenre of what happened at a prom or after it, perhaps that honesty will come to you-and then you will understand how it is possible to make even a forlorn Laplander feel the importance of a trip to Cartier's!"

It was one of the great blows of Fittgerald's life that his formal Princeton career as he had carefully plotted it and at first began to achieve it was in the end a failure. By the close of his second year

CHARLES E. SHAIN

that Christmas vacation at was in my mind that if you weren't able to function in action you might at least be able to tell about it, because you felt the same intensity - it was a back door way out of facing reality." The need to feel the same intensity of social success that more popular, better balanced schoolboys felt kept Fite gerald writing stories, poems, and plays. His academic record always suffered, but as a young poet, editor, and playwright he could express his considerable ego and win the kind of public acclaim that was necessary to him By the age of sixteen he had written and produced two melodramas that had public performances in St. Paul and earned over \$200 for a local charity. He was learning to depend on his literary talent very early in his life. When it came time to choose a college he chose Princeson because he learned that you could be a big man at Princeton if you could provide librettos for its musical comedy organization, the Triangle Club. He entered college in the fall of 1915 when he was still sixteen years old.

Princeton's contribution to Furgerald's education as an American writer can be best discovered in his autobiographical first novel. This Side of Paradise For the writer as a person it was, from the first moment, a lovely place, an atmosphere full of poignant emotions, ", , , the sense of all the gorgeous youth that has rioted through here in two hundred years" - that was one of the feelings written into the novel, and as Fitzgerald's young men left Princeton for the army camps of World War I they wept for their own lost youth. Through most of the pages of the novel Princeton is primarily a richly complex American social order with very attractive possibilities for a bright young man on the make. The world you aspired to, as soon as you learned your way around, was composed of admirable, even glamorous men, in the classes above you, who could be envied and imitated both for themselves and for their functions in this specialized society. They were the athletes, writers, campus politicians, or just the Men with an Aura. As a freshman you chose your models, entered the intense but secret social competition, and with good luck and much clever management you would be accepted, by the middle of your

become Zelda Fitzgerald after a courtship of a year and a halfand the heroines of Fitzgerald's fection makes it important to try to see her clearly as a person. It is not a simple thing to do. Since her death she has always been referred to unceremoniously as Zelda, even in formal literary essays. But this informality is really a continuing acknowledgment that the combined destinies of Zelda and Scott Fitzgerald are finally one and indivisible. He transmuted their twin biographies into fiction, and we shall probably never find it easy to distinguish between the historical person and Scott Fitzgerald's Zelda.

When Fitzgerald first met Zelda Sayre he was just recovering from the collapse of a college love affair, the central story of his novel in manuscript. The romantic egotist of his novel was free to make another absolute commitment, to invest another beautiful young lady with the aura of "the top girl" (He wrote later into his notebook, "I didn't have the two top things: great animal magnetism or money. I had the two second things, though; good looks and intelligence. So I always got the top girl.") Zelda was beautiful and desirable for herself, but she was also a prize to be won against very worthy competition, all the other presentable young officers in the two army camps near Montgomery. At the moment of triumph when at last he made her his gurl we must assume that he felt the same ecstatic joy that filled Jay Gatsby's ineffable moment in the love scene he was going to write five years later. The persons of the drama were the same: the anonymous young lieutenant from the North and the belle of a southern city. The language of the Gaisby passage is as florid and brilliant as anything in modern fiction since Meredith's early novels. "He knew that when he kissed this girl, and forever wed his unutterable visions to her perishable breath, his mind would never romp again like the mind of God. . . . At his lips' touch she blossomed for him like a flower and the incarnation was complete." In The Great Gatsby Fitzgerald was in full control of the language of the religion of love spoken by a modern but strangely old fashioned courtly lover. None of the ironies visited upon Gatsby in the navel is allowed to tarnish his first response to Daisy. The lack of selfhe seemed to be well on his way to the first great public display of his personality. He had made the right club, had written the book for a Triangle show, and was an editor of a magazine called The Tiger The aura was beginning to form But he had overextended himself. Too many academic deficiencies piled up, and under cover of an illness he left college at the beginning of his third year. A year's absence meant forfesting all the tangible prizes he had aimed for, and he could still relive the panes of his disappoint ment twenty years later, When he returned to college in the fall of 1916 he had improved his notion of the superior Princeton type He began to see more of "Interary" men and to fill the literary magazine with his poems and stories. This was the only year of serious education for him at Princeton, and what he learned came cluefly through private seading. He read especially Shaw and Butler and Wells, and read and then imitated Tennyson, Swinburne, and Rupert Brooke, He discovered the prototype for his first hero and novel when he read Compton Mackenzie's Sinister Street. Then between his third and fourth years he applied for a commission in the army. What should have been Fitzgerald's last year at Princeton was only two months long and on November so he left

the campus for Fort Leavenworth.

Before Figureld left Frinceton for what was to be fifteen months of service in American training campus—he was never eart overseas—he finished the first of three vernions of This left of Paradise Professor Christian Gauss read the manuscript and returned is saying it was not ready for publication. During Fingers and St first six months as an officer in training he struggled not with army manuals and training exercises but with his manuscript, in the summer of 1918 The Romantic Egolist, as he first called the novel, was sent to Scribner's, and in the fall that house rejected in by a vote of two editors to one. Meanwhile he had been trainferred to Camp Sheridan near Monagomery, Alabama, and there, on the seventh of September, as he noted precisely in his journal, he fell in love. The girl, barely eighteen, was Zelda Sayre, the daughter of a inder.

The close resemblance between Zelda Sayre - who was going to

Fitzgerald did not hold Zelda Sayre morally responsible for the mercenary views she took of their engagement. They both felt poor, and they were both eager to participate in the moneyed society around them. In the United States in 1919, they agreed, the purpose of money was to realize the promises of life. When Gatsby says, in his famous remark, that Daisy's soice sounds like money, we should read him sympathetically enough to understand, as Arthur Mizener has pointed out, that he is not saving that he loves money or that he loves both Daisy and money, but that he loves what the possession of money has done for Daisy's charming voice. And yet after we have said this, we must also say that Daisy Buchanan, because of her money, is seen at last as a false woman and Gatsby as a simple boy from the provinces who has not been able to tell gilt from real gold. The circumstances of the Fitzgeralds' courtship and marriage seem fabulous - in the natrow sense of that word - because they often seem to suggest for us in outline the complex stories of women and marriage and money that Fitzgerald kept returning to in his fiction,

Fitzgerald was as fully aware of the power of women over men as D. H. Lawrence was, but in a different way, In his journal he once made a note that "Men get to be a mixture of the charming mannerisms of the women they have known." In Fitzgerald's fiction the villain has "animal magnetism" and masculinity but in the end he is stupid about women and treats them like whores The Fitzgerald hero has softer qualities. "His mannerisms were all girls' mannerisms," he noted in plans for what sounds like a characteristic Fitzgerald hero, "rather gentle considerations got from [-] girls, or restrained and made masculine, a trait that, far from being effeminate, gave him a sort of Olympian stature that, in its all kindness and consideration, was masculine and feminine abke." The men in his fiction are often, as he was, aston ished by the fearlessness and recklessness of women. They are also finally made aware of the deceitfulness and moral complacency of many women. Jordan Baker in The Great Gatsby and Baby Warren in Tender Is the Night, for example, are studies of mercenary American women as dangerous to men as classical sorceresses

consciousness, the commisment to such pure feelings of sexual tenderness and compassion, distinguish Fitzgerald's romantic attitude toward women from any other modern novelist's. The demands of feeling that Zelda Sayre brought to the court-

ship and marriage appear to have been as grand in their terms as Fitzgerald's. If we can trust his early descriptions of her in his fiction, she was above all ambitious, like the southern girl in "The Ice Palace" who was planning to live "where things happen on a big scale." And like the stappers in the early stories who baited and state. And there he happens in the early stories who deaders their elders and showed in all their responses to life that they valued spontaneity and self-expression before those duller virtues that required self-control, Zelda Sayre was daring and had a local reputation for recklements and unconventionality, She did what she wanted to, and her parents discovered that they belonged to that generation upon whom, as Fitzgerald once wrote in a story, "the great revolution in American family life was to be visited." Her youthful beauty gave her great confidence. The men in her Her youthful Deatury gave her great combaence. The men in act tile were expected on the one hand to make gallant gestures, and of these Fitzgerald was quite capables on the other hand they were expected to promite her a solid and glittering background—here Fitzgerald's lack of expectations after he was discharged from the army in February 1919 sent them both into agonies of frustration. For four months he struggled in New York to support himself by writing advertising copy by day and to make the fortune that

For four months he struggled in New York to support himself by writing advertising copy by day and to make the fortune that would convince the girl by writing short stories at night. He sold just one story for \$50, and by June he had lost the girl. Zelda broke the engagement. His response to her decision in the numer of 1919 was to chuck his New York job, return to St. Paul, and rewrite his novel. By early September the had finished This Side of Paradius, by the middle of the month Scribner's bad accepted it, and by early November be had earned more than \$200 from three recently written short stories. With the confidence of a real capitalist and the conviction that he had written a best selling novel, Fitzgerald returned to Montgomery, and there Zelda promised to marry him in the spring when his novel was published.

the anticipation of an archaeologist approaching an interesting ruin. Its publication is always considered to be the event that ushered in the Jazz Age. Glenway Wescott, writing for his and Fitzgerald's generation, said that it had "haunted the decade like a song, popular but perfect." Social historians have pointed out that the college boys of the early twenties really read it. There have been public arguments as to whether or not the petting party first occurred when Fitzgerald's novel said it did or two years earlier. Anyone reading the novel with such interests will not be entirely disappointed. One of the responsibilities it assumes, especially in its first half, is to make the hero, Amory Blaine, report like a cultural spy from inside his generation. "None of the Victorian mothers - and most of the mothers were Victorian - had any Idea how casually their daughters were accustomed to be kissed." "The 'belle' had become the 'flirt,' the 'flirt' had become the 'baby vamp.'" "Amory saw girls doing things that even in his memory would have been impossible: eating three-o'clock, afterdance suppers in impossible cafes, salking of every side of life with an air half of earnestness, half of mockery, yet with a furtive exeitement that Amory considered stood for a real moral let-down." The "moral let-down" enjoyed by the postwar generation has given the work its reputation for scandal as well as for social realism.

Today, the novel's young libertines, both male and female, would not shock a schoolgirl. Amory Blaine turns out to be a complement moralist who takes the responsibility of kissing very seriously and disapproves of affairs with chorus girls, (He has no truples, it must be said, against going on a three-week, left has not when his girl breaks off their engagement.) At the end of the story he is emobled by an act of self-sacrifice in an Atlantic City hotel bedroom that no one would admire more than a Victorian mother. For modern readers it is probably better to take for granted the uscludness of This Side of Paradise for social bitorians and to admire from the distance of another age the obviously wholesome morality of the hero. Neither of these is the quality that tawes the movel for a later time. What Huggardi is really showing is how

bly never enjoy it again. Everything was hallowed by the haze of his own youth. He had arrived, abreast of the best of his generation at Princeton. He was in love and his love was returned. Turning on all the lights, he looked at himself in the mirror, trying to find in his own face the qualities that made him see more clearly than the great crowd of people, that made him decide firmly, and able to influence and follow his own will. There was little in his life now that he would have changed. . . . Oxford might have been a bigger field."

The ideas in the novel, unlike the tributes paid to a life of feeling, have the foreign country of origin and the importer's labels still on them. Edmund Wilson said This Side of Paradite was not really about anything. 'Intellectually it amounts to luttle more than a gesture—a gesture of indefinite revole.' Toward the end of the novel Fitzgerald's normally graceful sentences begin to thicken and "sword-like pioneering personalities, Samuel Budler, Renan and Voltaite," are called in to add the weight of their names to Amory's reflections on the hypocrisy of his elders. The best pages of the novel come early, where Fitzgerald was remembering in marvelous detail the scenes at Newman School and Princeton. Later in his life he would always find it easy to return to those adolescent years, when feelings were all in all. Bishop once accused him of taking seventeen as his norm and believing that after that year life began to fall away from perfection. Fitzgerald replied, "If you make it fifteen I will agree with you."

The Fingerald novel, then, began in his acute awareness of a current American style of young life and in his complete willing ness to use his own experience as if it were typical. The charm of his first stories and novels is simply the charm of shared vanity and enthusiasm for oneself as an exceptional person. Fingerald often persuades us that he was the one sensitive person there—on the country club porch or in a New York street—the first time something happened, or at the very height of the season. And when this ability to exploit his life began to succeed beyond bis dreams, the only next step he could think of was to use it harder. His success arrived almost overnight 1 stow such sensus mire.

97

7

CHARLES E. SHAIN

a young American of his generation discovers what sort of figure he wants to cut, what modes of conduct, gotten out of books as well as out of a keen seeme of his contemporaries, he wants to initiate. The fiapper and her boy friend do not actually pet behind the closed doors of the insoling room. They talk, and each one says to the other, unconvincingly, "Tell me about yourself. What do you feel?" Meaning, "Tell in a about myself. How do I feel?" The real story of This Side of Paradise is a report on a young man's emotional readiness for life.

The only interesting moralny is presents is the implied morality that comes as a part of his feelings when the hero distinguishes, or lails to distinguish, between an honest and a dishonest emotion. The highly self-conscious purpose of telling Amory Diance's story was, one suspects, to help Fitzgerald to discover who he really was by looking into the eyes of a girl—there are four glist—or into the mirror of himself that his college contemporative made. And the wonder of it is that such a self-conscious piece of autoblography could be imagined, presented, and composed as a best selling novel by a young man of twenty-three.

The novel is very uneven, and full of solemn attempts at abstract thought on literature, war, and socialism. It has vitality and freshness only in moments, and these are always moments of feeling. Fitgerald said of this first novel many years later, "A lot of people thought it was a fale, and perhaps it was, and a lot of others thought it was as lie, which it was not." It offers the first evidence of Fitgerald's possession of the gift necessary for a novel-list who, like him, writers from so near his own bones, the talent that John Peale Bishop has described as "the rare faculty of being able to experience romained and ingrunous emotions and a half hour later regard them with satiric detachment." The ingrunous emotions most necessary to the success of This Side of Paradite are vanity and all the self-regarding sentiments experienced during first love and the first trails of pride. The satire visited upon them is often as delicate and humorous as in this picture of Amory at a moment of triumphant egoism: "As he put in his studs he realized that he was employing like as he would proba-

bly never enjoy it again. Everything was hallowed by the haze of his own youth. He had arrived, abreast of the best of his generation at Princeton. He was in love and his love was returned. Turning on all the lights, he looked at himself in the mirror, trying to find in his own fare the qualities that made him see more clearly than the great crowd of people, that made him decide firmly, and able to influence and follow his own will. There was little in his life now that he would have changed. . . . Oxford might have been a biger field."

The ideas in the novel, unlike the tributes paid to a life of feeling, have the foreign country of origin and the importer's labels still on them. Edmund Wilson said This Side of Paradue was not really about anything, "Intellectually it amounts to little more than a pesture - a pesture of indefinite revolt." Toward the end of the novel Fitzgerald's normally graceful sentences begin to thicken and "sword-like pioneering personalities, Samuel Butler, Renan and Voltaire," are called in to add the weight of their names to Amory's reflections on the hypocrisy of his elders. The best pages of the novel come early, where Fitzgerald was remembering in marvelous detail the scenes at Newman School and Princeton. Later in his life he would always find it easy to return to those adolescent years, when feelings were all in all. Bishop once accused him of taking seventeen as his norm and believing that after that year life began to fall away from perfection. Fitzgerald replied, "If you make it fifteen I will agree with you."

The Fitzgerald novel, then, began in his acute awareness of a

current American style of young life and in his complete willingness to use his own experience as if it were typical. The charm of his first stories and novel is simply the charm of shared vanity and enthusiasm for one ell as an exteptional person. Fitugerald often permades us that he was the one sensitive person there on the country club porch or in a New York street—the first time something happened, or at the very height of the season. And when this ability to exploit his life began to succeed beyond his dreams, the only next step he could think of was to use it barder. His success arrived almost overnight; 1900 was the annus mira-

97

CHARLES E. SHAIN

bilit. In that year, the Saturday Feening Post published six of his storics, Smert Set See, and Sembar's two, In 1919 be had made \$4.5% into his novel, from magazine storics and essays, and from the rights to two stories and essays, and from the rights to two stories oblit to the mortes. His success with the Saturday Feening Post and the moves suggests have quickly be had discovered the formulate for popular fection and the hig money. Within Bittern years fetwern 1919 and 1935 Fitzgerald earned, he estimated, \$100,000 most of it writing for magazines and the movies. From the beginning of his success Fluggerald was quite aware of the temptations of commercial writing and how well adapted he was to stuccine to them. The question as to whether the conflict between the use and misuse of his talent opened the crack. In Fluggerally self-repect that at last killed him as a novelut has been argued by many of his friends, Don Passos spoke at his death for those who thought it did. Fluggerald had insynted for their generation, he said, the writing career based on the popular magazines and he was "trajfically descrepted by his own Invention."

Fingerald's struggle with his literary conscience is olten apparent in his letters and journals. He wrote Maxwell E Perkins, his cities at Settliner's, that he have he had a faculty for being cliera ji I want to include that." When in the winter of 1933-41 he needed money, concentrated on producing commercial storles for Hernris International, and made \$17,000, he wrote Edmund Wilson that "it was all trank and it nearly broke my heart." But he also had another way of Imagining himself: "Tran a workman of tetra, a proteinnal." he would any in his mood, "I know when to write and when to stop writing " He wanted to be both a good writer and a popular one. If his high living, he knew, depended on magazine money and it is significant that he devoted most of his time to short faction during shose years between 1916 and 193 when his life became most disordered and the completion of a new novel came land. Yet he thought of himself most proudly as a notellist. His most poignant confession of a failure to be true to his talent the expressed so his daughter six months before he fired. "Double and worry—you are as crippeled by them as I am fired."

by my inability to handle money or my self-indulgences of the past. . . What Intile I've accomplished has been by the most laborious and uphill work, and I wish now I'd neuer relaxed or looked back — but said at the end of The Great Gatsby: T've found my line—from now on this comes first. This is my numediate duty — without this I am nothing "

But the final record shows that he wrote four complete novels and more than a hundred and filty short stories. Forty-six of shem he chose to print in four separate collections. In an ambitious set of plans for future productions that he once projected, there were to be in his collected works seven novels and also seven volumes of short stories. He was quite aware of his achievements as a short story writer, and twentieth-century American writing would be much poorer if It lacked six, at least, of Fittgerald stories which are brilliant, and perhaps thirty to forty more which are full of finely observed life.

The first collection of Fittgerald's stories in 1021 was timed by

Scribner's to peofit from the vogue of This Side of Paradise. It was called Flappers and Philosophers, A second collection, Tales of the Jazz Age, was published a year later in the wake of his second novel, The Beautiful and Damned. The nineteen stories in the two collections represent with more variety and perhaps more immediacy than the two first novels the manners and morals that have come to compose, at least in the minds of later historians. the Jazz Age. In 1922 we catch a glimpse of Fitzgerald imagining his relation to his Jazz Age public when he writes his editor about the second book of stories: "It will be bought by my own personal public, that is by the countless flappers and college kids who think I am a sort of oracle." The various mysteries that the young oracle was making known to his followers may be observed in two slight, early stories, "The Jelly-Bean," and "Bernice Bobs Her Hair." They both follow conventional formulas of popular fiction, but the young people in the stories act out a new version of the American pastoral

mechanic in a sleepy Georgia town, a son of one of the town's

The man known as the Jelly-bean is a good natured garage

CHARLES E. SHAIN

first families now fallen on evil days. He has been awakened to his true responsibilities for life by the kiss of a young fispper and Belle Dame sans Merci named Nancy Lamar, "With the awakening of his emotions, his first percention was a sense of futility, a dull ache at the utter grayness of his life " With this Keatsian strain life deepens for an American felly bean. Nancy is the story's chief excitement. She drinks corn biquor, thoots traps with the men after a country club dance, and, in the story's best scene, wades through a pool of gasoline tapped from a car to remove a wad of chewing gum from the sole of her dancing slipper. Nancy lives with her doesn of Lady Diana Manners, "Like to have boat. Like to sail out on a silver lake, say the Thames, for instance, Have champagne and caviare sandwiches along, Have about eight people" Bernice, who hobbed her hair on a dare, comes from another American Forest of Arden, Eau Claire, Wisconsin, She fa an innocent who has to learn by rote a "line" for attracting boys -the same line that Fittgerald ts ught his sister Annabel once when he despaired of her chances of becoming the Lady Diana Manners of St. Paul

Fitzerald had observed two provincial societies in Montgomery, Alabama, and St. Paul, and we can watch him exploiting like a veteran novelist details of types and manners in these two stories and in "The Ice Palace." Zelda Sayre posed as the model for a southern flapper in "The Ice Palace." and Fitzgerald used their own situation to imagne the shocks that might be in store for a lively southern girl among the [klable Babblut on Minnesota. All these stories, as well as that Hollywood rastural "The Offshore Prate," were imagined from a young girl's dramm of a glamorous life. "Dalyrimple Goes Wrong," examines from a young exsoldier's point of view the deceits of the world of business and politics as it is being run by a hypocritical older generation. "The Lees of Happiness" and "The Cut-Gha Bowl: imagine American domestic tragedies, lives that go down in "The light of time and the end of beauty and unfallfilled desire." There is more pathon in these last Ace stories than one might expect.

Two of the stories in the first collections are important, "May

Day" for what it attempts, and "The Diamond as Big as the Ritz" for what it achieves. "May Day" was pmbably a discarded begin-ning to a novel about New York. May Day 1919 was the exact day, Fittgerald said later, when the Jatz Age began. The story is planned to carry more weight than the usual early Fittgerald story. It uses three plots with intertwining action, like a Dos Pas sos chronicle novel, opens with an economie motif, the Manhattan crowds staring greedily at the glowing contents of shopwindows, and in other ways gives evidence of Fitzgerald's willingness to steal some pages from the American naturalists. The mob scenes and the two "primitives," the facillose soldiers looking for whiskey. may have come not from Fuzgerald's observation but from the novels of Norris and Dreiser. But if these are the story's weak spots they are also marks of its ambition. Fitzgerald wanted to use the whole loud and anarchie world of Manhattan as the background of his own forlorn state in the spring of 1919 when he was an ex-lieutenant writing advertising copy, broke, and heartsick at the loss of his girl. The portrait he draws of Gordon Sterrett, In the midst of the big money, desperately poor and depending on alcohol, shows how intensely he could project lears for his own failures—and perhaps how fascinated he would always be with the drama of failure. "I can't stand being poor," Gordon says. "You seem sort of bankrupt—morally as well as financially," says his rich Yale classmate, "Don't they usually go together?" Gordon asks. At the big dance at Deimonico's Gordon gets drunk and tells a girl how it feels to go to pieces, "Things have been snapping inside of me for four months like little hooks on a dress, and it's about to come off when a few more hooks go." Metaphors of bankruptcy and of coming unbooked are going to turn up later when Fitzgerald contemplates his own sense of failure.

"The Diamond as Big as the Rita" is a satistical American fantasy that comes as squarely out of the bedrazled daydreams of the twenties as Hawthorne's wry fables came out of the 1800's when an earlier American generation had Utopian dreams of human nature. The young visitor to the diamond mountain kingdom, John T. Unger, from a little middle-western town named Hades, watcher his hort, Mr. Braddock Washington, the richest man in the world, turn at last list on a madman who believes he can bribe God with his money. But young Unger has not learned much. After the diamond mountain has blown up he hates to return to his middle-class Hades with an heirers and no money; "... turn out your pocket and let's see what jevels you brought along if you made a good selection we three ought to live comfortably all the rest of our lives." At the age of trenty-five Fugerald had written a highly imaginative folkule of modern American life.

The Beautiful and Domned was an attempt to write a dramatic novel about a promising American life that never got anywhere; "The Flight of the Rocket," it was once called. It was the first and least convincing of what were going to be three studies of American lailures. As he trarted the novel in August 1920, Fitzgerald wrote to his publisher that his subject was ". . , the life ol Anthony Patch between his agth and gerd years (1915-1981). He is one of those many with the tastes and weaknesses of an artist but with no actual creative inspiration. How he and his beautiful young wife are wrecked on the shoals of dissipation is told in the story." Anthony Patch, unlike Amory Blaine, was to be placed at some distance from Fittgerald's life. He is an American aristocrat, the only heir of a multimillionaire grandlather, "Cross" Patch, whose money goes back to the Gilded Age but whose hypocritic puritanism is of the kind that Mencken was extoriating Anthony's story opens as if he were going to be offered up on the smoking alters of American vulgarity and commercialism. After Harvard he spends an aesthesic year in Rome, then returns to a comfortable apartment on 52nd Street, to his small society of bachelor friends and an Income of seven thousand a year left him by his mother. Anthony is not a spoiled rich boy. He is certainly not American Youth in revolt. He Is simply a graceful outsider with no ambitions but to be a beleaguered gentleman, to despise his grandfather, and, he hopes, to stay unmarried.

It is hard to see where Fitzgerald is going to go with Anthony except into amiable eccentricity. He has no character except his vague cynicism, a smarting sensibility, and the seven thousand a year. But then he falls in love with Gloria Gilbert and Fitzgerald's novel begins to deepen. As a lover and a busband, and soon as a failure, inexplicable but pathetic, Anthony Patch becomes a genuine fictional character, if not a very clear one. His reality comes, as the reality of all Fitzgerald's unhappy heroes will come, out of the expression of a strong romantic will. All he has he invests in his life with Gloria. The final clue to their failure is never given us. It is not just the eternal enmiry between their aspirations to beauty and the hungry generations that tread them down, though this is part of it. They live too high, waste their money, and burn themselves out, That they are simply lost from the start is almost assumed. The morning after one of their desperately drunken parties, they decide never again to give a damp, "Not to be sorry. not to loose one cry of regret, to live according to a clear code of honor toward each other, and to seek the moment's happiness as feverishly and persistently as possible." But Gloria is not enough of a Hemingway character, and Anthony is not at all one, and the code does not work. Gloria, whose conception owes something to Fitzgerald's admiration for Mencken's book on Nietzsche, begins to develop "her ancient abhorrence, a conscience,"

The Beautiful and Demned is a novel of mood rather than a novel of character. The misfortunes of Anthony and Gloria are forced in the plot, but the mood in places is desperate. Fitsgerald does not know what to do with his hero and heroine in the end but make them suffer. The novel will place no blame, either on the nature of things or on the injustices of society. Anthony and Gloria are fanily willing to accept all the unhappy consequences as if they had earned them, but the reader has stopped believing in the logic of consequences in this novel long before. The failure of The Beautiful and Damned suggests where the soft spots are going to occur in Fitsgerald's art of the novel, in the presentation of character and motivation. With Anthony Patch Fitsgerald assumes that if he has displayed a man's sensibility in some detail has achieved the study of a tragic character. The "tragedies" suffered by Anthony and Gloria, Fitsgerald's members of the lost energation, lack a moral context as the character. In The Sun

Also Ruse do not. Fittgerald's fear of bis own weakness and the excesses that, according to his troubled conscience, he and Zelda were learning to like too easily, endowed the parable of the Patches with moral weight and urgency for its author; but the reader has to invent the worth of the moral struggle for himself.

The Beautiful and Damned was a commercially successful novel, despute a mixed reception from reviewers. It sold 4,000 copies the first year after its scrialization in the Metropolitan Magazine Its success to some extent was owing to well-directly a timon that it was autobiographical, as indeed it was in many places. Zelda Fitzgerald, in a review of the novel for the New York Tribune, confessed the recognized parts of her diary and some personal letters in the book. "In face, Mr. Fitzgerald.—I believe that Is how the spells his name—seems to believe that plagitation begins at home." Recognizable porturation of the Fitzgerald appeared on the book't dutt jacket. In June 1921 an essay on contemporary life in the New York Times recommended that remarkable book. The Beautiful and Damned, to anyone who wanted to understand what

sent on during a typical deunken pany in probabilion American Most of Anthony and Gloria's parties occur in a cottage in Connecticut like the one the Fitugeralds rented in Westport in May 1910 soon after their marriage. But they were too resides for suburban Connecticut and moved back to New York. In the summer of 1911 they were fin England and France, and by August they had settled in St. Faul where their only child, a daughter, was born in October. They lived in St. Faul for a year after that and Fitugerald wrote tories, began and distancted a novel with a Catholic and middle-western hero, and finished a first version of his comedy. The Vegetable. (It is a pretty bad play which failed on its tryout two years later.) St. Paul was too provincial for more than a short residence and by October 1921 they were living in their most memorable house, a large one in Great Neck, Long Island, One powerful image of their life on Long Island has entered American folk history through the pages in The Grattly which describe Gastby's parties and the people who can

to them. In the Great Neck house the Fitzgeralds' life reached its expensive cultimination. They spent \$35,000 during their first year and then Fitzgerald wrote an essay for the Saturday Evening Post to show how they had done it. They entertained their literary set, which included Edmund Wilson, Ring Lardner, H. L. Mencken, and George Jean Nathan, and periodically Fitzgerald tried to stop dinking and age ton with his new novel. In the spring of 1942 they decided that they must begin to save money and that the south of France was the place to do It. By Jone they were established in a Villa as St. Raphaël on the Rivera, and in November Fitzgerald sent the manuscript of The Great Gattby off to New York. It was published in Anvil 1975.

The Great Gatsby has been discussed and admired as much as any twentieth-century American novel, probably to the disadvantage of Fitzgerald's other fiction. None of its admirers finds it easy to explain why Fitzgerald at this point in his career should have written a novel of such perfect are - though it is usually conceded whiten a novel or seal pelus again. His discovery of Conrad and James is sometimes given credit for teaching him a new sense of proportion and control over form. But The Great Gatsby does so many things well that "influences" will not explain them all The real trystery of how the novel was conceived and written may have to do with how the undisciplined life of a Long Island and St. Raphael playboy could yield such moments of detachment and impersonality as this novel required. If we can trust Fitzgerald's backward glance from 1934 when he was writing an introduction to the Modern Library edition of Gatsby, it was a matter of keeping his "artistic conscience" "pure." "I had just re-read Conrad's preface to The Nigger, and I had recently been kidded half haywire by critics who felt that my material was such as to preclude all dealing with mature persons in a mature world." Also in 1934 he wrote his friend Bishop that he thought of Gatsby as his Henry Esmond and Tender Is the Night as his Vanity Fair: "The dramatic novel has cannons [Fittgerald's spelling was notoriously un-reliable] quite different from the philosophical, now called the psychological novel. One is a kind of tour de force and the other a

confession of faith. It would be like comparing a sonnet sequence with an epic." Fitgerald's language of literary sources and literary analysis always has an innocent time, It is probably best to remember the language he used when he wrote his editor his plans (or a new novel "I" was to write something new, something example, and intricately patterned."

The Great Gausty is worthy of all these adjectives. It was new for Fitzgerald to succeed in placing a novel of contemporary manners at such a distance from himself. Telling the story through a Contadian narrator, who was half inside and half outside the action, prevented the errors of self-identification be had fallen into with Anthony Patch And Gatsby is not allowed to be a character who invites questions about his credibility as Anthony did. He is a figure from a romance who has wandered into a novel, the archetypal young man from the provinces who wants to become Lord Mayor, and to wake the sleeping beauty with a kiss. "Also you are right about Gataby being blurred and patchy. I never at any one time saw him clear myself," Fitigerald wrote a friend. But in a tour de force it is the power behind the conception that matters, and Plugerald was himself so sure of Gausby's essential and primitive springs of action that he has required us to share his belief in Gatsby or reject the whole affair. "That's the whole burden of this novel," he wrote in 2 letter, "- the loss of those illusions that give such color to the world so that you don't care whether things are true or false as long as they partake of the magical glory."

The short novel tells the story of how James Gatz, a poor farm boy from North Dakotz, antitates the example of Benjamin Frantin and other proven American moralists and rises at last to be a rich and powerful criminal named Jay Gatsby. Along the way, when he is an anonymous young licutenant in a Kentucky training cump, when American "modety" is open to him for the first time, he meets and marries in his mind, in an act of absolute commitment, a lovely southern gif asamed Daisy Fay But he has to leave Daisy behind when he goes to France; and he loses her to a rich American Irom Chicago, Yale, and Wall Street. The oar rich American from Chicago, Yale, and Wall Street. The

in the American way to convince ber of ber error, to thow be is worthy of her by the only symbols available to them both, a large house with a swimming pool, dozens of silk shirts, and elaborate parties. But Daisy believes in the symbols themselves, and not in the purer reality which (for Jay Gatby) they only faintly embody. She loses her nerve and sacrifices her lover to the world.

Gataby's mineled dream of love and money, and the iron strength of his romantic will, make up the essence of the fable, but the art of its telling is full of astonishing tricks. To make the rise and fall of a gentleman gangiter an image for the modern history of the Emersonian spirit of America was an audactous thing to attempt, but Fitzgerald got away with it. His own romantie spirit felt deeply what an Englishman has called the "myth-hunger" of Americans, our modern need to "create a manageable past out of an immense present." The poignant effect of the final, highly complex image of the novel, when Gatsby's dream and the American dream are identified, thows how deeply saturated with feeling Fitzgerald's historical imagination was, From his own American life he knew that with his generation the middle westerner had become the typical American and had returned from the old frontier to the East with a new set of dreams -about money. No reader needs to worry about Fitzgerald's complicated attraction to the glamorous rich in this novel if he puts his trust in the middlewestern narrator, Nick Carraway, Nick guides us safely through all the moral confusions of the wealthy East and leads us in the end back to the provinces where the fundamental decencies depend upon a social order of families who have lived in the same house for three generations.

The success of Nick as a device for controlling the tone of the narrative is remarkable. It is the quality of his response to Gataby that at crucial moments compels our suspension of disbellef. The tranquil tone of his recollected feelings gives the story its setenity and tempts some of its admitres to compare it to a pastrarl poem. Nick is everywhere he is needed, but he never intrudes on a presented scene. He is the butt of our incoints and his own. The range of the story's ironic intentions is very wide. They encompass the

wonderfully comic vulgarity of Myrtle Wilson, Tom Buchanan's mistress, as well as Daisy's almost irresistible charm. Fitzgerald's imagination plays with wit and perfect taste over the suggestive details of the story's surface: cuff buttons, a supper of cold chicken and two bottles of ale, Gauby's shirts, and the names of the people who came to his parties. The whole novel is an imaginative feat that managed to get down the sensational display of postwar America's big money, and to include moral instructions on how to count the cost of it all. The Great Gaisby has by this time en sered into the national literary mind as only some seemingly effortless works of the imagination can We can see better now than even some of Fitzgerald's appreciative first reviewers that he had seized upon an important set of symbols for thowing that time had run out for one image of the American ego. Poor Gatsby had been, in the novel's terms, deceived into an ignorance of his real greatness by the American world that had for its great men Tom Buchanan and Meyer Wolfsheim, the Walt Street millionaire and his colleague the racketeer. The story does not pretend to know more than this, that Americans will all be the poorer for the profanation and the loss of Gatsby's deluded imagination.

The principal latin Fittgerald's life between his twenty-eighbl and thirty-fourth year was his mability to write a new rovel. He seems to have known all along the kind of novel he wanted to writer in his terms it was to be the "philosophical, now called the pychological novel." He began a novel called The World's Foir, and in 1939 when he abandoned it he had written over twenty thousand words in the history of a failed life quite different from Gaisby's. The new here was to be a bright young moviemaker named Francis Melarky who course to the Riviera on a vacation from Hollywood and there in a fit of anger murders his possessive mother. "In a certain sense my flot is not unlike Dreiser's in the American Tragedy." he told his editor Perkins. In 1939 he dropped the martidel polic, and changed his tutte to The Drunk ard's Holiday. Then siter Zelda became psychotic in 1930 he had different kind of American rangedy to put at the center. The

new novel, like The Beautiful and Damned, was to arise out of his own life. The pathos inherent in these years is that he seemed fated to create his own agony, and study it as if it wann't his, before he could use it in the confessional novel he felt driven to write. Looking back on his life near the end of it, he saw what he had done and wrote to his daughter, then a freshman at Vassar, the coolest summation of the Fingerald legend ever made: "I am not a great map but sometimes I think the impersonal and objective quality of my talent and the sacrifices of it, in pieces, to preserve its essential value has some sort of epic grandeur. Anyhow after hours I nurse myself with delutions of that sort."

If we can accept Fingerald's selfanalysis it only remains to be attonished at the terrible cost of preserving the "essential value" of his literary talent. Between the publication of Gatby and the final return to America in 1931 the Fitzgeralds moved between Europe and America as if they could not find a home anywhere. In the south of France or in Paris Fitzgerald had even less control over his extravagance than he had in America. The sales of Gatby were not up to the sales of his first two novels, but stage and screen rights brought him over \$50,000. Despite yearly incomes that were always over \$50,000 and often nearly \$50,000, Fitzgerald came home in 1931 with hardly any money. These are the years of the steady production of magazine fiction and articles. Between 1945 and 1932 he published fity-six stories, most of them in the Saturday Evening Post. But, as Malcolm Cowley has said, the critics did not read the Post, and Fitzgerald's reputation began the decline from which it never recovered in his lifetime.

The best stories of those years be selected for two collections, All the Sad Young Men (1986) and Taba et Reveille (1985). Two trently published collections, The Stories of F. Scott Fittgerald, edited by Malcolm Cowley, and Afternoon of an Author, edited by Arthur Miener, have assured the modern availability of all the good magazine fiction of Fittgerald's last fifteen years. One of the best stories in All the Sad Young Men is "Winter Dreams," a Jay Gatsby-Dairy Buchanan story set in St. Paul and told as If this time Gatsby had wisely given up the enchantres and learned to

CHARLES E. SHAIS settle for less. But Dexter Green's dreams, like Gatsby's, are more powerful than he knows. With their loss he has lost his capacity to love anything, or even to feel anything strongly again "Absolution" is another early story which owes its strength to the conception of Gatthy It is a provocative sketch of the boyhood days of James Gatz in the Red River Valley of North Dakota Fitzgerald published is as a separate story after he decided to preserve the mystery of Gatthy's early years "The Rich Boy," written in 1916, is by common consent one of the half-dozen best Fitzgerald stories Anson Hunter's privileged New York world it solidly established because Fitzgerald seems so intent on understanding it. The concentration of good American material in this thirtypage story might have provided a leaset novelist - provided he could have understood Anson Hunter - with the substance of a full length fiction. The story's success seems to justify Fitzgerald's interest in the lives of the rich He once underlined for his Hollywood friend, Shellah Graham, a sentence from an Arnold essay, "The question, how to law, is itself a moral idea," and in the margin he commented, "This is Arnold at his best, absolutely without preachment " It is entirely appropriate to associate Arnold's Victorish moral seriousness with the quality of Fitzgerald's mind when he wrote "The Rich Boy."

During three years beginning in 1918 he sent the Saturday Eve-ning Post a series of lourieen stories out of his boshood and young manhood. The first eight were based on a portrait of himself as Band Duke Lee. The last six were built around Josephine, the portrait of the magnetic seventeen year-old girl of his first love affair. It was characteristic of Fatagerald to relive his youth during the frustrated and unhappy days of his early thirties. His characters Instracted and unhappy days of his early thirties. His character always know how much of their most private emotional life depends upon what Anson Hunter calls the "brightest, freshest, rarest hours" which protect "that superiority he cherished in his heart." Fingerald was becoming acquainted with real depondency. His inability to write serious fiction sent him into desporate moods and touched off public acts of violence that ended in tights in jail. In 1938 he wrote Perkins from France, "If you see anyone I know tell 'em I hate 'em all, him especially. Never want to see 'em again. Why shouldn'! I go crary? My father is a moron and my mother is a neurotic, half insane with pathological nervous worry. Between them they haven't and never have had the brains of Calvin Coolidge. If I knew anything I'd be the best writer in America."

What he knew was his own divided life, and after Zelda's breakdown he began to write the stories of self-appraisal and self-accusation that led up to Tender Is the Night. In the autumn of 1930 the Post published the first of them, "One Trip Abroad," a Jamesian fable of the deterioration of two American innocents in Europe, Fitzgerald once wrote in his notebook, "France was a land, England was a people, but America . . . was a willingness of the heart." Nelson and Nicole Kelly come to Europe with money, a pair of small talents, his for painting, here for singing, and the naive hope that they will find somewhere the good life. But willinguess of the heart is not enough. They are not serious and selfsufficient, their American vitality makes them restless, and they become dependent on people, parties, and altohol. Their first sensitiveness to each other hardens into occasional violence, and they end up in the sanatoriums and rest hotels of Switzerland, "a country where very few things begin, but many things end." A better story, "Babylon Revisited" is a compassionate but morally strict portrait of a reformed American drunk who has to confront his complicity in his wife's death during a quarrel in Paris some years before. He wants desperately to get back his young daughter from her aunt and uncle's care, and he would give anything to "tump back a whole generation and trust in character again. . . ." But Charlie Wales cannot escape the furies from his past. He can only learn to face them with personal dignity.

Fitzgerald's big novel Tender Is the Night was written in its final form while Fitzgerald was living very close to his wife it lines. She was being treated by doctors in Baltimore—and willing her novel, Save Me the Waltz, to tell her version of their lives—and Fitzgerald and their daughter were making a home for her to return to in the countryide nearby. During 1934 and 1934 her

CHARLES E. SILAIN

health seemed to improve and he finished the manuscript. Then, early in 1934 when he was reading proofs of the novel, the had her most severe breakdown, and for the next, six years, except for thort periods of stability, she lived her life in hospitals. Their life together was over It in ansonising that, written under suth emotional pressures, Tender Is the Night is such a wise and objective novel as it is.

On the simplest level, it is the story of an American marriage. Dr. Richard Diver, a young American psychiatrist, practicing in Switzerland in 1919, falls in love with his patient, Nicole Warren of Chicago, knowing quite well that her transference to him is part of the pattern of her schizophrenia. By consecrating - to use Fittgerald's word - himself to their marriage, she is finally cured but he is ruined. To imagine Nicole, Fitzgerald could start from Zelda in her illness and partial recovery. But his heroine is also depicted as a beautiful princess of a reigning American family, whose wealth is the source of a monstrous arrogance: Nicole's trauma was the result of her father's incestmont attack on her. Dick Diver is stigmatized with Fitzgerald's understanding of his own weaknesses He suffers a kind of moral schizophrenia, for his precarious balance comes to depend on Nicole's need for him. After his morale has cracked he still tries to play the role of a confident man, and out of sheer emotional exhaustion he fades at last into the tender night, where he hopes nothing will ever be required of him again.

A weakness charged against the novel by some readers is that the causes of Dick Diver's deterioration are left unclear. Was it the careless, rith Nicole Warren who destroyed him, or his own bad judgment in choosing her? The only caplanation the novel offers Dick's villifiest self-services, be gave more generously of himself than any man could afford to. One of the reasons Dick is not coherent is that the quality of his develotion to Nicole. —"a wild submergence of the soul, a dipping of all colors into an obscuring dye," it is called — in the tarse degree of abadidonnent as Gataby's devotion to Daisy. But Dick's romancie soul must be understood "psycholorically" as Gataby's dist one need to be it the complexity of the obscience of the state degree of abadidonnent as Gataby's devotion to Daisy. But Dick's romancie soul must be understood "psycholorically" as Gataby's dist one need to be it the complexity of the

task Fitsgerald's use of the young movie atar, Rosenary Hoyi, as the novel's Nick Carraway. Through her impressionable eyes we first see the Divers and their circle on the summer Riviera before we know the history of the marriage. To began this long novel dramatically, as he had Gattby, yields some exciting results, but Fitsgerald came to believe it was a mistake not to tell the events of the story chronologically. Tender Is the Night has had recent printings in both versions. Fitsgerald's readers can decide for themselves.

Notwithstanding these faults, Tender Is the Night is Fitzgerald's weightiest novel. It is full of scenes that stay alive with each rereading, the cast of characters is the largest he ever collected, and the awareness of human variety in the novel's middle distance gives It a place among those American novels which attempt the full narrative mode. Arnold's assumption that how to live is itself a moral idea provides the central substance of the novel. The society Dick has chosen is a lost one, but Dick must function as if he is not lost. To hring happiness to people, including his wife, is to help them fight back selfishness and egotism, to allow their human imaginations to function. To fill in the background of a feisured class with human dignity does not seem a futile mission to Dr. Diver until he fails. For Fitzgerald's hero "charm always had an independent existence"; he calls it "courageous grace." A life of vital response is the only version of the rooral life Fitzgerald could imagine, and when Dr. Diver hears the "interior laughter" begin at the expense of his human decency he walks away. He returns to America and his life fades away in small towns in upstate New York as he tries unsuccessfully to practice medicine again.

Dick Diver is Fitzgerald's imagination of himself bereft of vitality, but also without his one strength of purpose, his devotion to literature. The poor reception of Tender Is the Night was a still blow to his confidence in himself as a writer when that confidence was about all he had left. Nearly all the influential critics discovered the same fault in the novel, that Fitzgerald was uncertain, and in the end unconvincing, about why Dick Diver fell to pieces. Fittgerald could only fight back in letters to his friends by asking for a closer reading of his complex story. The novel sold 1,5000 copies His short stories in Tape at Reveille, the next year, were greeted by even more hostile reviews and the volume sold only a few thousand. For a writer who m 1915 had received letters of congratulation from Edith Wharton, T. S. Elnot, and Willa Cather, it was depressing to realize that during 1932 and 1933, while he was writing Trader Is the Night, the royaltest paid for all his previous writing had totaled only \$50. His indebtedness to his agent and his publisher began to grow as the prices paid for his stories went down. And between 1934 and 1937 his daily life declined into the crippled state that is now known after his own description of its 3"the crack up "He first field III with tuberculosis, and then began to give in more frequently than ever before to alcohol and depondency. Twice before his fortieth birthday he attempted uticide. By 1937 at the age of forty-one he had recovered control sufficiently to accept a writing contract in Hollywood where he could begin to pay of his debu which by this time had grown

to \$4,0.00

Fitzerald's public analysis of his desperate condition, published in three essays in Equite in the spring of 1936, will be read differ ently by different people. But some kind of public penance was probably a necessary part of the pattern of Fitzerald's life. "You've got to sell your heart," he advised a young writer in 1938, and he had—Irom his fint college writing to Tender Is the Night. "Forget your personal tragedy . ." Herningway wrote him in 1934 after reading Tender Is the Night. "You see, Bo, you've not a trajec character. Neither an I. All we are it writers and what we should do is write." Herningway and Edmund Wilson both disapproved of Fitzgerald's confessions as bad strategy for a writer. The only explanation one can imagine Fitzgerald making to them is

should do is write." Hemingway and Edmund Wilson bott dulpproved of Fügerald's confession as bad strategy for a writer. The only explanation one can imagine Fürgerald making to them is Gatoby's explanation, that it was only personal. The crack-up exsays have become clastics, as well known as the best of Fürgerald's short fiction. The spiritual lassitude they decribe is attributed to the same "Jesion of Vitalliy" and "emotional bankruptcy" that Dick Diver and Anthony Patch and all Fürgerald's sad young men suffer. Fitzgerald calls it becoming "identified with the objects of my horror and compassion." As Fitzger-ald describes it here it closely resembles what in Coleridge's ode "Dejection" is called simply the loss of joy. The process of its withdrawal from Coleridge at a power which he had drawn on too often he describes as stealing "From my own nature all the natural man." Fitzgerald was conscious of his relation to the English Romantics in his confession. He calls up the examples of Wordsworth and Keats to represent good writers who fought their way through the horrors of their lives. The loss of his natural human pieries that Fitzgerald felt he associated with a memory of "the beady-eyed men I used to see on the commuting train from Great Neck fifteen years back - men who didn't care whether the world tumbled into chaos tomorrow if it spared their houses." Fittgerald's style was never more gracefully colloquial or his metaphors more natural and easy than in these Esquire pieces "I was impelled to think. God, was it difficult! The moving about of great secret trunks." The grace of the prose has made some readers suspect that Fitzgerald is withholding the real ugliness of the experience, that he is simply imi-tating the gracefully guilty man in order to avoid the deeper confrontation of horror. But his language often rises above sentiment and pathos to the pure candor of a generous man who decided "There was to be no more giving of myself" and then, in writing it down, tried to give once more,

Once settled in Hollywood and in love with Miss Graham Fitzgerald returned to the East only occasionally—and nusually disactivatily. He needed any strength he could muster to try to stay away from drinking and hold on to his contract as a movie writer. For a year and a half he commanded a salary of over \$1000 a week, and, given the breaks, he said, he could double that within two years. One of his breaks was Miss Graham, who helped him to live a quite productive life for almost a year after they met. But late in 1938 his contract was not renewed and in Pebruary 1939 he drank himself out of a movie plo hi Hanover. New Hampshire, a disaster that Budd Schulberg has turned into a novel and a play.

CHARLES E. SHAIN

York hospital but by July be was writing short stories again for Equire. He wrote in all twenty two stories in the eighteen months remaining to him, seventeen of them neat and comic little stories about a corrupt movie writer named Pat Hobby, and one little man who had been drunk for ten years.

During the last year of his life Furgerald wrote as hard as his depleted capacities allowed him on the novel he left half finished at his death, The Last Tycon, It is an impressive fragment. When it was published in 1941 many of Furgerald's literary contemporaries, including John Dos Pasos and Edmund Wiston, all the mature fulfallment of Fitzgerald's great talent, and a belated

revaluation of Fitzgerald as a writer began. The Last Tycoon had the mark of the thirties on it as surely as his early novels had the American boom as their principal theme. The subject was Hollywood as an industry and a society, but also as an American microcosm. Instead of drawing a deft impression of American society as he had in his earlier fiction, Fitzgerald now wanted to record it. The first hundred pages of the novel take us behind the doors of studios and executive offices in Hollywood with the authority of first-rate history. The history fastens on the last of the American barons, Hollywood's top producer, Monroe Stahr, and we watch him rule a complex industry and produce a powerful popular art form with such a dedication of intelligence and will that he becomes a symbol for a vanishing American grandeur of character and role. "Unlike Tender Is the Night," Fitz gerald explained, "it is not the story of descriptation - it is not depressing and not morbid in spite of the tragic ending. If one book could ever be 'like' snother, I should say it is more 'like' The Great Gatsby . . . " The plot was to show Stahr's fight for the cause of the powerful and responsible individual against Hollywood's labor gangsters and Communist writers. Violent action and melodrama were to carry the story, like a Dickens novel, to seats of power in Washington and New York, "Action is character," Fitzgerald reminded himself in one of his last notes on his novel's progress. The action is brilliantly conceived and economically executed. Fitzgerald's atyle is lean and clear. His power of letting his meanings emerge from incident was never more sharply displayed. At the center of his hero's last two years of life is an Illistanted love affair, like Fitzgerald's own, that comes too late and—only reminds him of his lost first wife. But Fitzgerald kept his romantic ego in check in imagining Stahr. What obviously fascinated him was the creation of an American type upon whom responsibility and power had descended and who was committed to building something with his power, something that would last, even though it was only a brief scene in a movie.

It was an ironic and courageous image for Fitzgerald to cherish in the last days of his crippled life. He had not written order into his life, though he once noted wryly dash he sometimes read his own books for advice. But his devotion so his writing up to the end shows how much his work flowed from his character as well as from his talent. It is hard in coming to terms with Fitzgerald to follow Lawrence's advice and learn to trust the tale, not the author Bit we succeed we shall learn that the aspects of himself that he continually made into the characters in his fetion are imaginatively re-created American lives. He often wrote that high order of self-revelation that reveals humanity.

William Faulkner

W.ILLIAM FAULKNER'S Yoknapatawpha County, Missiinpp, with Jefferon as the county sca, is both a mythical and an actual region Reality and myth are difficult to separate because Faulkner has transcribed the geography, the history, and the people of northern Missisppa, and he has also transmitted them. Clearly it is more sensible to see Yoknapatawpha County and its people as a little self-contained world of the imagination than as an accurate history, from the time of the Chicksasw Indians down to the present, of northern Mississipol.

Yoknapatawpha County is an area of 2400 square miles, with a population of 15,611 persons. There is the rich delta land of the hunt; there is the sand and brush country; there is Jefferson, with its jail, the town square, and the old houses emanating decay; there is Beat Four, and there is the Old Frenchman's Place; there are dusty roads, swamps, cometeries, a railroad, and there is the great river, sometimes smooth and deep but when in flood wild, turbulent, and destructive More than several generations inhabit Yoknapatawpha County: Indians, slaves, plantation owners, Civil War soldiers, bushwackers, genteel old ladies, veterans, first of the Civil War, then of World War I, and finally of World War II, exploiters, servants, peddlers, preachers, lawyers, doctors, farmers, college students, and many others. The pigeons in a church bellry, the scent of honeysuckle, a sultry July afternoon, the drugitore on Sunday afternoon, the rancid smells of a Negro cabin, the clop-clop of a horse's hooves in the town square - these

and a hundred other scenes have, thanks to Faulkner's descriptive powers, become part of a timeless panorama

And perhaps one should add that this mythical country, as a part of the South, is seen as being very different from the rest of the United States, the West, the East, and the North. The southerner, the resident of Yoknapatawpha County, carries his burden of guilt, his part in the troubled and painful heritage that began with slavery, and he responds to it in his individual was

Northern Missistippi—especially the town of Oxford ("Jefferson") and Ladayette County ("Yoknapatawpha County")—was Faulkner's own territory His Lamily had lived there since before the Civil War. As a family they had moments of high achievement, and they saw days when the family and its future seemed menaced. Faulkner pondered the family hostory and his own personal history—and he sued both in writing his stories.

William Faulkner was born in New Albany, Missisippi, in 187, In 1890 his family moved to Oxford, the seat of the University of Missisippi, where his father, Murray C. Falkner, ran a livery stable and a hardware store, and later was business manager of the university. (The us was added to the family name by the printer who tet up William's first book, The Marble Faun.) Faulkner's mother was Maud Butler. There were four children: William, Murray, John, and Dean.

William C. Falkner, William's greategrandfather, was born in 1825. He has been a legendary figure in northern Missispipt. The details in his life, many of which turn up in his great-grandson's books, read like episodes in a picatesque novel. Twice he was a careful disciplinarian and a dashing soldier as the colonel of a group of raiders in the Givil War. He had begun as a poor youngster trying to earn enough money to help his widowed mother, but he ended his career as the owner of a railroad and a member of the attre legislature. He was killed by his former railroad pattern shortly after he had defeated the latter for a weat in the legislature. Appropriately, there is a struct of William C. Falkner fasing his railroad.

William C Falkner's son, J. W T Falkner, the novelist's grand fasher, was a lawyer, a banker, and an assistant United States at corney. He was active to the "rise of the 'rednecks," the political movement that gave greater suffrage to tenant farmers. Those residents of Oxford who can remember him say he was a man of stiff dignity, deaf, and with a testy, explosive temper.

The great-grandfather and the grandfather are obviously the originals for Colonel Sartons and Bayard Sartonis in Sartonis, The Ununaquusked, and many other stories. They are a part of the legend of the Old South, and they play an important part in Faulkner's Yoknapatawpha saga. Faulkner's immediate Infly seem, in a more induced Eashon, to be the originals for the Compson family. They are central in The Sound and the Fury, but they appear also in other stories.

William Faulkner was a poor student, and left high school after the tenth grade for a job in his grandfather's bank. He read wide ly, and wrote poetry. He also tred his hand at painting. He was a moody young man and a putale to the townspeople of Oxford. In 1944 he began a frendship with Phil Stone, a young lawyer, which gave him a chance for literary discussions and helped acquaint him with ruch traing reputations as Control Aiken, Robert Frost, Eara Pound, and Sherwood Anderson.

Because he was underweight and only five feet five in height, Faulkner was turned down by the United States Army. He succeeded, however, in joining the Royal Flying Gorps in Toronto, Canada, as a cadet. On December 21, 1918, the date of demobilization, he became an honorary second liteutenant. Like most other writers of his age, Faulkner has often been preoccupied with both the events and the implications of World War I. His early books deal with it, and one of his later. A Fable.

As a veteran he was allowed to caroll at the University of Mississippi, where he studied English, Spanish, and French, but he was in residence for only one full academic year, Some of his contributions to student publications suggest that he was a witty and aardonic young man who was having difficulty in finding himself either as an artist or professionally. He took a job in a bookstore in New York City, but this did not last long and be was soon back in Oxford. For two years he did odd jobs, as a carpenter and house painter, then became postmaster at the university. He soon resigned, saying in his letter of resignation, "I will be dammed if I propose to be at the beck and call of every timerant soundrel who bas two cents to invest in a postage stamp." This same year, 1924, saw the publication of The Marble Faun, an imitative book of poems. Stone had subsidized its publication.

Faulkner decided to go to Europe, by way of New Orleans, Once in New Orleans, however, he stayed for six months. He wrote a few sketches for the Times-Picayune entitled "Mirrors of Chartres Street," contributed to the Double-Dealer, an important "little magazine," and became friends with Sherwood Anderson, at that time one of the most admired of American writers. He also wrote his first novel, Soldiers' Pay, which Anderson helped him get published. He and Anderson remained friends despite differences in temperament which occasioned quarrels and despite Faulkner's having written a parody of Anderson's style in Sherwood Anderson and Other Creoles, a volume of drawings by William Spratling, one of his New Orleans friends. In this book there is a drawing by Spratling of Faulkner and himself sitting at a table painting, writing, and drinking. On the wall there is a sign reading "Viva Art," Beneath Faulkner's chair are three gallons of corn liquor, In June 1925, Faulkner and Spratling shipped on a freighter for Italy and a walking trip through France and Germany.

Faulkner was back in New York for the publication, in March 1976, of Soldiert' Psy, a self-consciously elegant novel about the "lost generation." Its typle is indebted to Swinburne and Beards-ley, or, more generally, to the fin de sitele tradition. This is an example: "They had another drink. The music beat on among youthful leaves, into the darkness, beneath the gold and mute cacophony of stars. The light from the veranda was lost, the house loomed huge against the sty; a rock against which waves of trees broke, and breaking were forcer arrested: and stars were golden unicorns neighing unheard through blue meadows, spuming them

WILLIAM VAN O'CONNOR

with hooves sharp and scintillant as ice. The sky, so remote, so sad, spurned by the unicoms of golds, that, neighing soundlessly from dusk to dawn had seen them, had seen her –her taut body prone and naked as a narrow pool . . . "The fin de silecle tradition never matured in the Unuted States, unless it can be said to have matured in the poetry of Wallace Stevens, but in the young Faulkner America had a writer greatly attracted to it. Themsatically the roote comes to very hitle, but clearly the young man who wrote it had talent. Soldier? Pay received favorable reviews, and its publisher signed a contract for a second novel. Faulkner went off to Parsagoula, Musisippol, to write its.

Morquitoes, published in 1927, used New Orleans as a setting. Insolar as it has a theme Morquitoer says that actions are more important than words and doors more important than talkers. It is a satirical novel, but most of the satire is heavy-handed. One of the characters, Dawson Fairchild, is based on Anderson, and one of the more interesting parts of the book is a series of "tall tale" which, Faulkner later said he and Anderson had worked up to getter, Morquitoes was less well received than Soldiers' Pay.

Sartors (1929) helped Faulliner find himself as a writer. Doing it, he "discovered that writing is a mighty fine thing; it enabled you to make men stand on their hind legs and cast a long shadow." Sartoris is an uncritical account of the Sartoris (or Falkner) family legend, brought down to Faulkner's nown generation, and centered in young Bayard, a war veteran. He is one of the young men Gertrude Stein called the "lost generation," but he is also procoupled with his southern heritage, Sartoris is a source book for many later stories, and in writing it Faulkner began to see and feel the dignity and pathos of what was to become his most persistent sub-text matter.

While writing Sartorss Faulkner had also been working on The Sound and the Fury. They were published within a few months of each other. Sartoris marks the end of an apprenticeship. The Sound and the Fury is the work of a major writer.

In June 1949 Faulkner married Estelle Oldham and settled down to a career as a writer. Within a ten-year span he wrote and published most of what has come to be regarded as his major work. There were trips to Hollywood, where he worked on movie scripts, and trips to New York City, but mostly he remained in Oxford. Sanctuary brought bim notoriety. Critical acclaim came more slowly. Oddly, the French recognized Faulkner's power more quickly and more widely than Americans did, André Malraux wrote a preface for Sanctuary, and Jean-Paul Sartre wrote a long critical essay on Faulkner's work. In 1946, when Malcolm Cowley published his influential Portable Faulkner, all of Faulkner's books were out of print, and there had been very little serious criticism devoted to Faulkner. But valuable studies began in 1946, and now there is hardly a critical or scholarly journal that has failed to devote article after article to Faulkner. The Nobel Prize was awarded to him in 1950 Faulkner, accompanied by his daughter, went to Sweden, and delivered an address hat has been widely acclaimed. Many other awards followed, including Pulitzer prizes for The Town and, posthumously, The Reivers, Faulkner visited European countries, especially France, spent some weeks in Japan in 1955, and made occasional public appearances in the United States. In 1957 he was a writer in residence at the University of Virginia, Three weeks after being thrown from a horse, he died, from a heart attack, in Oxford, Mississippl, July 6, 1962.

Many editions of Faulkner's books continue to appear, especially in Inexpensive reprints; versions of some of them are done for television and the movies; and Requiem for a furn had a run as a Broadway play, was performed in many European countrie, and in France was adapted by Albert Canus. Faulkner has been accepted as a great American writer, despite occasional cries of dissent from readers and sometimes from critics who feel he is overvalued, is wildly theorical or merely obscure and difficult to read. The admirers of Faulkner sometimes claim that his detractors disparage him because they fait to understand the nature of his genius, and his detractors sometimes say Faulkner's admirers are bemused by his theroic. The truth lies in between.

Robert Penn Warren, in an article first published in 1946, says this: "William Faulkner has written nineteen books which for

range of effect, philosophical weight, originality of style, variety of characterization, humor, and tragic intensity, are without equal in our time and country. Let us grant, even to, that there are grave defects in Faulkner's work. Sometimes the tragic intensity becomes mere emotionalism, the technical virtuosity intere complication, the philosophical weight mere conflusion of mind. Let us grant that much, for Faulkner is a very uneven writer. The unevenness is, in a vay, an index to this visialety, this willingness to take risks, to try for new effects, to make new explorations of material and method." Mr. Warren implies that Faulkner's admires do him no service when they reduce to recognice that his limitations are sometimes inextricably intertwined with his great achievement.

A few of Faulkner's critics have also tried to schematire his themes, saying, for example, that he favors the antebellum "artistocrats" and their descendants over other groups in southern society, or that he is anti-modern and sees only evils in twentiethenium; industrialization and mechanization. Anyone who take Faulkner's novels in chronological order, summarising their plots and analyting their themes, as is done here, can see that no tuch schematic account really works.

The critic of, say, Robert Frost, Wallace Stevens, or Ernett Hemistry Control of the Stevens of

of conduct Faulher seems to advocate in certain novels would be seen as perverse or as evil by most orthodox Christians. A fair and just method in writing about his career—the method attempted in this essay—is to take the major works one at a time, summarizing the action, sorting out the themes, and describing, since Faulker is an important innovator, the method of narration

Faulkner once said he had "written his gust" into The Sound and the Fury. Many of his admiters believe it is his best novel, and one of the greatest novels written in the twentieth century. Without doubt it is a work of great virtuosity, even genius, but there is some critical disagreement about what Faulkner was trying to say in it.

The Sound and the Fury is clearly a "modern" novel. It is in the impressionistic tradition of James, Conrad, Crane, Ford Madox Ford, and Joyce—the tradition that said "Life does not narrate but makes impressions on our brains." And that said the noveliation, or secons to allow, the story or tell sitself he does not instrude. (To Joyce in particular Faulkner owes the interior monologue, the stream of consciousness, and portmanteau words.) Occasionally, however, Faulkner does incrude, but in a special sense: he lends his own rhetorical voice, a kind of chorus, to a character. For example, Quentin Compson, who ordinarily is shown thinking in a disordered, disturbed, even mad fashion, suddenly remesers in a quite different sort of language a train trip during which he had seen, from the window, an old Negro satride a small mule. This is the passare:

Then the train began to move. I Jeaned out the window, into the cold air, looking back. He stood there beside the gunt rabbit of a mule, the two of them shabby and motionless and unimpatient. The train swung around the curve, the engine pulsing with short, heavy blasts, and they passed smoothly from sight that way, with that quality about them of thabby and imeless patience, of static serenity: that blending of childlike and ready incompetence and paradoxical reliability that tends and protects them it loves out of all reason and robs them steadily and evades responsibility and obligations by means too barefaced to be called subtrifuge even and is taken in theft or evation with only that

frank and spontaneous admiration for the victor which a gentlenan feels for anyone who beats him as fair contest, and withal a fond and unfagging tolerance for whitefolks "vagaries like that of a grandparent for unpredictable and troublesome children which I had forgotten

The passage is very similar to Sartoris in which Faulkner him-self is doing the narrating Faulkner's rhetorical voice intrudes in this fashion in all the books subsequent to The Sound and the Fury. But primarily the characters think and speak in their own peculiar fashion Thus Benjy, the idiot, watching a golfing match; "Through the fence, between the curling flower spaces, I could see them bitting 'They were coming toward where the flag was and I went along the fence Luster was hunsing in the grass by the flower tree They took the flag out, and they were hitting." All of Benjy's thoughts have to do with sensations, with smells, eating, going to bed, or tones of voice Time past and time present merge and interflow in his mind. He never speculates or plans -he feels Jason Compson's thoughts and speech are invariably imnie, expressing his bitter humor and frustration. "I told Mother goodnight and went on to my room and got the box out and counted it again I could hear the Great American Gelding snoring away like a planing mill I read somewhere they'd fix men that way to give them women's toices. But maybe he didn't know what they'd done to him. I dont reckon he even knew what he had been trying to do, or why Mr. Burgess knocked him out with the lence picket " Everywhere in The Sound and the Fury the reader secs, hears, and experiences, whether it is the young Compson children getting ready for bed, the tone of the genteel and whining Mrs Compson, the decency and patience of Dilsey, the magnificently rendered Negro sermon, or the sound of Queenie's hooves in the town square

The primary story being told in The Sound and the Fury is the decline of a family The family has had generals, a governor, and wealthy planters They had owned the Compson Mile. In a chromology of the Compsons, done for Malcolm Cowley's Parie side Faulkner, Faulkner traces the family history from 1699 to 1945. But the novel proper is limited from June 2, 1910, to April 8, 1948, and it tells what happens to the last generation of Comp sons Mr. Compson is a witty but alcoholic lawyer, and Mrs Compson is preoccupied with her honor, faded glories, and present indignities, such as her iduo son and inefectual brother Maury Candace, Quentin, Jason, and Benjy are seen as children and as adults.

Quentin is seen in Cambridge, Massachusetts, readying himself or suicide: he contemplates his family but particularly Candace's fornication with Dalton Ames and her marriage to Sydney Herbert Head. His experiences during that day (June 2, 1910) impinge in a shadowy way on his memories, more especially his frustrated desire to free himself and Candace from time's meaningless foar. Behind his desire to commit incest with Candace was the hope that this would cause Jehowah to cast them into hell for etermity But his father had told him that virginity was an ideal invented by men, and that his talk of incest was merely a way of gwing himself a significance neither he nor anyone else can have. Except for Candace, Quentin also feels unloved. Once he says, "I have no mother."

As an adult, Jason IV, Quentin't brother, works in a hardware store, plays the stock market, and systematically steals the money Candace sends for the board and room of her illegitimate daughter, named Quentin. The girl, to whom Jason is always mean and sometimes cruel, steals the money from him and runs off with a fellow employed by a carnia. I jason is unable either to find them or to recover the money—and his frustrations are nearly unbearable. I ason is scornful of tradition, of principle and honor.

It is Dilsey, the old Negress, decent, sympathetic, and responsible, who provides the coherence and moral principles against which the Compsons are, by implication, judged. She is one of Faulkner's most memorable characters.

Faulkner has said The Sound and the Fury is a story of "lost innocence." It is also the history of an inward turning family living for the most part in the past. As such, it is reminiscent of Hawthorne's The House of the Seem Gables. It is also reminis-

WILLIAM VAN O'CONNOR

cent of Dostoevski's The Brothers Karamatov. One critic has said that Quentin has some kinship with Raykolnikov in Crime and Parunhiment. If The Sound and the Furj is seen as essentially Quentin's story (certainly a partial and lopsided emphasis) it becomes the search of the modern protagonist, usually a subtive aesthete, for a sense of radical significance. It can also be read as a failure of love within a family, an absence of self-repect and of mutual respect. It is a southern story. It is a twentieth-century story And as the fall of a house it is a kin to torse of the most amont stories in Western likerature.

As I Lay Dying (1930) is both a simple and a puzzling book. Structurally and stylistically it exhibits Faulkner's amazing virtuosity Concentrating on a character at a time, fifteen of them in all, the action breaks into sixty sections Each character, simultaneously refracting and participating in the forward movement of the story, cuts into the substance and suggests meanings to the degree possible to his consciousness and perception. The technique makes for what Henry James called the "highest possible degree of saturation." But it also makes for some confusion. Is it Addie's story? Or Darl's or Cash's, or the story of all of them and that of the other participants as well? A further complication is that As I Lay Dying exists on two levels, as a ritualistic and symbolic journey and as a naturalistic and psychological story. For, although it is set in Mississippi and is about a "redneck" family, As I Lay Dying evokes memories of ancient times and places far away Neither As I Lay Dying nor any other Faulkner novel should be read as having a one to one relationship with northern Mississippi. They are highly stylized stories—and their geography is more of the soul than of Mississippi,

The funeral journey could suggest the Mosaic tree out of Egypthe crossing of the river Jordan, the difficult journey of the dead across the river Sysz, the long cararans on sacred journeys to Mecca or to some sanetuary withm Mongolas or Tiber. Addie Bundren's funeral journey has an epic tone It is a ritual, the fulfilling of a promuse Each member of the family is given an opportunity to ponder his relationship to the others, especially to Addie. But Addie herself is not a simple or absolute symbol of virtue and wisdom, although she is an amazingly vital and in some ways an admirable person. As I Lay Dying does not minimize selfishness, aggrandizement, obsessions, or plain human sturplidly. In tone is can be quitet, grim, wild, bizare, or sublime Faulkner does not pretend that at the journey's end each character has had his opportunity to drink from the cup of wisdom and go home fully tenewed. Datl goes mad, little Vardaman is as bemused as ever, Dewey Dell is simply frustrated, and Anse has used the burial journey as a way of getting a new wife

Essentially this is the action: Addie Bundren is dving Cash. the eldest son, is building a coffin for her. Anse, her husband, allows others to carry his burdens and is given to easy self-justification. Darl, the second son, rejected by Addie, has what is sometimes called "second sight." Jewel is Addie's illegitimate son, fathered by Whitfield, a self justifying preacher. Dewey Dell, the fourth child, is pregnant by Lafe, a neighbor boy Darl knows, without being told, that Jewel is Addie's illegitimate, as well as best loved, son, and he knows Dewey Dell wants to get to Jefferson to buy abortion pills. The youngest child Vardaman, who some-times seems moronic, thinks Dr. Peabody has killed his mother, and confuses a dead fish with his dead mother. (Dr. Peabody, entering the action from outside the family, provides a way of evaluating them.) Addie wants to be buried in Jefferson, where her family are buried. Exacting a promise from Anse, she feels, will involve him, and possibly allow her life to enter his in a way it never had before. After her death, the family set out for Jefferson. The journey is a nightmare. The coffin is upset in a stream. Cash's leg is broken and Anse, to save money, coats it with cement. Darl sets fire to a barn to destroy Addie's corpse, but she is saved by Jewel. Buzzards follow them. A druggist refuses to sell pills to Dewey Dell, and a soda clerk seduces her. Anse borrows a spade and shovel to dig Addie's grave, Darl is taken off to the asylum in Jackson, and Anse, having taken Dewey Dell's money, buys new teeth and gets bimself a new wife.

9

Addie's belief is that one should violate one's aloneness, should

not allow words like mn or fore to serve in lieu of violation and involvement. And she has tried to live this way—getting ready to be dead. This doctrine is sometimes said to be the theme of the novel. But Addie also has curious rationalizations: Cash is her true son, she say, because while carrying him she had not yet realized that Anse's life did not violate hers nor her life violate his Her second duld Darl seemed a betrayal, and she rejects him. Then she had Jewel—but Whitfield is like Anse, so the feel's Jewel is solely hers. She had Dewey Dell and Vardaman to make up for her having had Jewel. The two sons she accepts. Cash and Jewel, make great ascrifices to get her to Jefferson Darl hates Jewel because Addie loved him, and he tries to prevent her getting there He says." I have no mother." Dewey Dell's indifferent to her mother and Vardaman is incapable of a moral decision.

There are several themes. According to Addie, one has an obligation to be involved, and to accept the accompanying and inevitable violence and suffering Cash and Jewel apparently accept her doctrine, and live by tt. Anse and the remaining chil dren, for various reasons, do not. The three children are also victims of the lack of love between Anse and Addie, Addie, while faithful to her belief in the need for violation, is not faithful to Darl, Dewey Dell, or Vardaman, the children of her flesh though not of her doctrine She rejects them. And in Darl, as a poetic, speculative type ("sickbed o'er with the pale cast of thought"). there is a third theme. He is not unlike Quentin Compson (both see themselves as motherless) an bis preoccupation with man as a lost creature in the universe. He gives himself to speculations and searches into the dark torners of other people's minds Cash holds fast to the physical world, and so does Jewel But Dark like Quentin Compson, loses his hold and goes mail.

These, then, are the major themes—Addie's doctrine of involvement, the consequences that follow the breakdown of family low, and the dangers in turning away from action and giving oneself to endless speculation. And if one wanted to concentrate attention on Anne, or certain other characters, indoubtedly still further themes could be pointed up. The fifteen characters in their relationships with each other, especially with Addie, and in the way they illuminate the several themes seem a part of the world's mystery and irreducible complexity.

Sanctuary (1931) made Faulkner famous. In the preface to the Modern Library edition, he says he once asked himself what would sell at least 10,000 oppes. He hit upon the horrific story of the rape of a coed by a perverted gangster, wrote 1t in three weeks, and sent it off. His publisher, Harrison Smith, answered almost at once, "Good God, I can't publish this. We'd both be in jul." This was before Faulkner had written either The Sound and the Fury or As I Lay Dying. He says he had forgotten about it when the galleys arrived. Harrison Smith had obviously changed his mind. At the cost of several hundred dollars to himself Faulkner made extensive revisions?

Sanctuary is a "thriller," or, in its own way, what another writer, Graham Greene, calls an "entertainment." It is not Faulk mer's fiction at its serious best. At least one of the themes—the attack on modernism—is stated too insistently and without qualification. And the image of the world as a "cooling ball in space," borrowed from fin de sitcle writers, is self-consciously "literary." But Sanctuary is obviously the work of a skillful and bighly Inventive novelity.

The sexual evils in Sanctuary are identified with the oldness and the decay of the world, with the grape and honespuckle, and the changing seasons; there is "a compirary of female flesh and female season." Sex "writhes like cold smoke." Throughout the book descriptions and characterizations are made in terms of nature and flower imagers. There are also descriptions and characterizations made in terms of metallic and mechanical images. Both serve to suggest a society for whom sex is only lust and human relaxonships merely amond engagement.

Sanctuary opens as a Gothic story, then moves toward and merges into a double vision, as though in montage, of amoral modernism and the world as ripe and overripe. The Gothic beginnings include the remote Old Frenchman's Place, a decayed plantation house, surrounded by a foreboding woods. The sky is dark, there are durily perceived movements, and strange sounds. There is a blund man whose "cataracted eyes looked like two clots of phlegm." The maiden heroine is Temple Drake, the hero is of the usual Gothic heroine and hero. Temple Bers from Lee Goodwin, who plans to seduce her, and excapes, with the aid of the morn Tommy, to a rat infested contract ble is discovered there by Popeye, who shoots Tommy and rappe her with a control, ascene that outdoes any of the sexual crimes found in Good ascene that outdoes any of the sexual crimes found in Goldi fiction. Popeye sets her up in a Memphis whorehouse. He arranges for a young man named Red to be her lover, and he, Popeye, if present during their loverabung Temple becomes throughy depraved, a fact upon which much of the subsequent action decends.

Popeye is sometimes raid to represent amoral modernism. He is impotent, but with the aid of Natural Lust (Red), he corrupt southern Womanhood (Temple), and the becomes his ally, Formalized Tradition (Horace Benbow, the lawyer) tries to defend Goodwin, who is accused of the murder of Tommy, but the Amoral Modernists (the poluticians, the townspeople, and Eustate Craham, the district actorney) see to it that Goodwin is lynched, Faulkner himself said that Popeye was "all allegory." Oddy, near the end of the story, Evulkner attempts to account for him pey-chologically and naturalizationally, by reconning Popeye's child hood, thereby destroying some of his effectiveness as a symbol of amoral moderation.

Much of the humor of Sanchusry—the scenes with the three madams, Miss Reba's seruse of propriety, Uncle Bud's getting drunk, and the excapades of Virgal and Fonno Snopen—is fall humor. Some of the satire on the townspeople of Jefferson is mid-the realistic tradition. And there are the characters carried over from Sartoru. That the humor, sattre, and predefined characters carried over from Sartoru. That the humor, sattre, and predefined character rations (Naricais's character is different in the two books) do not destroy but rather merge into the nightmartish quality of the book is a tribute to Faulkner's ability to control his materials. But

their complexity may also suggest that Faulkner was more con cerned with telling a sensational, grim, and sometimes lunny story than he was with investigating its significances

Light in August (1932) is a novel about the spirit of righteous ness. Possibly it is in this book that Faulkner is closest to Hawthorne. A source of the spirit of persecution, as developed by both writers, is puritanical righteousness, the inability or refusal to forgive human frailty, the placing of duty above charity. Protestantism, as treated in Light in August, is grim, demanding, "stern and implacable " Gail Hightower, the old minister, says that this spirit is behind the lynching of Joe Christmas, the culmination of the novel's action. Of the community he says. "Pleasure, ecstasy they cannot seem to bear. Their escape from it is in violence, in drinking and fighting and praying: catastrophe too, the violence identical and apparently mescapable And so why should not their religion drive them to crucifixion of themselves and one another? And they will do it gladly, gladly. . . . Since to pity him would be to admit self-doubt and to hope for and need pity themselves. They will do it gladly, gladly, That's what is so terrible, terrible,"

Faulkner chose to make the community of Jefferson Presbyterian or Calvinist. The United States Census figures show that the Baptists are by far the largest Protestant group in Mississippi, the Methodists the second largest, and the Presbyterians a small minority. Faulkner's reasons for doing this presumably were literary or dramatic. It allowed him to introduce the doctrines of predestination and of man's terrible depravity. (He also attribotes such doctrines to the family of Calvin Burden, from New England, even though he says they were Unitarian.) A second reason possibly is that he wanted to stress the Scotch-Irish origins of the majority of the townspeople. (In one of the interviews in Faulkner at Nagano, Faulkner is quoted as saying his townspeople are of "Scottish descent." He should have said that many of them are also of Scotch Irish descent.) Eupheus Hines, the mad grandfather of Joe Christmas, is forever talking about predestination and depravity; foanna Burden, Joe's guilt-ridden lover, be-

WILLIAM VAN O'CONNOR

lieves that God did not intend that the Negro's plight be ameliorated, and Simon McEachern, Joe's foster father, is a stern Presbyterian elder and on one occasion "the representative of a wrathful and retributive Throng."

But Light in August is not wholly an attack on Protestant excesses Percy Grimm, the town's instrument in killing Joe, does not act in the name of Denyi He sees himself as the agent of patrotism—and Faulkner seems to be saying, through Grimn, whom he once called a Nain, that patrotism can also generate he sort of righteousness that leads to persecution. Lena Grove and Byron Bunch believe in that peace which, as Hightower describes it, results from sinning and being forgiven, Both of them are fallible, and both are capable of guile. But they are also kindly and sympathetic, and they are able to accept as well as extent charity.

Light in August can also be read in more strictly psychological terms. The child, Joe, is the illegitimate son of Eupheus Hines daughter Joe's father is never seen, but he may (only may) have been a Negro Hines refuses to call a doctor for his daughter and she dies in childbirth On Christmas day (thus the name, Joe Christmas) Hines puts the child into an orphanage, where he is treated impersonally and coldly. On one occasion, while eating stolen toothpaste, he uncomprehendingly witnesses the lovemaking of the diesician and an intern. He expects to be punished, but the dietician tries to buy his silence. His mad grandfather hovers at the edge of his life, something after the manner of Chillingworth in The Scarlet Letter, Later, McEachern, on whose farm he lives and works, disciplines him severely. There is no affection in their relationship Mrs. McEachern tries to scheme with Joe to outwit McEachern, but the boy refuses her help, her sympathy and affection Thus Joe is denied a system of rules and sanctions administered with love. For the rest of his life he refuses to give affection or to receive it. Even though he could pass as a white man, Joe chooses to present himself as a Negro; he wants to be rejected. On the other hand, he refuses to accept Negro status in a white society - and in the end this, in part, causes his break with Joanna, which leads to his killing her and to his being lynched.

Hightower also is the product of a too strict upbringing And his weak constitution is the result of his father's refusal of charity for his wife and child. The young Hightower escapes, by fantary, into the life his grandfather had lived as a Confederate raider. Hightower enters the church for two reasons, as a shelter from the world and as a means of joining his grandfather's ghost in Jefferson. He meets and marries a girl, the daughter of one of the seminary teachers, who wants desperately to escape from the seminary. He fails her as a husband, and after several affairs she kills herself, His parishioners reject him, and even try to make him leave Jefferson, but he stays on in the town. Only Byron Bunch befriends him. Late in his life Hightower realizes the nature of his own failures, as well as the failure of the church He makes a futile effort to save Christmas, and he befriends Lena and her child.

Light in August can be interpreted religiously or psychologically—the interpretations come to the same point, that menshould treat each other charitably and be tolerant of human weaknesses. If they fail to do so they invite the persecutions the perversions, and the violence of which the novel is largely commosted.

Light in August is very skillfully done. There are three story strands, and each is narrated in a way that illuminates the theme and creates a sense of great variety and multiplicity of life. All though Light in August seems to have come out of Faiilkner's visceral life, and to exist as a breathing, throbbing, tormented community of human beings, it exhibits a greater intellectual play and resonance than any of his other novels. It may be his highest achievement as a novelist.

Pylon (1935) is a failure, at least when seen in relation to the several books published immediately before it and to Absalom, bublished the year following it. The setting is New Valois, or New Orleans, and the central characters are a reporter, bis editor, and a "family" of stunt fiters. Sutther is not writing the control of the properties of the prop

WILLIAM VAN O'CONNOR

about Yoknapatawpha, but he did know New Orleam well, and he knew the newspaper world and stunt flying The failure does not derive from a limited knowledge of his subject; it derives from a failure in conception.

Faulkner apparently set out to explain the curious "family" -Laverne and her two bed companions, Jack Holmes, a parachute jumper, and Roger Shumann, who races the planes. Laverne does not know which man is the father of her six-year-old boy. The reporter gets involved with the "family" during their stay in New Valois. Early in the novel, he says to his editor, Hagood: "Because they sin't human like us; they couldn't turn those pylons like they do if they had had human blood and senses and they wouldn't want to or dare to if they just had human brains. Burn them like this one tonight and they don't even holler in the fire; crash and it ain't even blood when you haul him out: It's cylinder oil the same as in the crankcase." These people, as Faulkner saw them, belonged to the then new world of machines and speed, which was totally different from anything man had previously known But when he tries to explain them, to show how and why they are a different breed of human beings, his imprination fails him.

There are no interior monologues, and one never learns what goes on in Roger Shumann's head as he races a plane, finally crashing to his death, or what Jack or Laverne feled using a Ince or when jumping Nor h there any attempt to explain their learnes exaulity in relation to speed and to jumping, although tuch a relationship is clearly implied. The explanations for the conduct of Laverne, Roger, Jack, and the methanic Jiggs are so-ciological, accounts of their childhoods. None of this illuminates their being a different breed.

In Faultaner at Nagaro, Faulkner 221, "My characters, luckily for me, name themselves. I never have to hunt for their names. Suddenly they tell me who they are. In the conception, quite often, but never very long after I have conneived that character, does he name himself. When he doesn't name himself, I never do I have written about characters whose names I never did to I have written about characters whose names I never did

know. Because they didn't sell me. There was one in Pylon, for instance, he was the central character in the book, he never did tell me who be was." This is a very revealing comment—and if one considers the names of characters in Light in August, for example, one realizes that a character's name in Faulkner's fiction is usually an important part of the characterization itself. The reporter in Pylon did not reveal his name because he does not wholly exist. He is borrowed from the dramatis personae of T. S. Eliot. In one chapter his lament is called "Lovesong of J. Alfred Pruforck." Hagood, his editor, is borrowed from Hollywood's conception of newspaper editors, loud, tough, but with hearts of gold.

The whole background of the book, New Orleans, the population, the newspaper office, and the airport, is described as a wasteland. This is a not untypical passage:

She looked at him now: the pale stare without curiority, perfectly grave, perfectly blank, as he rose, moved, dry loose weightless and sudden and longer than a lath, the disreputable suit ballooning even in this windless conditioned air as he went toward the candy counter. Above the shuffle and murmur of feet in the lobby and above the clash and clatter of crockery in the retaurant the amplified voice still spoke, profound and effortless, as though it were the voice of the steel-and-chromlum mausoleum itself, talking of creatures imbuted with motion though not with life.

It is a though Faulkner had borrowed, from Eliot, the background is painted skillfully enough, but it does not really help to explain the fliers. As always in Faulkner's fiction, there are excellent scenes and striking characters (liggs; is one ample), but the failure of Pylon is a failure of its inner lafe. Faulkner had the idee, or germ, for a novel, but it did not develop or mature. The characterization of the reporter wavers because Faulkner does not understand him, the flient are seen only from the conviction that the idee for Pylon was not a good one, or if it was that Faulkner did not know how to make it expand and reveal titelf. Interesting too is the fact that Pylon, almost alone among

WILLIAM VAN O'CONNOR

Faulkner's novels, shows no advance in or interest in developing the techniques of fiction.

Absalom, Absalom* (1936) is a preotal story in Yoknapatawpha stories, and for it Faulkner drew his now famous map with this legend "Jefferson Co, Mississippi, Area, 2400 Square Miles -Population, Whites, 6298; Negroes, 9515, William Faulkner, Sole Owner and Proprietor" Quentin Compson, soon to go to Harvard, is asked by Miss Rosa Coldfield to sell the story of Thomas Suspen, her brother in law, whom she sees as a "demon," a man so possessed by an ambition to build an impressive plantation and to found a line that he destroys everyone close to him. At Har vard, Quentin is asked by Shreve McCannon to tell him about the South "Tell me about the South What's it like there. What do they do there Why do they live there . . ." In response Quentin tells the story of Thomas Sutpen and Sutpen's family, sided by letters from his father, and with Shreve's shrewd guesses and inferences thrown in And at the end, Shreve says, "'Now I want you to tell me just one thing more Why do you hate the South?" I dont hate it, Quentin said, quickly, at once, immediately, 'I dont hate it, he said I don't hate it he thought, panting in the cold air, the iron New England dark, I dont! I dont! I dont hate il! I dont hate it." Sutpen's story, sold in a series of anecdotes, guesses, and inferences, represents the South to Quentin. His investigation of Sutpen's rise and fall and the family's subsequent destruction is also an investigation of his own heritage.

Thomas Surpen's ambution had first been kindled when as a third of a very poor family he had been turned away from the front door of a plantation house, turned away by a liveried Negro. In his early teens he had run away to the West Indies, where he later married Eulaha Bon, and fathered a child, Charlet Learning his wife had a small amount of Negro blood, he had left her and the child, In Missisupp, he bought land from the Indians built a plantation house, Sutpen's Hundred, married Ellen Colifield, the daughter of a poor but highly respectable shopkeeper, and fathered two children, Henry and Judith. At the university, Henry met Charles Bon, who was there at his mother's instigntion. Thomas Sutpen soon learned the identity of Charles, Sutpen's wife, Ellen, not knowing who Charles was, wanted to see Judith marry him Thomas Sutpen refused his permission, and Henry quarreled with his father and went off to New Orleans with Charles. Soon they were all caught up in the Civil War, but Thomas Sutpen continued to refuse any sign of recognition or affection toward Charles Henry learned that Charles was his brother, but, despite this, was willing to condone Charles' marriage with Judith, believing that this perverse relationship would be an appropriate badge of the family's and the South's deteat. It was only when he learned that Charles had Negro blood that he refused to allow it. Charles persisted and Henry killed him. Sutpen himself was finally killed by Wash Jones, the father of Milly Jones, upon whom Sutpen had begot a child. Sutpen had repudiated her because the child was a girl. Sutpen's flaw - he is forever asking what went wrong in his "design" - was not merely his flaw, it was Henry's flaw, and the South's flaw: the inability to accept the Negro as human equal. It was over this that the war was fought and because of this that the Suspen family was ruined For example, Charles Etienne St. Valery Bon, the son of Charles Bon, flailed out at the white world much in the way Joe Christmas did. In Thomas Sutpen's case there is a terrifying innocence or literalness in his pursuit of his ambition to found a family. His adherence to his region's attitude toward the Negro is a part of this innocence.

The above is a sketchy account of a story that is heavy with mythic overtones and told in a baroque and frequently tortured protes. Occasionally a character speaks in his or her own voice, but usually the narration is in Faulkner's rhetorical "voice." This passage is Quentin's account of Henry and Charles riding up to the old house:

(It seemed to Quentin that he could actually see them, facing one another at the gate. Inside the gate what was once a park now spread, unkempt, in shaggy desolation, with an air dreamy, remote and aghast like the unshaven face of a man just waking from ether, up to a huge house where a young gril waited in a wedding dress made from stolen straps, the house partaking too

of that air of scaling devolution, not having suffered from invasion but a shell manooned and foopotten in a backwarer of carrieproble—a selection griffer, the list in our driblets of furniture
replied to the state of the state of the state of the state
manooned to the state of the state of the state of the state
manooned the state of the state of the state of the state
two gaunt harses, two men, young, not yet in the wold, not yet
breathed over long enough, to be old but with old eyes, with the
kempt hair and faces gaunt and weathered as if cast by some
gartain and even niggard hand from brouse, in worm and patien
gray weathered now to the color of dead leaves, the one with the
translited braid of an officer, the other plain of cut, the pistel
lying yet across the saddle bow unasmed, the two faces caim, the
voices not even raised: Done you peas the handous of thu poin
that branch, Charles, and I am going to pats it Henry)—and
then Wah Jones stiting that saddletes must before Miss of
gate, shouling her mane into the sunny and peaceful quies of the
careet, asying Air you Rone Coldfield? Then you bestire more on
out you. Henry hat done shot that dum French teller, Kilt bin
drad as beet?

Frequently the tensences, sometimes a page long, are impressions, teemingly collected piecenetal—inside parenthers or dashes, or in series of phrases and clauses—until a whole scene is dramatically rendered. The elements described within the sentence scutt as it is a continuous, in living relationships. The total action of the novel also has that quality of seeming to be always in motion, moving forward and bockward in time, and constantly adding meanings. Something said in the first chapter is more lully understood staff even a steedy-and edital is added, but it not wholly understood until even a later chapter. Absolum, Absolum it is a kind of vortex, with characters and events ever in motion, but finally the reader is able to see that there is a still point at the bottom of the cone, the point in relation to which the characters and events event have meaning

The Ununquished (1958) is composed of five fairly long stotes, each involving Bayard Sattoris' experiences of the Cull War He and Ringo, his Negro companion, have a number of Tom Sawyerish adventures In the earlier stories they are boys, in the final story Bayard is a law student at the walversity, and the war is over. Some of the critics, such as George Marion O'Donnell, who see Faulkner as an apologist for the "aristocrat" of the Old South say this is a novel about the conflict between the Sartorises. who act "traditionally," and the Snopeses, who have no ethical code and employ low cunning This interpretation of The Unvanquished is surely wrong Some of the stories were published in "slick" magazines and have a minimum of inner life. One sees the boys firing on a troop of Yankees, then scooting for the house and being hid under the wide skirts of Bayard's grandmother, Rosa Millard, while the Yankees search for them, or sees John Sartoris outwitting a Yankee patrol, In one of the stories, "Skirmish at Sartoris," Aunt Louisa insists that Drusilla marry John Sartoris because Drusslla, dressed as a man, has ridden with his raiders. The marriage ceremony is interrupted long enough for John Sartoris to ride to town and shoot two men, thus disenfranchising the Negroes. Then the ceremony is performed There is almost no attempt to explore the meaning of John Sartoris' action. The only story with thematic force is "Odor of Verbena," in which Bayard, now grown, refuses to engage in a duel with Redlaw, who has shot John Sastoris. Bayard has come to see that John Sartoris' loyalty to a former way of life invited not merely heroics but wanton killing. He sees Drusilla as "voracious," wholly indifferent to killing if done in the name of "honor." And he sees that George Wyatt and other gentlemen who want Redlaw killed are playing parts in a theatrical game

Insofar as The Unvanguished is about the "southern code" it is a criticism of that code But for the most part, the actions in The Unvanguished are romantic episodes, the adventures of the two boys and the dashing exploits of John Sartoris. There are many Yoknapatawpha characters brought into the stories, but none of them lives intensely or very meaningfully.

During his stay in New Orleans, Faulkner undoubtedly heard

During his stay in New Orleans, Faulkner undoubtedly heard Sherwood Anderson talk about Hemingway. Anderson and Hemingway had known each other since the winter of 1910-21. In 1923 the Little Review carried several of Hemingway's stories. That same wear the Contact Publishing Company in Dion pubinhed but Three Storms and Ten Poems Other stories appeared in the Transitionie. In Our Time appeared in 1015, One may simum that Fauldiner knew the Hemingway stance and the Hemingway dramain personae Soldiers Tey, in large part, reads hike a patistic of The Sun Albo Rine; Joe Gulligan and Margaret Powers are ineffective satistions on Jake Barnes and Lady Brett Bayard Satront in a kind of Hemingway. Timutar," except that he does not really understand the Hemingway code; he feels empty, bleak, howeless—and socks his sown death.

When he came to write The IPIdI Falms (1939), Faulknet was fully aware of the difference between his susin of the world and Hemingway's vision. Yet there are many parallels with Hemingway's A Farewell to Ams in the part of Faulkner's book called "The Widt Palms," which a a lose story, "Old Man," the second story in the book, is about a convect and hit experiences during a gress Missiston flood.

In "The Wild Palms" Henry Wilbourne, a young intern, falls in lose with Charlotte, married and the mother of two children Charlotte, the more deducated of the two, urges their absolute commitment to lose She believes that society destroys lose. They live in Chitago, on a lake an northern Wisconsin, at a mine in Uish. They know cold and poverty, but nothing it allowed to interfere with their lose. Charlotte becomes pregnant and urges Wilbourne to perform an aboutton For a time he refuses but their deer as the saks. They return to the Guil Coast. Charlotte hemorrhages and dies Wildburne is a arceited, treed, and ente to prison.

and dier Wildoume is arceived, træed, and eint to prison.

In A Farewell to drant Li Fredere, Henry and Catherine Batkley also resign from society. Like Faulkner's couple, Henry and
Catherine feel the world is blind to the needs of lovert. The diyll
enjoyed by Hemmgway's characters is more peaceful than the
right!" of Faulkner's loverts, but both women die, one from abortion, the other after childbirth In both stories the men say that if
society catches you "but off step nince" (Wilhoume) or "off
base" (Henry) it destroys you. When near death both women are
on fine from pain, and say "Don't stouch me!" In both stories the
men are reluctuatily allowed to see the cotypos of their lovert. In

"The Wild Palms" defeat is symbolized by palms jeering and risible in the wind. In A Farewell to Arms it is the rain.

During the Chicago interlude Faulkner's lovers meet a character named McCord, who says, "Yah Set, ye armourous sons, in a sea of hemingwases," McCord is a bluff newspaperman, and sounds like a Hemingway character or like Hemingway himself. Outdoorsy, he belongs to the country associated with Nick Adams' fishing and hunting and adventures in Michigan At one point Wilbourne says be has learned something about love from McCord and asks his bessins "Take my curse," McCord said "

Most commentaries on The Wild Pains and A Farewell to Arms say that Hemingway's love story is more polgrant and touching than Faulkner's and in it is But Faulkner's all-foldove is not "loaded" to the extent Hemingway's is Charlotte's love is at the expense of her husband, her children, her own life, and Wilbourne's acreer and peace of mind. She is not in love with Wilbourne, she is in love with love. Like Hemingway initiates he finds the meaning in sex and love. In a sense, Wilbourne is her vietim. Faulkner is not isning he accepts the doctrine that society destroys love. On the contrary, he is saying that an excessive commitment to love is itself destructive.

In commenting about the two story lines in The Wild Palms Faulkner said that when he finished the first chapter of the love story he felt something was missing. "So I wrote on the Old Man story until The Wild Palms soes back to pitch." On yet another occasion, he said he put the two stories together because neither story alone was long enough for book publication. The former explanation makes better sense.

"Old Man" is a criticism of the love story. The Tall Convict, the principal character, accepts his obligations, and goes to almost ridiculous lengths to satisfy his sense of duty. He fights the fiver in flood, subdues snakes and alligators, avoids bullets intended for him, and voluntarily returns to prison after anguishing adventures. In his bunk, he enjoys watching the smoke from his cigar curl upward in the twillight. He asks only "premission to endure to buy air, to feel sun," and to feel the earth under his

feet. Like Dilsey and Byron Burich, the Tall Convict is one of Faulkner's accepters Like the character in As I Lay Dying, he does not believe "life is supposed to be easy on folks " He knows, al though he would not know how to say it, "that love no more exists just at one spot and in one moment and in one body out of all the earth and all sime than sunlight does."

The convict does little or no theorizing about his lot. He is courageous and dedicated because he feels compelled to be. He accepts the lot fate has cast him for, and he is happy in it. He is truly free. The lovers refuse to let their love confront limitations or restraints - and in the struggle they are completely, or almost completely, destroyed.

The dramatis personae of The Hamlet (1910) are "rednecks," poor farmers. Faulkner describes them as being descendants of nonslaveholders They have Welsh, Scotch, and English names "They supported their own churches and schools, they married and committed infrequent adulteries and more frequent homicides among themselves . . . They were Protestants and Demotrats and prolific." Faulkner treats most of them with respect, and there is no indication that he is contemptuous or entertains feelings of superiority about them because of their nonaristocratic heritage

Essentially The Hamlet is the story of the Snopes family, especially Flem, moving into Frenchman's Bend, twenty miles from Jefferson, and systematically defrauding the community. Neither Flem's face nor voice ever indicates emotion and he doesn't even entertain the possibility of acting decently or respecting the rules of fair play. He takes advantage of every gesture of good will made toward him This is a description of an early encounter between him and Jody Varner, who has beard that Ab Snopes, Flem's father, is a barn burner, and is rightfully fearful:

"Howdy," he said "You're Hem, aint you'l I'm Varner."
"That so?" The other said. He spat. He had a broad flat face
His cyts were the color of stagonant water. He was soft in appear
ance like Varner himself, though a head shorter, in a soiled white
shirt and cheap gray trousser.

"I was hoping to see you," Varner said. "I hear your father has had a little trouble once or twice with landlords. Trouble that might have been serious." The other chewed, "Maybe they never ingitt intwo tests seenous. In contract enewers, heapton may meet retreated him right; I dont know about that and I dont care. What I'm talking about is a mistake, any mistake can be straightened out so that a man can still stay friends with the fellow he aint satisfied with. Dont you agree to that? The other clewed steadily His face was as blank as a pan of uncooked dough. 'So he wont rits tace was as blank as a pan of uncooked dough. "So he wont have to feel that the only thing that can prove his rights is something that will make him have to pick up and leave the country the next day." Varner said. "So there wont come a time some day when he will look around and find out he has run out of new country to move to." Varner ceased He waited so long this time that the other finally spoke, though Varner was never certain whether this was the reason or not

"There's a right smart of country."

Flem victimizes the Varners, who are the largest landowners in Frenchman's Bend, marries Eula Varner, a symbol of fertility, of the pagen ripening of spring and summer, dupes most of the townspeople, outwitting even the wily Ratliff, and at the book's end is headed for Jefferson.

The Hamlet is episodic, part of it incorporating earlier shore stories. And although the parts dealing with Flem are told mostly in a folk idiom, there are many highly rhetorical and lyrical passages, some of them running for many pages. These passages are mostly devoted to descriptions of Eula and to the idiot lke Snopes's grotesque love for a cow. There are four story strands dealing with love - there is the marriage of Houston, a farmer, Mink Snopes's marriage, the amours of Eula and her loveless marriage to Flem, and Ike's love for the cow. Ironically Ike's love is a purer form of affirmation and of respect than any of the seemingly "normal" loves. Whether or not the coursly and romantic language in which it is described is an effective device is another question. The writing itself is both dazzling and beautiful. It contrasts sharply with the lolk language of the other sections.

Discussions of "native American prose" are usually related to the "tall tale" tradition of the frontier, especially the Southwest. Among the best known of the tall tales are A. B. Lonestreet's Georgia Seneti (1853) and George W. Harris' Sut Lovingood's Yarus (1867). It was Mark Twam who first elevated or transformed this ort of humor into literature. In sidiom the tall tale is invariably folksy and ungrammatical, and the manner of narration includes both understatement and wild exaggeration. With The Hamlet, Faulkner made a major contribution to this 'native train in American writing (Rathiff, the sewing machine agent, who is both a participant in and an interpreter of much of the action, belongs to a similar tradition, the Yankee peddler of nine-tenth-century literature. Like Rathiff, the peddler was practical, thread, witty, and sometimes caustin.) At least three major scene in The Hamlet—the story of horse swapping. Flem Snoper's outwitting the devil, and the wild charging of a horse through a bouse—are betrowed from the tall-sele tradition.

The Hamlet is a comic novel. It participates in the ancient tradition of man satisfing his own weaknesses. Flem is personal aggrandizement uncarnate, and Rathiff is his thread, witty, but fallible opponent. The humor of The Hamlet is grim, but even to it is humor of a more solating sort than is to be found in any of the eatility books.

Go Down, Mozer (1942) resembles The Unvonquarked to the extent that both books are composed of interrelated stories. The comparison ends there, however, because Go Down, Mozer is a serious and moving examination of the shame and pathes of white and black relationships. Undoubtedly the best of the seven stories is the frequently anthologized "The Bear." Property enough, it is to "The Bear" that many critics uraw when trying to explain Faulkner's social and moral doctrines—for in it Faulkner say that a right attitude toward nature should lead one to the right attitude toward human beings, white and black.

Old Ben, the bear, is more than a bear to be hunted—it is a symbol of the wilderness, of freedom, courage, and of the fruitful earth. Sam Fathers, soo of a Chickasaw chief and a Negro law, understands the wilderness and teaches its lessons to Isaac (Ike) McCaslin From Sam Fathers He learns endurance, humility, and courage. No one owns or should own pature—and no one should

exploit it. In the first version, published as a short story, Faulkner presents a sacramental view of the world, not unlike that of Coleridge's Ancient Marmer. In the second, revised, version which appears in Go Down, Moses, other elements are introduced: the exploitations of civilization and the culs of sharery

There are two story strands in Go Down, Moses, the history of like and the history of mulatto "heirs" of old Carothers Mcaslin, like's grandfather. Ike learns that these heirs usually suffered greatly, mostly from the humiliation of being treated as chaitel, as objects, rather than as persons. A partial exception to this is Lucas Beauchamp, who was to become a central figure in Intruder in the Dust and who refused to accept the role of inferior being. The antecedents to Intruder in the Dust are in "The Fire and the Hearth," the second section of Go Down, Moses Roughly half of the stories in Go Down, Moses are about like and the wilderness and half are about the Necroes

The two story lines meet in the revised "The Bear" Old Ben turns on those who exploit the wildernes, and he is destroyed, And in the long fourth section, the and his cousin McCaslin Ed monds discuss the heritage of Carothers McCaslin's Negro liers. Faulkner's point is that a proper attitude toward the wilderness would, or should, lead to a proper attitude toward the Negro The point is repeated in "Delta Autumn," in which like is an old man of seventy.

Much of the writing in Go Down, Moses, especially in "The Beart," has an hallucinatory beauty, especially how screen describing Old Ben and the virgin fields and forests. Possibly the second best story is "Fantaloon in Black," a marvelous rendering of the actions of a young grief-trazed Negro. However, not all the stories are so successful, nor do all of them fall easily into place in the intended overall pattern "Wan" is a humorous account of Uncle Bust and Uncle Bust, and of the latter's being trapped into a marriage he was far from desiring. The three chapters entitled "The Fitz and the Hearth" have the appearance of incidents that Faulkner intended to work up into a mosel. "The Old People" seems largely a preparation for "The Bear," and "Go Down,

WILLIAM VAN O'CONNOR

Mose," interesting in some of its characterizations, a tacked on story that adds little or nothing to the themes developed in "The Beat." At its best, however, Go Dours, Moses provides images of piety, justice, and decency more moving than any similar passages in American Interature.

All the novels published after Go Down, Moses exhibit Faulbner's characteristic virtues, especially his willingness to try new forms, and his wit, but they also suggest a weakening of his powers. Much of the former hypnotic quality in the rhetoric is dimnished, and Faulkner seems less concerned to dramatish is stories. Also he became self-consciously didactic. Social problems invite solutions, and as an emment writer, and a Nobel Prier winner, he was expected to provide them. Whether he assumed this new role willingly, or out of a sense of duty, does not matter. It was not a role suited to his peculiar genits.

Intruder in the Dust (1948), the first of the late novels, it as moving account of the relationships between young Charlet Mallions and Luca Beauchamp—the allow process of the boy's learning to accept the old Negro as human equal. It is reministent of Huck Finn and Nigger Jian And the tather bizare inddensible by and an elderly lady diging up a corpse, one body being substituted for another, a burst in quicksand, and the actions of the tough Cowrise family from Beat Four—are also reministent of the melodrama of Huckberry Finn. On this level Intruder in the Dust is a fine story, but Faulkare was not satisfied to let well enough alone. He introduced Gavin Steven, Charlet's uncle, and Luca' lavyer, and put Into Stevens' mouth garrulous and often extraneous speeches about the South versus the North, and enthods that should be followed to bring about better race relations. Unfortunately Stevens' theories are not always convicient and they seriously interfere with the pace of what would otherwise

be a simple and possibly a graceful isory.

Knight's Gombit (1939) its series of detective stories, but Faultner was unwilling to stay within the conventions of that generHe employs the usual detective story gimmicks, but adds to therithe sort of psychological problem and characterizations that are

peculiar to the short story or the novel. The contrasting conventions almost cancel each other out.

In 1950 Faulkner published Collected Stories, a drawing to gether of These Thirteen (1931) and Dr. Martino (1934), plius additional stories. There are a few rather runof-the-mill stories as there would be in any such collection, but there are enough good ones to make it clear that Faulkner is among the masters, how of his contemporanes who are acclaimed as short-story writers has either his intensity or range. Possibly the best of the stories are "Red Leaves," about the death of the old Indian chief, Insettible-ha, "Wash," the basis for Absalom, Absalom", "That Evening Sun," "Dry September," "A Rose for Rauly," and "Barm Burning". The world of Faulkner's short fiction is Shakespearean in is multiplicity of characters and its variety of nuance, gesture, time, and place.

Requirm for a Nun (1931), a sequel to Sonctuory, is a strange morality play involving Temple Drake and Gowan Stevens, as well as Nancy Manigoe and Gavin Stevens. The acts of the play, reminiscent of Jacobean drama, are interlarded with long history and control of the play, reminiscent of Jacobean drama, are interlarded with long history and chapters on Jeffernon and the state of Mississippi. Temple and Gowan, young students in Sanctuary, are here a good deal older, and the parents of a young child Both of them are restless and unhappy. The action, which includes the murder of the child by Nancy Manigoe, carries them to a point where they believe in purification by suffering and are ready to accept their burdens. The chapters, involving Temple and Gowan in history, are more convincingly done, but they do not keep Requiren for a Nun from being a poor performance for a writer of William Faulkner's

A Fable (1954), set in France, is also a strange book, not so much a novel as an allegory about man's search for peare Unfortunately the message or doctrine Faulkner put into it is either confused and hadly worked out or is expressed in such a vague manner that it is extremely difficult to comprehend. There are occasional descriptive passages of great brilliance, but few if any entire scene are so rendered that they come alive in the reader's imagination A Fable seems to have been conceived as a speech, or an extended piece of thetoric, rather than as a novel

The Town (1957), the second of a promised series on the Snoper clan, is an improvement on A Fable but a lesser work than The Hanlet. Many of the old characters are in it, but Faulkner, having telescoped time, has also included Charles Mallison and Gavin Stevens. Eula and Flem are not as vividly realized as they are in The Hamlet, and the action as a whole is less sharply reduced. But with The Adamson (1959), a novel devoted shouly to Mink Snopex, Faulkner shows much of his former power.

The Revery (1962), published shorely before his death, is Faults ner's most autobiographical novel, a nostalgit reliving of his boyhood in Oxford, when the automobile was new, and wet road could be all but impassible quasimier Characters include Boon (Bogganbeck, from "The Bear," and Miss Reab, her hutband, and the Memphis cathouse, earlier described in Sanetuary. The humon has little of the grames of Faulther's earlier comedy, but many of the episodes are amusing, and the world of his own childhood is skillfully vooked

The themes in Faultner's novels and short stories have to do with the elementary Christian virtues of self-respect and mutual respect, forgiveness of others as well as oneself, fortifuele, a proper balance between humility and prade, and charity, Although he disavous any particular orthodoxy, Faulkner obviously accepts the Christian moral code. He is not, however, wholly admiring of practingo Christians, Soone of his butterest stairs is at the expense of self assured pionsness. He despises stiff necked and literal minded righteourness, whether it is in the service of the southern mores or of Christian doctrines, Since so many of his stories have southern settings, these virtues and vices are frequently presented in a context of white and black relationships. And sometimes his concern with them leads him to study the southern heritage and the "muthern code."

Faulkner is a great writer, possibly the finest American novelist, but an essential simplacity of mind is a part of his genius. He is not a sophisticated writer in the sense that Henry James or Joseph Conrad or James Joyce is sophisticated. When he undertakes subjects of a certain magnitude and order, as he did with Pylon and A Fable, he flounders badly. But when he is treating subjects and themes that he feels in his bones—the frustration of the Negro in "Dry September," the decency of Dilsey in The Sound and the Fury, the self-preoccupation of Anna Bundrein in A1 Lay Djunder or the angust of young Sarty Snopes in "Barn Burning"—Faulkiner is magnificent, Faulkiner's themes are as simple and as complicated, and persistent, as those in the Bullet.

Fortunately, his powers of inventueness were very great, and he contributed to the theory of the novel as an art form. No other American novelist has created so many memorable characters, and possibly none of them has been his equal as a creator of multiple and varied sorts and levels of life within a novel, as in, say, Light in August or The Hamlet Faulkner did not suffer from a lark of linastingtion.

He was also a master of style, of a "high rhetoric" and of a "falk hetoric". One of his cities has said, "Faulkner's prose has an archaic sound, like a lumier's horn." This is a good cliaracteriza tion. Faulkner's language and his fictional world evoke the past, or, better, relate the past to the present Reading Faulkner one feels involved in a long history, of torment, suffering, and anguish but also of endurance, dedication, and love.

When Faulkner was writing and publishing the works of his middle and greatest period, roos of his contemporaries, for example Theodore Dreuer, Sinclair Lewis, and John Dos Passos, were writing a more "realistic" fiction. It was more realistic in the sense that they were less lakely to create allegorical characters, to invent highly symbolic actions, or to write a poetic or richly the orical prote. Their kind of realism was an effort to reflect every-day experience or "ordinary reality." It was a period when many Americans were suspicious of rhetoric elegance, style, even literary conventions. They would have denied that the "realism" of Dreiter or Lewis or Dos Passos was fittelf a literary convention. Fiction was held to have documentary value in the sense that

WILLIAM VAN O'CONNOR

Lewis' Main Street was precisely Main Street, Sauk Centre, Minnesota, where the author had grown up. There was some bewilder ment therefore when readers confronted Sanctuary or At I Lay Dying or The Homlet. Either Faulkner was showing Ministigal as it actually was, or he was exagerating, and in the latter case he was not telling the truth More recent criticism has helped to clarify the fact that the literary conventions employed by Faulk ner were not those, at least not exclusively those, of the "new realism."

In retrospect we can see that Faulkner's fiction in some ways it closet to earlier literary consentions than it is to the "new real-inm." The sensational and ere in ungaining of Charles Brookden Brown, Edgar Allan Poe, and even Ambrose Bieze, those specials in the fruson, are clearly a part of Faulkner's heritage. Present too a'te Hawthorne's allegory and Gothic romance, both employed in a detached explication of a people of grim righteous-inex. Cooper's protegonists of innocence are there, and so too is the till tale. And in at least one respect, Faulkner is reminisent of Melville. both writers, out of an inherited tradition of hope and expectation, can create a vision of pure Innocence, and they a vision of nightmarnh horror. Faulkner was also aware of the Elizabethan and Jacobsan drams, the Russian novel, and the "modern" novel as it was created by James, Conrad, and Jayce. Faulkner's dual heritage, American and European, is not noon-pilicated—and he was conscious of its varieties of its was created by parts.

Ernest Hemingway

Duranch his lifetime Emest Hemingway was very probably America's most famous writer. His style, his "hero" (that is to say the protagonitis of many of his works, who so resemble each other that we have come to speak of them in the singular), his manner and attitudes have been very widely recognized—not just in the English-speaking world but wherever books are widely read. It may be that no other novelists has had an equivalent influence on the proce of modern fiction, for where his work is known it has been used: Imitated, reworked, or assimilated. In addition he had an extraordinary reputation as a colorful human being, and for over thirty years his every escapade was duly reported in the press. But for a long time neither he nor his work was well understood, and despite a considerable growth in understanding during the last decade, neither is yet understood as well as it might be

There is never a simple key to any writer worth much attention, but in the case of Hemingway there is something that looks so like a key – even conceivably a master key — that it cannot escape any informed and thoughtful reader's notice. It lies waiting, conously (a few might asy fatefully), in the very first story in his first book of short stories, which was his first significant book of any kind.

The book appeared in 1935, and is called In Our Time. Very probably the author intended his title as a sardonic allusion to a well-known phrase from the Church of England's Book of Common Prayer: "Give peace in our time, O Lord." At any rate the most striking bing about the volume is that there is no peace as

PHILIP YOUNG

all in the stories. The next most striking thing about them (long unremarked, since it was not clear to readers that he was the cerntal figure in the stories in which he appears) is that half of the stories are devoted to the spotty but careful development of a crucial but long ignored character—a boy, then a young man—named Nick Adams. These stories are arranged in the chronological order of Nick's boyhood and early manhood, and are intimately related, one to another Indeed in this supect the book is almost a "movel," for some of the stories are incomprehensible if one does not see the point, and it is often subde, of some earlier pieces not see the point, and it is often subde, of some earlier piece.

The most significant and interesting of these stories, however, is that first one. It is called "Indian Camp," and it reveals a great deal about what its author was up to for some thirty-five years of his writing career. It tells about a doctor, Nick's father, who de livers an Indian woman of a baby by Caesarean section, with a jackknife and without anethesia. The woman's invalid husband lies in a bunk above his screaming wife; Nick, a young boy, holds a basin for his father; four men hold the mother down until the child is born. When it is over the doctor looks in the bunk above and discovers that the husband, who has listened to the screaming for two days, has cut his bread reastly off with a racor.

A careful reading of this story will show that Hemingway is not primarily interested, here, in those shocking events he as Interseted in their effect on the lattle boy who watnessed them. For the moment the events do not seem to have any great effect on the boy, But it is very important that he is later on a badly starred and nervous young man, and here Hemingway is relating to us the first reason he gives why that is so.

The story has already provided, then, a striking insight into the nature of his work. But at has, in addition, a notable conclusion, as Nick and his father discuss death—and death specifically by one's own hand:

"Why did he kill himself, Daddy?"

"Not very many, Nick." . . .

[&]quot;I don't know, Nick. He couldn't stand things, I guess."
"Do many men kill themselves, Daddy?"

They were scated in the boat, Nick in the stern, his father rowing. . . . In the early morning on the lake sitting in the stern of the boat with his father rowing, he felt quite sure that he would never die.

Now from a purely aesthetic point of view it is perfectly irrelvant, but from a human and biographical point of view perfectly unavoidable, to remark the uncanny fact that the originals of both these characters, making their first appearances here as doctor and son, were destined to destroy themselves. Clarence Edmonds Hemingway, M.D., the prototype for Dr. Adams, while in ill-health committed suicide with a pistol (a relie of the Civil War which the writer's mother later sent him) in 1918; the son, the prototype for Nick Adams, Ernest (Miller) Hemingway, blew most of his head off, with a favorite shotgun, in 1961. "He couldn't stand things, I guess."

As closely as this are many of the key events in the life of the hero tied to the life of the writer. Nearly as simple as this weals his prococupation with violence, and above all the fact of violent death. And seldom in the whole history of literature can there have been a more unlikely focusing on things to-come as in this first little story.

The six following stories from In Our Time concerning Nick Adams are not so violent as "Indian Camp," but each of them is unpleasant or upsetting in some way or other. In one, "The Doctor and the Doctor's Wife," Nick discovers that he is unsure about his father's courage and is completely durastisfied with his mother's way of looking at things. Two others, "The End of Something" and "The Three-Day Blow," detail among other matters the disturbing end of an adolescent lose Baliz. In "The Balter" Nick is knocked off a moving freight train by a brakeman, and encounters a crary exprisefighter who nearly beats him up, along with an extremely polite Negro hobo who in his own way is even more sinister. One should suspect that Nick is being exposed to more than may be entirely good for him.

Immediately following "The Battler" comes a little sketch, less than a page long, which serves to confirm this suspicion. It tells is that Nick is in World War I, that he has been wounded, and that he has made a "separate peace" with the enemy—is not fight ing lor his country, or any other, any more It would be quite impossible to exagerate the importance of this short scene in any understanding of Hemingway and his work. It will be duplicated at more length by another protagonist, named Frederic Henry, in A Forewell to Arms, and it will serve as a climax in the lives of all of Hemingway's heroes, its one way or another, for at least the next quarter-century.

The fact that Nick is sterously injured is significant in two important ways First, the wound intensifies and epitomires the wounds he has been getting as a boy growing up in the American Middle West From here on the Hemingway hero will appear to us at a wounded man — wounded not only physically but, as most becomes clear, psychologically as well Second, the fact that Nick and his friend, also wounded, have made a "separate peace," are "Not partiols," marks the beginning of the long break with organized society as a whole that stays with Hemingway and his here through several books to come, and into the late 1950's. Indeed the last story in this first volume, called "Dig Two-Hearted River," is a kind of forceast of three things, it is obscure until on even the point, and almost completely so; its author complained in 1950 that the tale was twenty-five pean old and still had not been understood by ampone. But it is really a very simple "torry," it is a study of a young man who has been hurt in the war, who is all by himself on a failing trip, escaping everyone. He is suffering from what used to be called "shell shock"; he is trying desperately to keep low notine out of the mind.

In his next two collections of short scorice, Men without Women (1977) and Winner Take Nothing (1933). Hemingway included several more stories about Nick Adams. They do not change any thing, but they fill in some of the gaps in his sketchy carer. In one, an certally reprinted tale called "The Killers," he is exposed to a sickening situation in which a man refuses to run any more irom some ganguters who are clearly going to murder him. In another, "The Light of the World," he is somewhat

prematurely introduced into the seamy realms of protitution and homosexuality. In a third, "Fathers and Sons," he is deeply isoubled by thoughts of his father's death. (At the time we can not know exactly why, and do not know until many years later when the here, now under the name of Robert Jordan, in For Whom the Bell Tolls, returns to this situation and explains; his father committed suicide) And in a fourth, "A Way You'll Never Be," Nick meets the fate he was trying despertately to avoid in "Big Two-Hearted Ricet" and, as a direct result of his war experience, goes enturely out of his mind.

Further gaps in the picture we should have of Nick are filled by several stories Hemingway wrote in the first person. It is about dantly clear that the narrator of them is Nick, and in one of the tales, a war story called "Now I Lay Me," he is called by that name. This one is a story about insomnia, which Nick suffered for a long time following his wounding; he cannot sleen "for thinking," and several things that occupy his mind while he lies awake relate closely to scenes and events in stories already mentioned. "In Another Country" extends the range of Hemingway's essential interest from Nick to another individual casualty of the war, and thus points toward The Sun Also Rises, where a whole "lost generation" has been damaged in the same disaster. A further development occurs in "An Alpine Idyll," which returns us to a postwar skiing trip Nick took in a tale called "Cross Country Snow"; here the interest focuses on the responses of Nick and others to a particularly shocking situation, as it did in the more famous "Killers." But whereas in the earlier story Nick was so unset by the thought of the man who was passively waiting to be murdered that he wanted to get clean out of the town where the violence impended, healthy tissue is now growing over his wounds, and the point of the story lies in the development of his defenses.

By now it is perfectly clear what kind of boy, then man, this Adams is. He is certainly not the simple primitive he is often mistaken for. He is honest, virile, but—clearest of all—very sensitive. He is an outdoor male, and he has a lot of nerve, but he is also very nervous It is important to understand this Nick, for soon, under other names in other books, he is going to be known half whe world over as the "Hemingway hero"; every single one of these men has had, or has had the exact equivalent of, Nicks childhood, adolescence, and young manhood. This man will die a thousand times before his death, and although he would bran how to live with some of his troubles, and how to overcome others, he would never completely recover from his wounds as long as Illempaysay head and revoked his adventured.

Now it is also clear that something was needed to bind these wounds, and there is in Hemingway a consistent character who performs that function This figure is not Hemingway himself in disguise (which to some hard to-measure extent the Hemingway hero was) Indeed he is to be sharply distinguished from the hero, for he comes to balance the pero's deficiencies, to correct his stance We generally, though unfelicatously, call this man the "code hero" - this because he represents a code according to which the hero, if he could attain st, would be able to live properly in the world of violence, disorder, and misery to which he has been introduced and which he inhabits. The code hero, then, offers up and exemplifies certain principles of honor, courage, and endurance which in a life of tension and pain make a man a man, as we say, and enable him to conduct himself well in the losing battle that is life. He shows, in the author's famous phrase for it, "grace under pressure."

This man also make his first appearance in the short stories. He is Jack, the principliter of "Fifty Grand," who through a superhuman effort manages to lose the fight he has promised to lose. He is Manuel, "The Undefeated" buildighter wine, old and wounded, simply will not give up when he is beaten. He is Wilson, the British hunting guide of "The Short Happy Life of Francis Macomber," who texhes his employer the shooting standards that make him, for a brief period preceding his death, a happy man, And, so dustiquish him most clearly from the Hemingway here, he is Gayetano, the grambler of "The Gambler, the Nun and the Radio," who with two bulless in his tomark will not show a sin-

gle ugn of suffering, while the generic Nick, here called Mr. Fra zer, is shamed to suffer less but visibly. The finest and best known of these code heroes appears, bowever, in Hemingway's most recent novel. He is old Santiago of The Old Man and the Sea. The chief point about him is that he behaves perfectly—honorably will grace tournege and endurante—while longing to the shart being just fish he has caught. This, to epitomize the message the code hero always brings, is life you lose, of course; what counts is how you conduct yourself while you are being destroyed.

The three matters already introduced – the wound, the break from society, the code (and a working adjustment of these things) – are the subjects of all of Hemingway's significant work outside as well as inside the short stories. This work comes to ten booklength pieces: six novels, a burdesque, a book on big game hunting, one on builfighting, and a play. The pattern already set up will, it is hoped, help to place these works and to clarify their meanings.

It will not help much with the first of them, however, for this is an anomaly: the burlesque, a "satirical novel," The Torrents of Spring It appeared in 1926, and is a parody of Sherwood Anderson's novels in general, and of his Dark Laughter (1925) in particular. It is a moderately amusing performance, especially if one will first take the trouble to read or reread the specific object of attack; there were ridiculous elements even in Anderson's "bester" novels, and Hemingway goes unerringly to them But thus book, dashed off in a great hurry, has never had as many readers as Hemingway's other books, and it has no relation to anything else he has written - except that in it he was declaring himself free of certain egregious weaknesses in a man who had at one time influenced him. It is said that he was also breaking his contract with his publishers. Boni and Liveright, who would feel that they must reject this satire on one of their leading writers; thus Hemingway would be free to take his work to Scribner's, whom he much preferred.

It is very doubtful that Hemingway intended his book primarily as a means whereby he might change publishers. But action comes lull circle—imitates, that is, the sun of the title, which also rises, only to hasten to the place where it arose (the title is, of course, a quotation from Ecclesiastes). For the most part the novel is a delightful one. The style is fresh and sparkling, the dialogue is tun to read, and the book is beautifully and meaningfully constructed. But its message is that for these people at least (and one gets the distinct impression that other people do not matter very much), life is fuille.

It happens that this is not precisely the message Hemingway in tended to give. He once said that he regarded the line "you are all a lost generation," which he used as an epigraph, as a piece of "splendid bombast," and that he included the passage Irom Ecclesiates, also quoted as an epigraph, to correct the remark attributed to Miss Stein. As Iar as he was concerned, he wrote his editor Maxwell Perkins, the point of his novel is, as the Biblical lines say in part, that "the earth abldeth forever."

To be sure, some support for these contentions can be found in the novel Itself. Not quite all the characters are "lost"—Romero is not—and the beauty of the eternal earth is now and again richly invoked. But most of the characters do seem fost indeed, a great deal of the time, and few readers have taken the passage from Ecclesiates as Hemingway did. The strongest feeling in it is not that earth abides forever, but that all motion is endless, cirular, and unavailing; and for all who know what the Preacher said, the echo of "Vanity of vanities; all is vanity" is nearly as strong. For once Hemingway's purpose and accomplishment are here two things, but the result is nonetheless impressive, and The Sun Also Riter transins one of the two bets morels he wrote.

The other is his next book, A Farewell to Arms (1929), and one thing it does is to explain how the characters of The Sun Alios Rites, and the hero particularly, got the way they are. In the course of the novel Lt. Frederic Henry is wounded in the war as as Nick Adams (although now the most terious of his injuries is to his knee, which is where Hemingway himself was hardest hit). Henry shows clearly the results of this misfortune; again he cannot sleep at night unless he stops thinking; again, when he does

PHILIP YOUNG

sleep he has nightmares. While recuperating in Milan, he falls in love with an English nurse, but when he is returned to the front he is forced to desert the army in which he has been fighting in order to save his life. He exapes to Switzerland with the nurse, compliant young woman named Catherine Barkley who is now pregnant with his child, and there she dies in childburth. Henry is left, at the end, with northing A man is trapped, Hemingway eems to be saying He is strapped biologically and he is trapped socially; either way it can only end badly, and there are no other ways

Once again this is a beautifully written book. The prose is hard and clean, the people come to life instantly and ring rue. The novel is hult with scrupplous care. A short introductory scene at the very start presents an ominous conjunction of images—of rain, pregnancy, and death—which set the mood for, and prefigure, all that is to follow. Then the action is tied into a perfect and permanent knot by the skill with which the two themes are brought cogether. As the intentionally ambiguous title suggests, the two themes are of course love and war. (They are developments, incontentily, from two early fragments the sketch, "Chapter VI," in which Nick was wounded, and the "love stury," called "A Very Short Story," that immediately followed it in In Our Time.)

Despite the frequency of their appearance in the same books, love and war are — to judge from the frequency with which writers fail to wed them—an unlakely mixture. But in this novel their courses run exactly, though subtly, parallel, so that in the end we feel we have read one story, not two. In his affair with the wir Henry goes through six phases: from desultory participation to estrous action and a wound, and then through his recuperation in Milan to a retreat which leads to his desertion. Carefully interworm with all this is bir relationship with. Catherine, which un dergoes six precisely corresponding stuges, from a truffing sexual fail to a catual love and her conception, and then through her confinement in the Alps to a trip to the hospital which leads to her death. By the time the last farewell is taken, the stories are

as one in the point, lest there be any sentimental doubt about it that life, both personal and social, is a struggle in which the Loser Takes Nothing, either.

But like all of Hemingway's better books this one is bigger than any short account of it can indicate For one thing there is the stature of Frederic Henry, and at is never more clear than here that he is the Hemingway "hero" in more senser than are suggested by the term "protagonativ" Henry stands for many men be stands for the experience of his country. in his evolution from complicity in the war to blitterness to escape, the whole of America could read its recent history in a crucial period, Wilson to Harding. When he expressed his distillusionment with the ideals the war claimed to promote, and jumped in a river and descrized, Henry's action epitomized the contemporary feeling of a whole nation. Not that the book is without positive values, however—as is often alleged, and as Robert Penn Warren, for one, has disproved. Henry progresses from the messiness represented by the brothel to the order that is love he distinguishes sharply between the disciplined and competent people he has to do with and the disorderly and incompetent ones: the moral value of these virtues is not incidental to the action but a foundation on which the book is built. Despite such foundations, however, the final effect of this mixture of pessinism and ideals is one of tragedy and despair.

The connection between Hemingway and itis hero was always intimate, and in view of the pessinism of these last two books it is perhaps not suprising that his next two books, which were works of nonfiction, find the hero—Hemingway himself, now, without disguise—pretty much at the end of his rope, and in complete escape from the society he lad renounced in A Fareuell to Arms. The books are Death in the Afternoon (1933) and Green Hills of Arms (1935). Neither of them is of primary importance. The first is a book about bullfighting, one of a surprising number of subjects in which the author was learned; the second is a book on biggame hunting, about which he also knew a great deal. But the books are really about death—the death of bulls, bullfighters, horses, and big game; death is a subject which by his own admis-horses, and big game; death is a subject which by his own admis-

PHILLIP YOUNG

...

sion obsessed Hemingway for a long time. Both books are also a little hysterical, as it written under great nervous tension. To be sure the buildipher it a good example of the man with the code. As he are out his role as high priest of a ceremonial in which men it themshess against volone death, and, with a behavior that formalizes the code, administers what men seek to avoid, he is the very personification of "grace under pressure." And both volumet contain long passages—on writing, Spain, Africa, and other subjects—that are well worth reading. But more clearly than any fitting else the books present the picture of a man who had, since that separate peace, out himself so completely off from the root that nourth, that he was starving. The feeling is strong that the would have to find new roots, or re-establish old ones, if he were

going to write any more good novels. This process was not a paintess one, and Hemingway's next book, To Have and Have Not (1937), amply betrays that fact. This is a novel, though not a good one—a i least not for this novel. But it is one in which its subtor clearly showed that he had learned something that would become very unportant to him be fore he was done writing. As often belove, and later too, it is the code hero, pratically named Harry Morgan, who teaches the less one. The novel cells the story of this man who is forced, tinc the cannot support his wife and children through honest work, to go his own way, he becomes an outlaw who imagelies rum and people into the United States from Cuba. In the end he is killed, but before he dies he has learned the lesson that Hemingway himself must recently have learned; alone, a ump has no chance.

It is repretable that this pronouncement, articulating a deabbed conversion, does not grow with any sense of inevitability out of the action of the book. A contrast between the Haves and the Have Note of the story is meant to be structure and support for the novel and its message, but the whole slifts is unconvisioning. The superiority of the Note is apparently based on the superiority of the sex life of the Morgania, on some saying disqua simed as a successful writter in the book, and on some callow explanation of how the Have got their monor, Just how sill these things lead to Harry's final pronounrement was Hemingway's business, and it was not skillfully transacted.

But the novel itself is of minor significance. What it represents in Hemingway is important. Here is the end of the long exite that began with Nick Adam's separate peace, the end of Hemingway's ideological separation from the world: a man has no chance alone As a matter of fact, by 1937, the year of this novel, Hemingway had come close to embracing the society he had deserted some twenty years before, and was back in another "war for democracy". More than any other single thing, it seems to have been the civil

war in Spain that returned Hemingway to the world of other people. He was informally involved in that war, on the Loyalist side, and his next full-length work was a play, called The Fifth Column (1938), which praises the fighters with whom he was associated and declares his faith in their cause. The play is distinguished by some exrellent talk, and marred by a kind of cops-and robbers action. The Hemingway hero, now called simply Philip, is immediately recognizable. He is still afflicted with his memories, and with insomnia and horrors in the night. A kind of Scarlet Pimpernel dressed as an American reporter, Philip appears to be a charming but dissolute wastrel, a newsman who never files any stories But actually, and unknown to his mistress. Dorothy, he is up to his neck in the Loyalist fight. The most striking thing about him, however, is the distance he has come from the hero, so like him in every other way, who decided in A Farewell to Arms that such faiths and causes were "obscene."

But it is almost no distance at all from the notion that a man has no chance alone to the thought that "No man is an lland, inter of fuelf. . . ." These words, from a devetion by John Donne, are part of an epigraph to Hemingway's next novel, whose title, For Whom the Bell Tolls (1949), comes from the same source. The bell referred to is a funeral bell: "And therefore never send to know for whom the bell rolls; it talls for thee."

This time the novel is true to its controlling concept. It deals with three days in the life of the Hemingway hero, now named Robert Jordan, who is fighting as an American volunteer in the

Spanish civil war. He is sent to join a guerrilla band in the mountains near Segovia to blow up a strategic bridge, thus facilitating a Loyalist advance. He spend is three days and nights in the guerrillas' cave, while he awaits what he expects will be his own destruction, and he falls in love with Maria, the daugher of a Republican major who has been mundered—as she herself has been raped—by the Falangius, Jordan believes the attack will fail, but the generals will not cancel at until it it soo late. He tow cestfully destroys the bridge, is wounded an the retreat, and is left to die. But he has come to see the windom of such a jacrifice, and the book ends without butterness.

This is no is flawless noted For one thing the love story, if not sentimental, it at any rate idealized and very romantic; for another, there are a good many passages in which jordian appearance to be struggling for the fauth on which he axis than to have achieved it. The hero is still the wounded man, and new incidents from his past are supplied to explain why this is so; two of the charactery remark, pointedly that he was too young to experience the things he tells them of having experience. But Jordan betarended a lot, since the old days, about how to live and function with his wounds, and he behaves well. He dies, but he has done his job, and the manner of his dying convinced many readers of what his thinking had failed to do: that life is worth living and that there are causes worth dying foor.

The skill with which this novel was for the most part written demonstrated that Hemingway's talent was once again inact and domadable None of his books had evoked more richly the life of the sense, had shown a surer sense of plotting, or provided more fully living secondary characters, or lacelier dailogue. But following this success (his was the most successful of all his books to far as sales are concerned), he lapsed into a silence that lasted a whole decade—chiefly because of nonliterary activities in connection with World War II. And when he broke this silence in 1970 with his next book, a morel called Aerous the River and utto the Trees, the death of his once great grits was very widely advertised by the critica and reviewers.

To be sure, this is a poor performance. It is the story of a peacetime army colonel (but almost an exact self-portrait) who comes on leave to Venice to go duck-shooting, to see his very young girl friend, and to die, all of which he does The colonel is the hero again, this time called Richard Cantwell, and he has all the old scars, particularly the specific ones he received as Frederic Henry in A Farewell to Arms. Again there is the "Hemingway heroine," a title that designates the Pritish nurse, Catherine, of that novel, and the Spanish girl Maria of For Whom the Bell Tolls, and now the young Italian countess Renata of this novel, (They are all pretty much the same garl, though for some reason their nationality keeps changing, as the hero's never does, and they grow younger as the hero ages) There are also many signs of the "code," But the code in this book has become a sort of joke; the hero has become a good deal of a hore, and the herome has become a wispy dream. The distance that Hemingway once maintained between himself and his protagonist has disappeared, to leave us with a self indulgent chronicking of the author's every opinion; he acts as though he were being interviewed The novel reads like a parody of the earlier works

But there is one interesting thing about it. Exactly one hundred years before the appearance of this movel Nathaniel Hawthorne published The Scarlet Letter, in which he wrote: "There is a fatality, a feeling so irresistible and inevitable that it has the force of doom, which almost invariably compels human beings to linger around and haunt, ghostlike, the spot where some great and marked event has given the color to their lifetine; and still the more irresistibly, the darker the tings that saddens it." From Hawthorne himself and Poe, from Hawthorne's Hener Prynne and Melville's Ahab right down to J. D. Salinger's "Zoocy", who is unwilling to leave New York (T've been run over here revice, and on the same damn street") ~ no one in the history of American letters has demonstrated Hawthorne's insight with as much force and clarity as have Hemingway and his hero. And nowhere in Hemingway is the demonstration more clear than in Aerous the River and into the Trees, for it is here that Colonel Cantwell

makes a tort of pilgrimage to the place where he—and whrre Nick Adams, and Frederic Henry (and Hermingway himself) was first wounded. He takes instruments, and locate by survey he exact place on the ground where he had been struck. Then, in an act of piercing, dazzling identification, he builds a very personal if ironic sort of monousem to the spot, acknowledges and confronts the great, marked event that colored his lifetime—and Hermingway's aviting time—and comes to the end of his fourney (or the end to far), not at the place where he first lived, but where first he chief.

The critics who professed to see in this book the death of Hummyway's talent, as well as of his hero, happing proved to be mittaken, for they were forced almost unanimously to accept his next book, called The Old Man and the Sca, as a triumph. This sery short novel, which some ensist on calling rather a long short story (and it was for some time rumored to be part of a longth work-in progress), concerts an old Cubian fisherman. After eligibly four days without a fish Santiago ventures far out to are alone, and hooks a grant marlin in the Gulf Stream. For two days and two nights the old man holds on while he is towed farther out to sea; finally he brings the fish alongside, harpsons it, and talms it to his skiff. Almost at once the sharks begin to take his prize away from him If e kills them until he has only his broken iller to fight with. Then they east all but the skeleton, and he tows that home, half-dead with exhaustion, and makes his way to bed to steep and diream of other days.

The thing that chefly keeps The Old Man and the Sea from greames is the sense one has that the author was imisting instead of creating the style that made him famous. But this reservation is almost made up for by the book's abundance of meaning As always the code hero, here Santiago, comes with a mestage, and it is essentially that while a man may grow old, and be wholly down on his luck, he can still date, tack to the rules, persist when he is lucked, and thus by the manner of his losing win his victory. On another level the story can be read as an allegory endedy personal to its author, as an account of his own struggle, his determine

nation, and his literary vicissitudes. Like Hemingway, Santiago is a master who sets out his lines with more care and precision than his competitors, but he has not had any luck in a long time. Once he was very strong, the champson, yet his whole reputation is imperiled now, and he is growing old. Still he feels that he has strength enough; he knows the tricks of his trade; he is resolute, and he is still out for the really hug success. It means nothing that he has proved his strength before; he has got to prove it again, and he does. After he has caught his prize the sharks come and take it all away from him, as they will always try to do. But he caught it, he fought it well, he did all he could and it was a lot, and at the end he is happy.

To take the broadest view, however, the novel is a representation of hie as a struggle against unconquerable natural forces in which a kind of victory is possible. It is an epic metaphor for life, a contest in which even the problem of right and wrong seems paltry before the great thing that is the struggle. It is also something like Greek tragedy, in that as the hero falls and fails, the audience may get a memorable glimpse of what stature a man may have. And it is Christian tragedy as well, especially in the several marked allusions to Christian symbolism, particularly of the crucifixion—a development in Hemingways novels that begins, apparently without much importance, in the early ones, gathers strength in Across the River and into the Trees, and some to a kind of climax in this book.

Although the view of life in this novel had a long evolution from the days of total despair, it represents nonetheless an extraordinary change in its author. A reverence for life's struggle, and for mankind, seems to have descended on Henningway like the gift of grace on the religious. The knowledge that a simple man is capable of the decency, dignity, and even heroim that Santiago possesses, and that his battle can be seen in heroit erms, is itself, technical considerations for the moment aside, perhaps the greatest victory that Henningway won. Very likely this the bort of thing he had in mind when he remarked to someone,

shortly after finishing the book, that he had got, finally, what he had been working for all of his life.

Although he is known to have left a good deal of unpublished writing behind him—fiction, biography, and poetry, and at least some of it reputedly ready for the prince—Hemingway brought out nothing of real significance after The Old Man and the Sea, Nor, with the exception of a selection by Gene Z. Hantahan of interesting and heely atrucks produced in the early 190% for the Toronto Star and called, a little unfortunately, The Wild Yeart (1964), has anything appeared posthemously, But one may nill have considerable hope for more, as well as for an authorized hography being written by Carlos Baker.

One reason for this silence late an Hemingway's Infetime appears to have been ill health Increasan physical damage took in centural toll, and the author seems never to have endrely recovered from grievous injuries suffered during his last stip to Africa. Another reason was probably even simpler: axes, for he was in that not altogether unenviable position where a substantial part of the profit from new work went to the government. If, however, he left, say, a couple of novels and some stones behind him (the profits from a single short story. "The Snows of Kilimanjaro" must by this time be approaching \$400,000, then his last wife, his three sons, and his grandchildren should evenually be fairly well off.

Hemingway the man is of considerable interest, and his hie was colorful. He was born Einest Miller Hemingway in an intensely middle-chas suburb of Chicago called Oak Park, Illmolis, on July at, 1899. His father was a doctor, devoted to hunting and fishing: his mother was a religious and mutual woman, and a struggle over which direction the boy should take appears to have been won by the former. The parts of his childhood that seem to make stayed most deeply with Hemingway were spent up in Michigan on vacations, and are reflected in several of the stories about young Nick Adams

As a boy Hemingway learned to box (permanently damaging

an eye in the process) and he played high school foothall. He was not much pleased with the latter activity, however, partly because he was already more interested in writing. Working for his English classes and the school paper, he composed light verse, wrote a good many columns in imitation of Ring Lardner (a practice at which he became very adept), and tried his hand at some short stories. Although it looked for many years as though he was cut out to be a humorist, he also turned his hand to more serious fiction, and this is really the most impressive part of his juvenilia; already he was choosing to write about northern Michigan, and many of the features of his later style—especially some of the earmarks of his famous dialogue—are discernible in this early prose.

About half-seriously, doubtless, Herningway remarked a few years ago that the best training for a writer is an unhappy boyhood. He himself, however, appears to have been reasonably happy a good part of the time. But he seems also to have been on occasion deeply dissatisfied with his homelife and with Oak Park. Twice he was a runaway, and no sooner did he graduate from high school than he was off for Kansas City, never really to return home. If it had not been for parental objections that he was too young (seventeen), and if not for his bad eye, he would have gone much farther away, for he was desperately eager to get into the war. Repeatedly rejected by the army, he went instead to the Kansas City Star, then one of the country's best newspapers, lied about his age (which accounts for the fact that his hirth date was long given as 1898), and partly on the strength of his high school newspaper experience landed a job as a reporter. Here he was known for his energy and eagerness, and for the fact that, in the line of duty, he always wanted to ride the ambulances. Finally able to get into the war as an honorary lieutenant in the Red Cross, he went overseas, in a state of very great excitement, as an ambulance driver. He was severely wounded, while passing out chocolate to the troops in Italy, at Fossalta di Piave, on July 8, 1918, and was decorated by the Italians for subsequent heroism. A dozen operations were performed on his knee, and after his

recuperation in Milan he was with the Italian infantry until the Armistice.

After the war, "literally shot to pieces," according to a friend, he returned to the United States, his riddled uniform with him Heading for northern Michigan again, he spent a time reading, writing, and fishing Then he worked for a while in Canada for the Toronto Star. Moved temporarily to Chicago, found himself unhappy with America, married, and took off for Paris as a foreign correspondent, employed again by the Toronto Star. He served in this role for some time, and then settled down in Paris to become once and for all, under the guidance of Certrude Stain and others, a writer. Though it brought Intle in the way of money, his work soon began to attract attention, and The Sun Also Rites made him famous while he was still in his twenties. After that time he had no serious extended financial troubles, and with both critics and the general public commanded a very wide following From other standpoints, Hemingray's sorty was one of mixed From other standpoints, Hemingray's sorty was one of mixed

From other standpoints, Hemingways story was one of mixed success and failure. His first three marriages—to Hadley Richardson, the mother of his first on, to Pauline Pfeiffer, the mother of his second two boys, and to Martha Gellborn, the mother of his second two boys, and to Martha Gellborn, the movilats—all che other wives came from 5t. Louis—whom he met in England in 1944; For a long time, the whole span of the thirties during which he lived mostly in K-y Wen, Florida, his work did more to advance his regulation as sportmant and athlete than as a writer of memorable fection. During the forties his non literary activities were even more spectacular, and though he published only one book in this period he was very much alive. There is subject matter for several romantic novels in his World War II advances also.

aventure's atone.

In 1944 he volunteered himself and his fishing boat, the Filar, for various projects to the United States Navy, was accepted, and for two year cruised off the coast of Globa with a nomental salicidal plan for the destruction of U boats in the zera. In 1944 he was in England, and as an accredited correspondent went on several missions with the RAF, Shortly before the lravation of

France he was in an auto wreck which necessitated the taking of fifty seven stitches in his head. But he pulled the stitches out on D Day, and after the breakthrough in Normandy attached himself to the division of his choice, the Fourth of the First Army, with which he saw considerable action at Schnee Eifel, in Luxembourg, and in the disaster at Hurtgen Forest. At one point in a battle, according to the commanding officer of the division ("I always keep a pin in the map for old Ernie Hemingway"), he w s sixty miles in front of anything else in the First Army, Ostensibly a correspondent he was by now running his own small, informal, but effective army-motorized, equipped with "every imaginable" German and American weapon, and nearly weighed down with bottles and explosives. The history books have it that the French liberated their own capital from the Germans, and so they did, but the fact remains that Hemingway and his company of irregulars were engaged in a skirmish at the Arc de Triomphe when Leclerc's army was at the south bank of the Seine. The writer and his troops were soon billeted at the Ritz, which they had exclusively liberated.

The Heroie Hemingway and the Public Hemingway produced somehow a Legendary Hemingway, an imaginary person who departed from the actual one at some point that is next to impossible to define. There was something about him that excited strange enthusiasms and even stranger antipathies. A good deaf of what we think we know about him carries an air of having been gone over by a press agent. But some facts can be verified. In addition to the ones already given, it can be stated that Hemingway lived most of his last years on a "farm" called Finca Vigia on a hilltop at San Francisco de Paula, nine miles outside Havana; that he was generous, extremely perceptive about people, deeply and widely read as a student of literature, a bit of a linguist, and an expert in navigation, military history, and tactics. It is perhaps also relevant to note that he was in some private, unorthodox way a convert to Roman Catholicism. In view of the personal difficulties following his wounding in World War I, it is certainly relevant to record the fact that through a rigorous exercise of an impressive

recuperation in Milan he was with the Italian inlantry until the Armituce.

After the war, "literally shot to pieces," according to a friend, he returned to the United States, his riddled uniform with him. Heading for northern Michigan again, he spent a time reading, writing, and fishing Then he worked for a while in Canada for the Toronto Star, moved temporarily to Chicago, found himself unhappy with America, married, and took off for Paris as a foreign currespondent, employed again by the Toronto Star. He served in this role for some time, and then settled down in Paris to become once and for all, under the guidance of Gertrude Stein and others, a writer. Though it brough little in the way of money, his work soon began to attract attention, and The Sun Alio Ruser made him famous while he was still in his twenties. After that time he had no serious extended financial troubles, and with both critical and the general public commanded a very wide following

From other standpoints, Hemingway's story was one of mixed success and failure. His first three marriages — to Hadley Richardson, the mother of his first too, to Parline Picifier, the mother of his second two boys, and so Martha Gellborn, the novellst —all his second two boys, and so Martha Gellborn, the novellst —all she other wives came from St. Louis —whom he net in England in 1944) For a long time, the whole span of the thirties during which he lived mostly in Key West, Florida, his work did more to advance his reputation as sportman and abliete han as a writer of memorable fiction. During the forties his non-literary activities were even more spectacular, and though he published only one book in this period he was very much alive. There is subject matter for several romantic novels in his World War II adventures alone.

In 1948 he volunteered himself and his fabling boat, the Filar, for various projects to the United States Navy, was accepted, and for two year cruised off the coast of Club with a somewhat suicidal plan for the destruction of U-boat in the area. In 1948 he was in England, and as an accredited correspondent went on several missions with the RAF. Shortly before the invasion of

France he was in an auto wreck which necessitated the taking of fifty seven stitches in his head. But be pulled the stitches out on D Day, and after the breakthrough in Normandy attached himself to the division of his choice, the Fourth of the First Army, with which he saw considerable action at Schnee Eifel, in Luxembourg, and in the disaster at Hurtgen Forest. At one point in a battle, according to the commanding officer of the division ("I always keep a pin in the map for old Ernie Hemingway"), he w s sixty miles in front of anything else in the First Army. Ostensibly a correspondent he was by now running his own small, informal, but effective army-motorized, equipped with "every imaginable" German and American weapon, and nearly weighed down with bottles and explosives. The history books have it that the French liberated their own capital from the Germans, and so they did, but the fact remains that Hemingway and his company of irregulars were engaged in a skirmish at the Arc de Triomphe when Leclere's army was at the south bank of the Seine. The writer and his troops were soon billeted at the Ritz, which they had exclusively liberated.

The Herole Hemingway and the Public Hemingway produced somehow a Legendary Hemingway, an imaginary person who departed from the actual one at some point that is next to impossible to define. There was something about him that excited strange enthusiasms and even stranger antipathies. A good deal of what we think we know about him carries an air of having been gone over by a press agent. But some facts can be verified. In addition to the ones already given, it can be stated that Hemingway lived most of his last years on a "farm" called Finca Vigia on a hilltop at San Francisco de Paula, nine miles outside Havana; that he was generous, extremely perceptive about people, deeply and widely read as a student of literature, a bit of a linguist, and an expert in navigation, military history, and tactics. It is perhaps also relevant to note that he was in some private, unorthodox way a convert to Roman Catholicism In view of the personal difficulties following his wounding in World War I, it is certainly relevant to record the fact that through a rigorous exercise of an impressive

where to the same as he remarked in A Farewell to Arms. His own life and career were for a very long time an extraordinary illustration of that notion. He developed his strength, personal and aesthetic, into a truly formidable thing, and the experience of a great may people could be called upon to show that the more one knew of the man and what he accomplished, the more admiration one was takely to have for him.

But primary attention should go of course to Hemingway the writer, nor the man—and still less the case history—and there is little doubt that his technical achievement has been great. Indeed in the view of many people it as his simple, fresh, and clean prose style that as his two claim to renown and perma nence. Those responsible for bestowing the Nobel Prize for Literature seemed to reflect this view, for in 1954, when he was awarded it they cited "his powerful style-forming mastery of the art of modern parasition."

It is of course not true, as has been alleged, that this style sprang from nowhere. Actually it had a long evolution, which may be said to have begun when Mark Twain wrote the first paragraph of his Adventures of Huckleberry Firm [1884]. What Twain was trying to do in then novel is very cleat. He was trying to write as an American boy might speak — write, that is, not a "literary" English style, but a natural specken English. Or rather antural specken American, hot Twain was the first man to "write American," at least to do it ravily well. He lound a Irechness and a poetry in that speech which have not diministed one particle with the passing of the years. It is fix too much to say, as Hemingary himself once said, that "all modern American literature" comes from that one book, but the book does indeed represent the true beginning of a widespread contemporary American style

Other writers came between Twain and Hemingway in this evolution. It would be possible to draw up an extraordinary list of parallels between the lives and personalities of Hemingway and an intervening writers Stephen Crane Both men began their careers very young as treporters, then foreign correspondents Both journeyed widely to wars Each was profoundly shocked by the

as he remarked in A Farewell to Arms. His own life and career were for a very long time an extraordinary illustration of that notion. He developed his strength, personal and acathetic, into a truly formidable thing, and the experience of a great many people could be called upon to show that the more one knew of the man and what he accomplished, the more admiration one was likely to laste for him

But primary attention should go of course to Hemingway the writer, not the man-and till less the case history—and there is little doubt that his technical achievement has been great-ladeed in the view of many people it is his simple, firsh, and clean priose sight that is his time claim to renown and permanence. Those responsible for bestowing the Nobel Prite for Literature second to reflect this view, for in 1954, when he was awarded it they cited "his powerful style-lorming mastery of the art of modern narration."

It is of course not true, as has been alleged, that this style prang from nowhere. Actually it had a long evolution, which may be said to have begun when Mark Tvain wrote the first paragraph of his Adventures of Huckberry Finn (1883). What Tvain was triping to write as an American boy might peak — write, that is, not a "literary" English style, but a natural spoken English. Or rather a natural spoken English. Or rather a natural spoken English. Or rather a natural spoken English or retained and a poetry in that speech which bave not diminished one particle with the passing of the years. It is far too much to say, as Herningway himself one said, that "all modern American literature" comes from that one book, but the book does indeed represent the true beginning of a widespread contemporary American style.

Other writers came between Twain and Hemingway in this evolution. It would be possible to draw up an extraordinary list of parallels between the lives and personalities of Hemingway and an intervening writers Stephen Grane. Both men began their careen very young as reporters, then foreign correspondents. Both journeyed widely to wars. Each was profoundly shocked by the

death of his father; each chuldhood was marred by the experience of violence; each man found in warfare an absorbing formalization of violence and an essential metaphor for life Each tested himself against violence and in the end was cited for courage—and so on and on. Perhaps all this helps to account for the fact that a great many of the characteristics of Hemingway's prose—its intensity, its terse, unliterary tone, and many of the features of the dialogue, for instances—can be found first, when he is at his best, in Crane. (This is a debt which Hemingway also, obliquely, acknowledged).

Any effort to write a simple, spare, concise, and yet repetitive prose—clean, free of cliché and "artiul" synonyms and all but the smallest and simplest of words—could and did benefit as well from the efforts of Gertrude Stein. In addition, Hemingway's early stories show a debt to Sherwood Anderson, and a good many other writers seem also to have had at least a small hand in the forming of him. The names F. Scott Fitzgerald, Esra Pound, Ring Lardner, Joseph Conrad, Ford Madox Ford, and Ivan Turgenev should appear, among others, on any list that pretended to be complete.

Almost all writers thow their chief debts in their earliest work. In Hemingway's case, however, the situation is complicated by the fact that eighteen of his earliest stories, and the first draft of a first novel—the better part of his production for four years—were in a suitcase that was stolen from his first wife on a train to Lausanne. Thus the material that almost certainly recorded the most finitiative and faltering steps of a person learning a new skill is missing, and almost certainly for good. Not missing, however, are a few copies of a pamphlet called Three Stories and Ten Poems which he published at Dijon in 1925, and we must settle for this. As the title suggests, Hemingway made his debut, a sort of a false stait, as a poet. Most of the verse in this volume brings to mind the poetry either of Stephen Crane or of Vachel Lindsay, and is without other real interest. The three stories—"Up in Michigan." "Out of Season," and "My Old Man"—are on the other hand already accomplished performances, and as such were reprinted in In Our Time. But the still reveal samething.

and he smells of the muscums," the said, Edmund Wilson disagreed: "Heningway should perhaps more than anyone else be allowed to escape the common literary fate of being derived from other people." And Alfred Karin concurred, writing that he "had no basic relation to any prewar coluture."

It seems entirely possible that all of these judgments are wrong. Hemingway took a good deal from other writers, but if he smalls of the museums Miss Stein's nose was one of the few to detect the odor. Like most writers, he went to those who preceded him for what hus experience and taste made meaningful and attractive to him With the force of hus personality and the skill of his craft he made what he borrowed distinctly and underfiably his own.

More striking, however, is the extent to which, once Hemingway got started, other writers began to make it all theirs. There is probably no country in which American books are read whose literature has been entirely unaffected by Hemingway's work; in his own country we are so conditioned to his influence that we hardly ever notice it any more. On the positive side he taught the values of objectivity and honesty, helped to purify our writing of sentimentality, literary embellishment, padding, and a superficial artiulness. Almost singlehanded he revitalized the writing of dialogue. His influence has extended even more pervasively. however, to the realms of the subliterary, and here the results, through no direct fault of his, have been much less appealing. Many writers, of the "tough-detective school" in particular, demonstrate what bappens when the attitudes and mannerisms which bave meaning in one novelist are taken over by others, for whom they have rather different meanings, or none. Violence is the meaningful core of Hemingway, but the host of novelists and short story and script writers who have come to trade on him have seized a bag of tricks - usually a mixture of toughness and sex, with protagonists based on crude misunderstandings of one or the other - or both - of the heroes. In their hands the meanings either are cheap and sordid, or have departed altogether.

It is Hemingway's prose style, however, that has been most imitated, and it is as a stylist that he commands the most respect.

His prose is easily recognized. For the most part it is colloquial, characterized chiefly by a conscientious simplicity of diction and sentence structure. The words are normally short and common ones and there is a severe economy, and also a curious freshness, in their use. As Ford Madox Ford remarked some time ago, in a line that is often (and justshably) quoted, the words "strike you, each one, as if they were pebbles fetched fresh from a brook." The typical sentence is a simple declarative one, or a couple of these joined by a conjunction. The effect is of crispness, cleanness, clarity, and a scrupulous care. (And a scrupulous care went into the composition; Hemingway worked very slowly and revised extensively. He claimed to have rewritten the last page of A Farewell to Arms thirty-nine times, and to have read through the manuscript of The Old Man and the Sea some two hundred times before he was finished with it)

It is a remarkably unintellectual style. Events are described strictly in the sequence in which they occurred; no mind reorders or analyzes them, and perceptions come to the reader unmixed with comment from the author. The impression, therefore, is of intense objectivity: the writer provides nothing but stimuli. Since violence and pain are so often the subject matter, it follows that a characteristic effect is one of irony or understatement. The vision

is narrow, and tharply focused.

The dialogue is equally striking, for Hemingway had an ear like a trap for the accents and mannerisms of human speech; this is chiefly why he was able to bring a character swiftly to life. The conversation is far from a simple transcription, however, of the way people talk. Instead the dialogue strips speech to an essential pattern of mannerisms and responses characteristic of the speaker. and gives an illusion of reality that reality itself would not give.

Nothing in this brief account of the "Hemingway style" should seem very surprising, but the purposes, implications, and ultimate meanings of this manner of writing are less well recognized. A style has its own content, and the manner of a distinctive prose style has its own meanings. The things that Hemingway's style most conveys are the very things he says outright. His style is as communicative of the content as the content itself, and is a large and inextricable part of the content. The strictly disciplined control exerted over the hero and fin nervous system are precise parallels to the strictly disciplined sentences. The "mindlessness" of the style is a reflection and expression of the need to "stop tunking" when thought means remembering the things that upset. The intense simplicity of the prote is a means of saying that things must be made simple, or the hero is loss, and in "a way you'll never be "The economy and narrow focus of the prote to must the little that can be absolutely mastered. The prote is tense because the atmosphere in which the struggle for control takes place is tense, and the tension in the style expresses that fact.

These notions are scarcely weakened by the reminder that the typle was developed and perfected in the same period when the author was reorganizing liss personality after the scattering of his forces in Italy. These efforts were two sides of one effort. Henring way once stud, in a story called "Fathers and Sonis," that if he wrote some things he could get rid of them, it is equally to the point that he wrote them in the style that would get rid of them. The discipline that made the new personality made the prose typle that bespoke the personality. The style is the clear voice of the content. It was the end, or aim, of the man, and a goal marveloutly won. It was the means of being the man. An old common-place never had more force than here: the style is the made

One of the most common criticism of Hemingway used to be that he had wandered too far from his roots, his traditions, and had got lost. People who made this criticism unally said that the author should find a way home to some such tradition as it to be found in a novel like Mark Twain's Huckleberry Franthis one, presumably, because it seems to be by almost unanimous consent the most American of all novels. This is of course the book that Hemingway said all modern American writing comering; the sungiation is forced on us that someone is confused

It was the critics who were confused, partly because they missed some of the depths and subleties in both waters. The curious

truth is that if the pattern in Hemingway's work ducussed here—the pattern of violence, psychological wounding, escape, and death—has any validity, then Hemingway never got very far from Huckleberry Finn A careful reading of that novel will show precisely that pattern. The adventures of Huckleberry Finn and of Nick Adams are remarkably of a piece. "It made me so sick I most fell out of the tree," says Huck of his exposure to the Grangerfont-Shepherdson feud. "I and a agoing to tell all that happened. . . . I wished I hadn't ever come ashore that night to see such things—bot of times I dream about them."

There is so much either hilarious or idyllic in the novel about this boy that we are easily but mistakenly diverted from the spill of blood that gives the book a large part of its meaning and deeply affects Huck. Life on the Mississippi around 1845 could be gory, and Twain based his novel largely on experiences he him-sell had undergone as a boy, or had known milmately of, and lad never quite got over. (We know, for instance, that he witnessed four murders, A lot of this experience found its way must the book, and it is impossible to understand the novel completely without seeing what all this violence results in But the results are clear: Huck's overexposure to violence finally wounds him. Each epi-sode makes a mark, and each mark leaves a scar. Every major episode in the novel, with the exceptions only of the rather irrelevant Tom Sawjer scenes at the beginning and conclusion, ends in violence, in physical brutality, and usually in death. All along the way are bloodshed and pain, and there are thirteen separate corpses. The effect of all this, and the only effect that is relevant to the main plot, is that it serves to wound Huck Finn. Either tortured with nightmares or unable to sleep at all ("I couldn't, somehow, for thinking"), he is "made sick" by -- among other things -- the thought of a man left alone to drown, by the sale of some colored servants, and by the departure of the Duke and the King, tarred, feathered, and astraddle a rail. In addition he is bloodshed, drowning, and sudden death than be can handle, be is himself their casualty. And from his own experience Mark Twain

could make the prediction. Huck isn't ever going to get over them

Here, transparently, as the pattern of violence and psychologi-cal wounding we have been reading in Hemingway. The rest of it, the elements of escape and death, though in part submerged in symbolism, are also demonstrable in the same book Huck's whole symbolish, at each of a characteristic in the same book rathers pourney is of course made up of a series of escapes - escapes for the most part down a mighty and deeply mysterious river. His strange journey down the glamorous Mississippi, blurred, mythic. and wondrously suggestive, becomes in the end a supremely effort-less flight into a dark and silent unknown. Symbolically Huck escapes more than he is aware of, and into something which—if escapes more than he is aware of, and into something which—in this were literal and not metaphorical—he could not return from. Over and over again his silent, effortless, nightime departures down the black and mighty stream compel us. In the end they transport us from a noisy, painful, and difficult life to the safety of the last escape of all. In the end as well, Twain is forced to drop Huck and to turn the story over to Tom Sawyer. The reason is not hard to find. Huck had grown too hot to handle. A damaged not hard to find. Huck had grown too hot to handle. A dimaged boy, tortured by the terror he has winnessed and been firough, afflicted with insomina and bad dreams, and voluntarily divorced from the society in which he had grown up, Huck could no longer be maraged by a man who had not solved his own complications, many of which he had invested in the boy. What the author did not realize was that in his journey by water he had been lining at a solution all along an excessive exposure or volence and death produced first a compulsive fascination with dying, and finally and only might leave. an ideal symbol for it.

an ideal symbol for it.
The parallel is complete, In both Huck and Nick, Hemingway's generic hero, we have a tensitive, rather passive but courageous and masculine boy, solitary and out of door, who is disstiffied with respectability, chiefly as represented by a Bible-quoting woman of the house. Each runs sway from home. "Home" in both cases—St. Petersburg or storthern Michigan—was a place of violence and pain, but though it was easy to flee the respectability, off on their own both boys came up against britality

harder than ever. Both were hurt by it and both ended by rebelling utterly against a society that sponsored, or permitted, such horror. Nick decides that he is not a partiot, and makes his own peace with the enemy; Huck decides that he will take up wickedness, and go to hell. He lights out for the territory, the hero for foreign lands. Huck and Nick are very nearly twins. Two of our most prominent heroes, Huck and the Hemingway hero, are casualties whom the "knowledge of evi," which Americans are commonly said to lack, has made tick.

This theme of the boy shattered by the world he grows up in is a variation on one of the most ancient of all stories, and one of the greatest of all American stories, which relates the meeting of innosence and experience. It was a primary theme of our first professional man of letters, Charles Brockden Brown, and it has run through our literature ever since In the latter half of the ninescenth century it was related as what might be called the very poles of our national experience—on the frontier and in Europe—and with the steady flow of travelers abroad it was primarily in Europe that the drama of the meeting of youth and age was enacted. Here developments of the theme ranged all the way from comie and crude accounts of innocents abroad to the subpleties of Hawthorne and James, with their pictures of American visitors under the fimpact of the European social order.

The story is a great American story not only because it is based on the experience of every man at he grows up but also on the particular and peculiar history of the country. Once we were fully discovered, established, and unified we began to rediscover the world, and this adventure resulted in our defining ourselves in the light of people who did not seem, to us or to them, quite like us.

The stories of Huck Finn and the Hemingway bero share this general theme, for they tell again what happens when innocence, or a spontaneous virtue, meets with something not at all itself. But they are variations on the theme. The traveling comedians in Europe made spectacles of their ignorance, but usually had the last laugh. The more serious pilgrims were usually enriched at their pain, but showed up well in the process, often displaying a

promising world since Eden, were part of a seduction that went bad and should have ended at the doctors. This is not a story that we believe literally, of course No myth is to be taken literally or we would not, nowadays, call it a myth. But in a figurative way, on a metaphorical level, one suspects that we believe something of this sort about our experience in the world.

It remains to say something about Hemingway's world—the world his experience caused his imagination to create in books. It is, of course, a very limited world that we are exposed to through him. It is, ultimately, a world at war—war either literally as armed and calculated conflict, or figuratively as marked everywhere with violence, potential or present, and a general hostility. The people of this world operate under such conditions—of apprehension, emergency, stiff-lipped fear, and pleasure seized in haste—as are imposed by war. Restricted grimly by the urgencies of war, their pleasures are limited priety much to those the sense can communicate, and their morality is a harshly pragmatic affair; what's meral is what you feel good after. Related to this is the code, summarizing the virtues of the soldier, the ethic of wartime. The activities of escape go according to the rules of sport, which make up the code of the armstuce, the temporary, peacetime modification of the rules of war.

Hemingway's world is one in which things do not grow and is aswel from total misery by visions of endurance, competence, and courage, by what happiness the body can give when it is not in pain, by interludes of love that cannot outlast the furlough, by a pleasure in the countries one can visit, or fish and hunt in, and the cafés one can it in, and by very little cles. Hemingway's characters do not "mature" in the ordinary sense, do not become "adult." It is impossible to picture them in a family circle, going to the polls to vote, or making out their income tax returns. It is a very narrow world. It is a world seen through a crack in the wall by a man pinned down by gunfire. The vision is obsessed by violence, and insist that we honor a stubborn preoccupation with the profound significance of violence in our time.

PHILIP YOUNG

We may argue the utter inadequacy of the world Hemingway retected and re-created; indeed we should protest against it it is not the world we wish to live in. and we usually believe that actually we do not live in it. But if we choose to look back over our time, what essential facts can we stack against the facts of violence, evil, and death? We remember countiess "minor" wars, and two tremendous ones, and prepare for the day when we may be engaged in a holocaust beyond which we cannot see anything. We may argue against Hemingway's world, but we should not find it easy to prove that it is not the world we have been jiving in.

It is still too early to know which of all the worlds our writers ofter will be the one we shall turn out to have lived in It all depends on what happens and you never know at the time. "Peace in our time," however, was Hemingway's obscure and ionic prophery, stated at the start and stuck to. Frum the beginning his eyes were focused on what may turn out decades hence to have been the main thow. With all his obvious limitations, it is possible that he said many of the truest things of our age truly, and this is such tout far as tumoralities are made on.

Thomas Wolfe

THOMAS WOLFE grappled in frustrated and demonic fury with what he called "the strange and bitter mirade of life," a miracle which he saw in patterns of opposites. The elements of life and of art seem to have existed for him as a congernes of contradictions, and he could not understand a thing until its negation had been brought forth. The setting down of these opposites is the most obvious single characteristic of his work, the significant parts of which are four vast novels, seven short novels, two collections of short stories, and an essay in criterism — all fragments of an incomplete whole, only the most shadowy outlines of which are discernible.

Even the titles of his books—Look Homeward, Angel, with its suggestion of near and tar; Of Time and the River; From Death to Morning; The Web and the Rock; You Can't Go Home Again, with its idea of home and exile; The Hills Beyond, with its suggestion of movement, of extension—reflect this view of experience. So do his geographical oppositions—South and North, country and city, plain and "enfabled rock," America and Europe—and the contrasuing pairs into which he regularly grouped his characters—lather and mother, Jew and Genille, South and North Carolinian, poor and rich, true artist and aesthete. Wolfer vision of himself carried the same pattern of oppositions; in The Web and the Rock Esther Jack sums up the autobiographical hero with these words: "He has the face of a demented angel—and there is madness and darkness and errit in his brain. He is

more cruel than death, and more lovely than a flower. His heart was made for love, and it is full of hate and darkness."

There is also a basic conflict of themes in Wolfe's work. He declared, "I have at Ist discovered my own America. . . . And I had been also with the state of the

nue, and yet he persisted in playing them both.

This fundamental concern wish opposites is reflected in Wolfe's literary style rutell—in the balanced annitheses that abound in his writing, in his shocking juxtaposition of images, in his use of contradictory phrases, but ha "changeless change," "sphendid and fietce and weak and strong and foolish," "of wandering forever and the earth again," and "the web and the rock." In fact, Wolfe was a writer with two distinctive and contrasting styles. On one level he wrought with lysical intensity a web of sensious images capable of evoking from his readers a response almost as intensit at that resulting from direct experience Of American writers in this century. Ernest Hemingway is Wolfe's only equal at the evocative representation of the physical world through images as startlingly direct that they seem to rub against the reader's raw nerve ends. Wolfs said, "The quality of my memony is character.

ized, I believe, in a more than ordinary degree by the intensity of its sense impressions, its power to evoke and bring back the odors, sounds, colors, shapes, and feel of things with concrete vividness." At its best his style was superbly suited for transferring this concrete vividness to the reader.

Yet Wolfe was seldom content to let the scene or the senses speak for themselves; rather, he felt an obligation to define the emotion which he associated with the scene and to suggest a meaning, a universality, a significance through rhetorical exhortation. The resulting passages are marked by extravagant verbal pyrotechnics -by apostrophe, by incantation, by exhortation, by rhapsodic assertion, and, all too often, by rant and bombast. The lyric style evokes in the reader the ineffable emotion called forth in Wolfe by the scene; then the rhetorical assertion attempts to utter the ineffable and to articulate the transcendent aspects of the scene which Wolfe fears that the reader otherwise may miss. While passages in this second style often succeed magnificently in lifting the reader with their cadenced chants to glimpse Wolfe's ultimate visions, it is also true that such passages sometimes degenerate into dithyrambic incantations that become strident, false, and meaningless. Few writers have been to clearly at the same time both the masters and the slaves of language.

The same contrasts are apparent in the structural qualities of Wolfe's fiction. On the level of dramatic scene, fully realized and impacted with immediary, Wolfe could construct magnificently. Single episodes of his work, published separately as short stories, are powerful narrative units. "The Child by Tiger," first a short story in the Saturday Evening Post and later an episode in The Web and the Rock, is a clear example; so are "Only the Dead Know Brooklyn," "The Lost Boy," and "An Angel on the Porch." In the middle length of the short novel the worked with perhaps his greatest effectiveness. He produced seven pieces in this middle length, all of them originally published in magazines as independent entities, although five were later fragmented and distributed through the full length novels. They include The Web of Earth, in a structural sense his most completely successful work? A Por-

trail of Baccom Hawke, which was later fragmented and distributed through Of Time and the River as a portrait of Baccom Pentland, No Door, whose thermatic organization was a microcosm which he later expanded into Of Time and the River; and The Party at Jack's, which, in an expanded form, was incorporated into You Can't Go Home Again. These short novels represent strong dramatte and narrative writing, rich in subject matter, firm in control, often objective in point of visit.

Furthermore, Wolfe projected ambitious plans for his books. Out of the experiences which were to be the material of his fiction he wished to weave a myth of his native land, an embodiment of its nature and its spirit. At a time when the American critic was just beginning to be concerned with the newer concepts of myth, Wolfe wrote, in the manuscript later published as the title piece of The Hills Beyond: "The Myth is founded on extorted fact; wrenched from the context of ten thousand days. . . For it is not a question of having faith, or lack of it. It is a simple fact of seeing," In order to contain and define this mythic aspect of human experience, Wolfe sought in old myths and in Jable, as well at in the structure of his own experience, the enclosing form for his utterance. Of Time and the River in one of its earlier projections was to be called Antaeus, and its characters were to symbolize Heracles, Poseidon, Gaea, Helen, Demeter, Kronos, Rhea, Orestes, Faustus, Telemachus, Jason. After outlining the proposed plot in a letter to Maxwell E. Perkins, his editor, he wrote: "Now, don't get alarmed at all this and think I'm writing a Greek myth. All of this is never mentioned once the story gets under way, but . . . it gives the most magnificent plot and unity to my book." Such proj-ects are one of the stuples of Wolfe's correspondence with the edi-

tors at Seribnet's and at Harper's and with his agent.

Yee, in starp contrast to the dramatic power in individual seets and the magnificent and mythic cope in plan, the realized larger unuts of his work show a formlessness and plottesness that have baifled and perplexed the critic of Wolfe sunce he first published a novel. The structure of his works, at least on the surface, esens to be the simple chromodogical pattern of his own life, their

incidents those participated in or wantesed. Scholars and critics have explored the close relationship of Wolfe's work to his life. and they have found that, despite his frequent disclaimers that his work is no more autobiographical than that of other novelists, the use of direct experience and the representation of actual persons and events are very great in his nosels Floyd C. Watkins, who examined Wolfe's use of materials drawn from his home town, Asheville, concluded, "there are many more than 300 characters and places mentioned by name or described in Look Homeward, Angel, and probably there is not an entirely fictitious person, place, or incident in the whole novel." Wolfe's disarming statement, "Dr. Johnson remarked that a man would turn over half a library to make a single book, in the same way, a novelist may turn over half the people in a town to make a single figure in his novel," is no defense at all when the people of the town are merely represented under the thinnest and most transparent disguises, and when the changes in name ase as slight as "Chapel Hill" to "Pulpit Hill," "Raleigh" to "Sydney," "Woodin Street" to "Woodson Street," or "Reuben Rawls" to "Ralph Rolls." His father's name is changed from "W. O. Wolfe" to "W. O. Gant," his mother's from "Eliza Westall Wolfe" to "Eliza Pentland Gant," his brother's from "Ben Wolle" to "Ben Gant."

Wolfe's artistic method was a combination of realistic representation and romantic declaration; and it seems to have reflected accurately a contradictory—or perhaps double—view of the nature of art, On one hand, he was committed to the detailed, exact, accurate picturing of the actual world—committed to such an extent that he found it hand to represent anything that he had not personally experienced. On the other hand, his view of the nature and function of art was exentially that of the nineteenth-century Romantic poets and critics.

In one sense this aesthetic view was a natural outgrowth of his education, Six teachers had major influences on Wolfe, and five of them were clear-cut romantics. Margaret Roberts, who taught him for four years in a boys' preparatory school, made an indelible impression upon him with her lowe for the English poest; Mrs. Roberts, represented in Look Homeword, Angel as Margaret Leonard, filled the boy with a corresponding love of Wordsworth, Burns, Coleridge, Herrick, Carew. Jonson, Shakespeare, Poe, Hawthorne, Melville, and Scott. At the University of North Carolina he studied under Horace Williams, a philosophy professor whom he represented as Vergil Weldon and whom he called "Hegel in the Cotton Belt " Wilhams, who was a mystic, taught him a rather loose form of the Hegelian dialectic, in which a concept, or thesis, inevitably generates its opposite, or antithesis, and the interaction of the two produces a new concept, or synthesis. He also studied under Frederick Koch, who was beginning his work with the Carolina Playmakers and was encouraging his students to write folk plays, finding and underscoring the strange in the commonplace, Wolfe's first successful literary efforts were one-act plays written for Koch and produced by the Playmakers with Wolfe acting in them. At Chapel Hill he was also greatly influenced by the teaching of the Spenserian scholar Edwin A. Greenlaw and by his theories of the inseparable relationthip of "literature and life." At Harvard an important influence was John Livingston Lowes, who was writing The Road to Xanadu while Wolfe was a graduate student in his classes and whose view of the nature of Coleridge's imagination remained for Wolfe until his death a truthful picture of the workings of the artist's mind. At Harvard, too, he was influenced by George Pierce Baker, famous as the director of the "47 Worksbop" in drama, although he later broke with Baker, at least partially as a result of dissatisfaction with the brittle and essentially anti-romantic views of students whom Baker applauded.

The marked romanticism of his aesthetic theory, with its pronounced distrust of almost all forms of intellectualism and its emphasis on the expression of the artist's feelings as the highest objective of a work of art, was at a polar extreme from the view Wolfe later developed of the novelist as national prophet obligated to represent the sockil scene; and his own novels are caught between the tug of the representation of the nation and the expression of the self. Wolfe's tendency to see and to express things in terms of oppositions may have been learned at the feet of Horace Williams; it is possible that, as some crutes have ascreted, it represented a failure of his mind adequately to grapple with the problems be fore it; certainly it was, to some extent, an expression of his southern qualifies, for the typical nature of the southern states is fascinated by paradox, enamored of ambiguity, devoted to the particular and the concrete, and, although a dreamer of grandiose dreams, seldom the articulator of effective larger structures. The men of Wolfe's region were, but Wolfe himself, caught fortween the romantic view of their own past and the realistic fact of their present poverty. And over the years they have proved thrussleves capable of living with unresolved contradictions. Yet Thomas Wolfe was marked almost from his birth by certain unique paradoxes, which formed a peculiar aspect of his life, and therefore an inevitable aspect of his altoplographic art.

Thomas Wolfe was born in Asheville, North Carolina, which ewas to call Altamont and Libya Hill in his novels, on October 5, 1900. He was, therefore, a southerner, yet his native state in 1900 was in the midst of its espousal of the Populist movement that has left a heritage of liberalum in educational, social, and economic matters quite different from that in most of the rest of the South. Furthermore, Wolfe came from a mountain town far removed from even the dream of a South of call white columns and banjostrumming darkies, a town which was 1900 to be caught in a real estate fever and go on a middle-class speculative binge, keyed, as Wolfe Immented, to Yankee materialism and dollar greed. If You Con't Go Home 8 pan he described that bings and it painful alternath in corructaing detail. It would have been hard to find a southern town more thoroughly middle class than Asheville in the years of Wolfe's childhood; yet it was a town will of its region, tasting on its tongue the butterness of defeat, the shard sting of southern poverty, and the acrid flavor of racial injustic. This middle-class world was his particular subject throughout his career, although the qualified the scusnorary rhooster' optimism by

C HUGH HOLMAN

the more pessimistic approach natural to a poverty stricken region still conscious -- as no other part of America is -- of defeat. His mother was Julia Elizabeth Westall Wolfe, a member of a

mountain clan memorialized by her son as the "time-devouring" Joyners and Pentlands, and she symbolized for him the protean texture of the South, which was always feminine in his view, "the dark, ruined Helen of his blood" The Westalls were people of some prominence in their region, men and women of medium standing in Asheville and its encirching hills. His mother had been a schoolteacher and a book saleswoman before she became the third wife of William Oliver Wolfe, a native of Pennsylvania. W O Wolfe was a stonecutter by profession, owning his own business, and he was a powerful man of great gusto, vast appe-tites, and a torturing need to assert himself vividly against his drab world. Wolfe's representations of his parents as Eliza and W. O Gant are among his greatest portraits, and their chance meeting and marriage in a southern hill town were central to his view of the "buter mystery" of his life. He opens his first novel, Look Homeward, Angel, with a speculation on "that dark miracle of chance which makes new magic in a dusty world" and symbolizes it through "A destiny that leads . . . from Epsom into Pennsylvania, and thence into the hills that thut in Aliamont" He saw Eugene Gant, the hero of that novel, as "the fusion of two strong egotisms, Eliza's in'ironding and Gant's expanding outwant."

Thomas was the youngest of the Wolfe's eight children, of whom two dard in infanry. During his childhood his mother bought a boardinghouse and moved into it, raking Thomas and his brother Ben with her and leaving W. O Wolfe and their daughter Matel in the old house. (The other two sons and a daughter were no longer living at home.) Wolfe's childhood was spent in a family divided between two home establishments, with junerate boarders as his closest companions, except for his brother Ben, whom he dolized and whose death left upon Thomas' spirit a sear that never healed, Wolfe regarded husself in later life as "God's Londy Man," and he attributed that loneltoes to the excertences of his

childhood. In 1933 he wrote his sister, "I think I learned about being alone when I was a child about eight years old and I think that I have known about it ever since."

He attended public school until he was eleven, then he entered

a small private school operated by Mr and Mrs. J. M. Roberts Wolfe was a bright and perceptive boy, and during the four years he spent at the Roberts' school he was almost totally abouthed in learning. At the age of fifteen—three years alread of his contemporaries—he entered the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, the only one of his family to reach that educational level. At the time he entered it, the university was undergoing the changes that converted it from a lessurely undergraduate liberal arts college fine a university engaged in research and graduate instruction and that made it the focal point of the New South

arts college into a university engaged in research and graduate instruction and that made it the focal point of the New South movement, the center of southern liberalism Once more the south ern boy was caught up in the fabric of change, confronted by the oppositions of the old and the new. In the university Wolfe proved to be a good student and a "big man on the campus," being active in debate, publications, and fraternities, as well as working with the Playmakers. He was graduated at the age of twenty, with an urge to study further and the deure to become a playwight.

Borrowing from his mother against his anticipated share in his father's estate, Wolfe went to Harvard, where he studied for three years, and earned the Master of Arts degree in English literature. But the central interest of his Harvard years was in the "37 Workshop" in drama and the furtherance of his projected career as a playwright. The picture he paints of the Workshop in Of Time and the Riner is a sathic attack on pretension and lifeless aestheticism, although his portrait of Professor Baker as "Professor Hatcher" (in the original notes for the novel he fad called him "Butcher"), while trained with malice, is still drawn with

respect.
Although teachers as eminent as John Livingston Lowes praised
Wolfe's "very distinct ability" as a scholar, he had chosen playwriting for his career, and he vainly tried his formuse predding
his plays in New York City in the fall of 1915 before he accepted

appointment as instructor in English at New York University in

January 1924 Wolfe taught at the university, sattrically repre

several European tours, met and had a violent love affair with

sented as the School of Utility Cultures in Of Time and the River, intermittently until the spring of 1930. During this period he made

Mrs Aline Bernstein, a scene and costume designer seventeen

years his senior and a married woman with two children. She is

bore the stamp of the immersion in literature and poetry which had been a major element of Wolfe's life up to that point, but above all it bore, by his own testimony, the mark of Joyce's Ulysses Discernible in it too were traces of H G. Wells and Sinclair Lewis. When he returned to New York, he continued the writing of the book, while his love affair with Mrs Bernstein waxed and waned and waxed again. Both have left records of the affair, Mrs

Angel had taken by its completion in first draft in March 1918 is a matter of debate but it was certainly great. The manuscript of the book was complete, in any case, when, after a violent quarrel with Mrs Bernstein, Wolfe went again to Europe in July, leaving it with an agent. When he returned to New York in January 1929 it was to find a letter from Maxwell E. Perkins, editor of Charles Scribner's Sons, publishers, expressing an interest in the novel, if it could "be worked into a form publishable by us." Wolfe renewed the affair with Mrs. Bernstein, to whom Look Homeward, Angel is dedicated, and worked desperately to cut and arrange the material of his manuscript into a publishable book. In its original form, Look Homeward, Angel was the detailed and intense record of the ancestry, birth, childhood, adolescence, and youth of Eugene Gant. It began with a ninety page

It was in London in the autumn of 1926 that Wolfe began com-

the "Esther lack" of his later novels. mitting to paper in the form of a huge novel the steadily accelerate ing flood of his childhood memories. The mounting manuscript

Bernstein's influence in disciplining Wolfe's monumental flow of memory, energy, and words into the form which Look Homeward,

108

Bernstein in The Journey Down, an autobiographical novel, and Wolfe in The Web and the Rock The exact measure of Mrs sequence on Eugene's father's life, and it concluded when, after Eugene's graduation from college, he discovers, in an imaginary conversation with the ghost of his brother, that "Fou are your world," and, leaving home, "turns his eyes upon the distant soaring ranges." Perkins initized on the deletion of the historical opening, on the removal of some extraneous material, and on minor rearrangements, but the novel when it was published on Cother 18, 1995, 1995, probably had undergone little more editorial supervision than long manuscripts by exuberant but salented first novelists generally undergo, As it was to work out, Look Homeword, Angel was more unquahifiedly Wolfe's in conception, writing, arrangement, and execution than any other work of long faction that was ever to be published under his name.

Its lytic Intensity and its dramatic power were immediately recorgalized and hailed; even before Sinelar Lewis, in accepting the Nobel Prize In 1930, praised him highly, Wolfe was recognized as a figure to be reckoned with in the literary world. His nature Asheville paid him the tribute of being collectively indignant at the portrait of itself in the novel. A novelituse career of great promise was launched, and Wolfe, who had hungered for fame, suddenly found that he didn't wan it. Not only were the members of his family hurt and the people of Atheville angry, but he also felt the obligation of producing a second work that represented an advance over the first. This proved to be one of the major struggles of his life.

He resigned from New York University, ended the affair with Mrs. Bernstein, and went to Europe for a year on a Coggenheim (Ellowship, When he returned to America, he established himself in an apartment in Brootlyn and took up the lonely vigil with himself and his writing which he describes in The Story of a Novel and portrays in You Can't Go Home Again. Before Look Homeward, Angel was published, he had begun planning the new novel and writing parts of it. During the lonely year in Brooklyn, he struggled in growing desperation to produce the second book. The short novel A Portrait of Bascon Hawke in 1933 shared a short novel context price of \$5000 offered by Serbner's Magazine. An-

other short novel. The Web of Earth, was written and published in Scribner's Magazine during the early Brooklyn years. A reminiscence of her life by Eliz Gant, The Web of Earth is one of list only its most successful pieces of work. Nowhere else does the Joycean influence on Wolfe find as direct and as satisfying an expression as it does here.

The success of these two short no else encouraged Wolfe to continue to work in this form, and between 1932 and 1934 he produced Death the Proud Brother, which was reprinted in From Death to Morning; Boom Town, which appears in greatly modified form in You Cent Go Home Agam; and No Door, Scribner's planned at one time in 1934 to issue No Door as a separate volume, but the short novel was finally broken into two parts and published in Scribner's Magazine as "No Door" and "The House of the Far and Lost." The work was first published in its complete short novel form in 1950 in The Short Noveli of Thomas Wolfe. However, Wolfe's accomplishment in this work was by no means lost, for the themate trincure which he evolved in No Door became the model which he followed in organizing Of Time and the Ruser.

Despite the fact that Wolfe was living almost entirely on the raider sender proceeds from the sale to magazines of his short stories and his short nords, when he was approached by a representative of Metro-Goldwyn Mayer about the possibility of his doing motion picture writing at \$1000 to \$1500 a week, he declined it on the grounds that he had "a lot of books to write."

He was struggling with a vast novel, to be entitled "The October Fair," which would be in at least four volumes and would have a time span from the Cavil War to the present, with hundred of characters and a new protagonise, David Hawke, replacing Eugene Gain. Mawell Pethins was working with him every night and on weekends in an attempt to give the new work an acceptable structure and symmetry. It is difficult to separate in Wolfe's bettern what is defensible pudgment based on lact and what is the frended product of his febrile magination; yet, if his versions are to be trusted even in minor part, Maxwell Pethins had a truly major role to play in the formulation of his second novel It was Perkins, Wolfe said, who suggested what Wolfe took to be the theme of the new novel, "the search for a father." Seemingly it was Perkins who turned him back to Eugene Gant and away from David Hawke; it was Perkins who discouraged his attempts at formulations of his vision of America in other terms than those of the autohiographical "apprenticeship novel," for Wolfe had worked out a number of elaborate schemes for his new novel. And it was Perkins who insisted that Of Time and the River was ready to be published and in 1935 sent it to the printers despite Wolfe's protest.

Of Time and the River was a mammoth book, continuing the chronicle of Eugene Gant's sensibility. It opens as he leaves Altamont for Harvard, follows him there, to New York City where he teaches in the School of Utility Cultures, to Europe, where he begins the writing of a novel and has a frustrating love affair with a girl named Ann, and concludes as he meets Esther on the boat back to America. Look Homeward, Angel, although it had lacked the traditional novelistic structure, had a certain unity through its concentration on a family, a mountain town, and a way of life, In reading it one was caught up in the sharp impressions of youth and somehow rushed along to that moment of self-realization with which it ended. Of Time and the River had less plot, more introspection, less structural cohesion, more rhetoric. Large segments of the book exist without thematic or plot relevance; some of the best scenes and most effective portraits seem to be dramatic intrusions; and it is only when one knows the rest of the story as it is revealed in The Web and the Rock that one is able to appreciate the climactic significance of the meeting with Esther with which the book closes.

That these events have meaning for Wolfe beyond their merely personal expression—indeed, that Eugenc Gant 11 in an undefined way the generic Everyman of Whitman's poems or the racial
hero of the national epic—one senses from the amount of rhetorical extrapolation by which the hero becomes one with the world,
his experiences one with the national experience. Sometimes the

rhetoric is wonderfully handled, Indeed, Of Time and the River is unusually rich in Wolfe's "poeue passages," but the organization of the materials of the story so that they speak a national myth through self-sufficient action is not attempted with any consistency in the book

It was greeted with mixed reactions Many hailed it at a fulfillment of the earnest given by Look Homeward, Angel; but its formlessness, it lake of turry, and its rhapsolic extravagance were also inescapable, and the really serious critical questions which have been debated about Wolfes work ever since were first cliently expressed about this novel. These questions are whether it is legitimate in fiction to substitute autobiography and reporting for creation, whether ritherized assertion, however poetic, can be an acceptable substitute for dramatic representation; whether immediatey can ever be properly bought at the expense of aeithetic distance, and, newtably, what constitutes form.

In the fall of 1935 a group of stories and sketches originally written as parts of the novel but published in periodicals and excluded from the completed work was assembled and published under the tutle From Death to Morning. This volume, which was attacked by the critica when it appeared and which sold poorly, has never received the attention is deserves. The stories reprinted in it are extremely uneven in quality, but they show Wolfe as a serious experimenter in faction. His mastery of the short and middle forms of faction is demonstrated here in such works as Death the Froud Brother, "Only the Dead Know Brooklyn." "In the Park," and The Web of Earth. That the book would take a critical pounding Wolfe knew, but he said," I believe that as good writing as I have ever done is in this book." The judgment is startungly accurate.

tingly accurate. Yet if this volume demonstrated a technical virtuosity with which Wolfe is seldom credited, it also showed through its characters and incidents the essential unity and hence the basic autobiographical tendency in his total work. When, in 1926, Wolfe published a httle essay in criticism, The Story of a Nowel, originally a lecture given at a witers' conference at Boulder, Colorado.

this record of how he wrote Of Time and the River, told with humility and straightforward honesty, seemed to many critics to prove that he simply was not a novelist, in two long novels and a volume of short stories, Wolfe had written out of his direct experi ence, seemingly without a sense of form, and under the direction of the editors at Scribner's More than one critic found this situation less than admirable. Robert Penn Warren summed up the case: ". . . despite his admirable energies and his powerful literary endowments, his work illustrates once more the limitations, perhaps the necessary limitations, of an attempt to exploit directly and naïvely the personal experience and the self-defined personality in art." And Bernard De Voto, in a savage attack, declared Wolfe to possess great narrative and dramatic talents but to be unable to realize them in novelistic form; he was guilty of leaving coexisting with true fictional materials too much "placental" matter "which nature and most novelists discard." De Voto also charged that Wolfe's novels were put together by "Mr. Perkins and the assembly-line at Scribner's." That Wolfe was a genius he conceded, but he added that "genius is not enough."

The De Voto article burt Wolfe deeply In 1936 a desire to prove De Voto wrong (perhaps heightened by an unconscious wavareness that in certain respect as least he was right) joined with many other factors to make Wolfe wish to change his publeber. Among the reasons were a dispute with Perham about Wolfe's representation of Senbner's people in a story, a disagreement over the cost of corrections in Of Time and the River, a group of libel suits which Senbner's wanted to settle out of court, and, most important of all, Wolfe's awareness that his activates were mecompatible with those of Perkins and that he wanted to go in directions in which Perkins did not wish him to travel. The long and agonuting break with Senbner's, begun in mid-1936, was faulty effected in 1937, when Wolfe formed a publishing arrangement with Harper and Brothers, with Edward C. Aswell to act as husefilior.

He spent the summer of 1937 working in a cabin in the North Carolina mountains and was happy to find that he was received by his people with pride and pleasure, that they had forgiven him, but he also learned from the experience that "you can't go home again," an idea that loomed large in his thinking and which symbolized for him the fact that we move onward not backward the was working hard, with the frenzed expenditure of energy of which he was capable, getting material ready to show Aswell as the beginning of a book. At that time he was again projecting a story of great magnitude in at least four volumes, and he was seeking forms and structures through which it could be made into a mythic record of "an innocent man's discovery of life and the world" At one time the book was to be called "The Vision of Spangler's Paul," with the subutle "The Story of His Birth, His Life, His Going To and Fro in the Earth, His Walking Up and Down in It. His Vision Also of the Lost, the Never-Found, the Ever Here America " At another time he changed his protagonist's name to Doaks, in an effort to symbolize his typical nature, and wrote "The Doaksology," a history of his family Finally, he selected George Webber as his protagonist - a character physically very much like the David Hawke whom he had wished to make the hero of Of Time and the River - and wrote of him: "The protagonist becomes significant not as the tragic victim of circum-stances, the romantic hero in conflict and revolt against his environment, but as a kind of polar instrument round which the events of life are grouped, by means of which they are touched, explained, and apprehended, by means of which they are seen and ordered."

In May 1938 he delivered a great mass of manuscript, perhaps a million words, to Aswell. It represented an ordering of the materials on which he was working, but not a book ready for the press. He himself estimated that more than a year's work remained to be done before the first volume of the new work would be ready. Then he left on a tour of the West which ended with his serious silness from pneumonia in Vancouver, followed by a worsening of his condition in Seattle, and the discovery, after he had been moved to the Johns Hopkins hospital in Baltimore, that the pneumonia had released old seade-off tuberculous bacteria in his lungs

and that these bacteria had gone to his brain. Ou September 15, 1938, eighteen days before his thirty-eighth birthday, he died.

Edward Aswell extracted the materials for three books from the mountain of manuscript which Wolfe left. The first, The 19eb and the Rock (1939), is apparently in a form not 100 different from that which Wolfe liad planned, although the last 400 pages of it are still in the earlier and more extravagant style of Of Time and the River, rather than the sparser and more controlled style of the opening sections. The new protagonus, George Webber, is surprisingly like Eugene Gant, although his physical characteristics and his family life have changed. The early sections of the book take him through childhood, to college, and then to New York City, There he meets Either Jack and the novel becomes the record of a tempestuous lose affair Then Webber goes to Germany, is badly beaten in a tiot at a festival, the Oktoberjest, in Munich, and, through a monologue between his body and liss soul. Webber understands that he must turn from his immersion in himself and his past. "He knew and accepted now its limita tions" and ". . . looked calmly and sanely forth upon the earth for the first time in ten years" The 1Veb and the Rock is a flawed and very imperfect book, seeming to be the forced union of two inharmonious parts. Yet it is much more nearly a novel than Of Time and the River, and in the early parts, prepared for publication during the last year of Wolfe's life, it shows a groping toward the control of material and a desire to represent dramatically rather than to assert rhetorically. Wolfe was still grappling with the problem of novelistic form and language, and grappling with at least limited success.

The second of the books which Aswell assembled is muth less a novel than The Web and the Rock. You Can't Go Home Again (1994) is a bringing together in a narrative frame of large units of material which Wolfe had completed but only partially arranged at the time of his death. It continues the xory of George Webber, but in it what Wolfe meant when he said that the prougonist was to be a "Kind of polar instrument, round which the events of life are grouped," becomes clearer. The book—it is hardly a novel

at all—has the very loose narrative structure of George Webber's life he returns from Europe, writes his book, goes to Libya Hill (Ashwellie) for his aun'ts funcard, travels in Europe, see the emptiness of fame in the person of Lloyd McHarg (Sinclair Levil), travels in Germany and comprehends the horror of the Nati et gime, and writes a long letter setting forth his credo. Yet what gives the book vitality is not George and his expenences—although those dealing with the pubblication and reception of his novel Look to the Mountains are extremely interesting to the Wolfe student—but the view of life which is seen through George. Mr. Katamoto, Mr. Jack and the party at his bouse, Jindge Bland and the satisficture of the moral and material collapse of Libya Hill, Daily Petrut, Lloyd McHarg, Foxhall Edwards and his family, Mr. G. Green, who jumps from the twelfth story of the Admiral Franchis Drake Hotel, the frightened hittle Jew on the train out of Germany—it is in such materials as these that the dramatic strength of the hook resides

You Cen't Go Home, Again is freer than his other books of the hapsodic assertion that so often replaces dramatic statement. Those who have found Wolfe's strength in his ability to deplet character and to invers scenes with life and movement are likely to find in You Cen't Go Home Agam both his best writing and a discernible promise of greater work and greater control to come. On the other hand, those who see Wolfe's strength to be peculiarly his power with words are likely to feel that the dramatic and narrative success of You Cen't Go Home Again was bought at the price of his most distinctive qualities. As a novel it is the least satisfactory of his works, yet in its page; are to be seen, dishly and alar off it is true, the laint outline of what he was striving for in his yest and unrealized allows for the "like hook."

his vait and unrealized plans for the "big book."

The third volume that Awell mined from the manuscript was
The Hill Beyond (1941), a Collection of Iragments and akether.
A lew of the stories were published in magazines after 1955, but
most of them were previously impublished units of the manuscript.
Two distinguished short stories are here. "The Lost Boy" and
Cikichamagia," together with a 180page fragment, "The Hills

Beyond," which is a narrative of the Joyners and would have been the early introductory material to the big book. "The Hills Bethe hond" parallels, in subject matter, material which Wolfe tried to introduce at the beginning of each of his major stories. In this fragment Wolfe's efforts at being an objective novelust have more immediately apparent success than they do elsewhere, and he seems to be moving much more toward the realism of the southern fronter and away from the comanticism of his early career Valuable though it is to have as many of the self-contained fragments of the Wolfe manuscripts as we can get, The Hills Beyond adds very little to Wolfe's stature as a novelist.

In 1948 Mannerhoure, one of the plays which Wolfe had tried very hard to peddle to professional producers but without success, was published from the manuscript. An abbreviated version of his ten-scene play Welcome to Our City was published in Equire Magazine in 1957. Both are documents purely of historical importance. With the publication of The Hills Beyond most of Wolfe's significant work was in print, and, incomplete though it to as a record of his vait and ambituous project, it is all that remains of his efforts to formulate in fiction a vision of himself and his world. The manuscripts out of which Awell quarred the last three books are now in the William B. Wisdom Collection at Harvard, They contain many scenes, characters, and sections that have never been published, but the unpublished materials will probably have to await a completely new editing of the total manuscripts tofore they will find an addience.

Wolfe's career, like his works, became a matter of debate before his death; and his untimely demise, when seemingly the world was all before him and his prodigious talent was till groping toward an adequate mode of expression, increased the debate without giving appreciable weight to any of the answers. He remains, despite his thirty-seven years, a "golden boy" cut off in the moment of the flowering of his talent, and the issue of whether lie had already done all that he was capable of and was, therefore, saved by death from tasting the frusts of a certain diminution of

power or whether a major talent went unrealized through the cruel accident of time will remain as unresolved with him as it has been with all the other "golden boys" who tasted too early "the bitter briefness of our days."

The remark of William Faulkner, "I rated Wolfe first (among modern American writers) because we had all failed but Wolfe had made the best failure because he had treel hardest to say the most," is a peculiarly unsansfying and unrewarding comment which merely restates the question, although his added remark. "He may have had the best talent of us, he may have been the greatest American writer if he had heed longer, though I have never held much with the 'muie inglosious Milton' theory," helps a little.

abroad." In 1930 he wrote Perkins: "It believe I am at last begin uning to have a proper use of a writef's material for it seems to me he ought to see in what has happened to him the elements of the universal experience". He wrote John Hall Wheelock, another editor at Scribner's, enthusiatically about a section of "The Ottober Pair" which he had just completed: "In Antaeux, in a dozen short scene, todd in their own language, we see people of all sorts constantly in movement, going somewhere." But in the same let re he also say. "God knows what Masxell Perkins will say when he sees it." He was always toying with ideas like his largely unwrite.

ten "The Hound of Darkness," of which he said, "It will be a great tone symphony of might - railway yards, engines, freights, deserts, a clopping hoof, etc. - seen not by a definite personality, but haunted throughout by a consciousness of personality."

After Look Homeward, Angel, he wanted to abandon Eugene Gant for a less autobiographical protagonist, David Hawke, and to write his next novel in the first person—apparently realizing that a first person narrator is less in the forefront of a story and is more a transmitting vehicle than the third-person protagonist. But during the years of agonized labor by himself and with Perkins most of these plans went by the wayside. Maxwell Perkins believed that Wolfe's second novel should continue the story of Eugene Gant and should center itself exclusively in Gant's consciousness. Perkins arote, "The principle that I was working on was that this book, too [as Look Homeward, Angel had], got its form through the senses of Eugene," and he told how he objected to scenes in the novel that were not recorded through Eugene's perceptions; he tried, for example, to exclude the episodes about Gant's death - one of the most memorable sequences that Wolfe ever wrote. The struggle by which Of Time and the River achieved publication over Wolfe's protest is well known; but the depth of Wolfe's dissatisfaction with the book became clear only with the publication of the Letters in 1956. When Of Time and the River appeared, he wrote Perkins, ". . . as I told you many times, I did not care whether the final length of the book was 300, 500, 1000 pages, so long as I had realized completely and finally my full intention - and that was not realized. I still sweat with anguishwith a sense of irremediable loss - at the thought of what another six months would have done to that book -how much more whole and perfect it would have been. Then there would have been no criticism of its episodie character—for, by God, in purpose and in spirit, that book was not episodic, but a living whole and I could have made it so."

There is certainly the possibility that Wolfe was too completely lost in the delage of his own memories and words to form them into an intelligent large whole in the years between 1950 and 1935—although his most distinguished short and middlo length fiction was done in this period—and the sometimes violent midwifery of Perkins may have been essential to getting anything
publishable from the laboring author. On the other hand, when
one examines the first 500 pages of The Web and the Rock and
crealls that it is Wolfe's own work done without editorial assistance or thinks of the power and directness of the first two books
of You Can't Go Home Agam, it is difficult not to wish that Wolfe
had been free to try.

To the imponderable if s which haunt the mind in the case of an artist too youthfully dead must be added in Wolfe's case this one what might his career have been if he had struggled through toward the realization of form without the assistance of Perkins's Certainly it Wolfe had written Of Time and the Ruter without Perkins' aid, it would have been a radically different book and possibly a much better one. But he did not, and to the fact remains that only as the lyric recorder of his youth was Wolfe truly successful in the longer factional forms. His great vision of being the critic of his society and the definer of his nation can be seen in fragments but its large outline is shadowy and incomplete. It is for this reason that the central problem concerning Wolfe

It is for this reason that the central problems concerning Wolfe as a writer are as intimately tied up in his personality and his career as they are in his work. Louis D Rubin, Jr, in an excellent critical study of Wolfe, has asked that the autobiographical quality of the novels be accepted as clear fact and they then be examined as novels, as works of art. When this is done—and Mr. Rubin does it with great skill—Look Homeward, Angel emerges as Wolfe's only saturfactory full length novel, and in his other book-length works one almost has the feeling of an expense of talent in a waste of formlessness Perhaps such a conclusion is propercertainly it is the one reached by many of the best and most rigorous of American critica—but it leaves unouthed the question of Wolfe's power and the continuing and mounting success which has with readers.

Wolfe's failure to write his own books as he wanted them written cannot ultimately be laid at any door other than his ownThe causes of this failure are complex: they include his own lack of security (his extreme sensitivity to reviews shows that such a lack was there), his desire to achieve publication at whatever cost (there is evidence of this quality in his letters), and a deep-seated affection for Perkins and gratitude to him. As William Faulkner once declared, "The writer's only responsibility is to his art. He will be completely ruthless if he is a good one . . . If a writer has to rob his mother, he will not hesitate." Paradoxically, Thomas Wolfe devoted his life and his energies to the creation of art with a single-mindedness not surpassed in this century - he is almost archetypally the "dedicated writer" - and yet he lacked that ultimate ruthlessness of which Faulkner speaks. For a writer whose talent is of the magnitude of Wolfe's and whose plans have the scope and importance that his do, such a failing cannot be easily brushed aside. On this point he was highly culpable - he did not make the longer forms of fiction, at whatever cost, the adequate vehicles of his vision and his talent; he did not subject his ego to the discipline of his own creative imagination - and the price he has paid for the failure has been very great indeed. It is the price of being a writer of inspired fragments and of only one satisfying larger work and that an imperfect one.

Here the oppositions in Wolfe reach a crucial test. He seemed always to feel that when the contrasting opposities were defined the synthesis would result automatically; he was always stating a thing and its opposite and allowing the "marade" of their coexistence to stand. Here in his own work the fact of his great talent and the fact of his ambitious projects were never submitted to the discipline which would have made a synthesis of them; they were allowed to coexist, without scripts effort as a training.

This aspect of Wolfe's work points to its essential romanticism, to the extent to which it is imbedded in the doctrine of self-expression and self-realization. Whitman once wrote: "Leaves of Grass"

... has mainly been the outcropping of my own emotional and other personal nature—an attempt, from first to last, to put a Person ... freely, fully and truly on record." This is basically

Wolfe's accomplishment, although he was clearly striving toward something else in the last seven years of his life.

Wolfe's work is not, therefore, of primary value as a group of novels, or even in terms of his shadowy larger plan. His total work stands, as do so many other monuments of romantic art, as a group of iragments imperfectly bodying forth a seemingly inefable commerciation in terms of the self of the artist. Although it contains large areas of poor and even had writing, scenes that do not come off or that hear no relevance to what has gone before, and rhapposites that fall utterfy to committee, it also contains some of the best writing done by an American this century, and it merits our thoughful examination.

The most obvious of Wolfe's strengths is his ability with language. The word has for him unique powers; he was fascinsted by language, enchanted with rhythms and cadencts, enamored of rhetorical devices. Language was the key he sought to unlock mysteries and to unloce wast forces; he approached it almost in the spirit of primitive magic. This supert of language he expressed in the paragraph princed as a prologue to The Web and the Roest! Could I make tongue usy more than tongue could utter! Could I make brain grasp more than brain could think! Could I yeake the summer of the most of the words, pluck out of unlaten depths the roots of living, some hundred thousand magic words that were as great as all my hunger, and hurl the sum of all my hing out upon three hundred pages—then death could take my hie, for I had lived it ere he took it: I had slain hunger, beaten death!"

Another aspect of his effective use of language is his accurate and vivid dialogue. Wolfe had a remarkable ear for folk speech, and his people speak personal dialects set down with great verisimilitude. His characters sometimes seem to talk forever, but their speech is always marked by distinctuveness in diction, syntax, and cadence. Accuracy, honever, is a less obvious quality of their speech than gusto and vigor are. There is a feeling of great energy in the speech of most of them. The clearest example of Wolfe's

mustery of the spoken language is to be seen in The Web of Earth but it is apparent in almost everything that he wrote

He declared that he sought a Luguage, an articulation "I be line with all my heart, also, that each man for himself and in his own way, each man who ever hopes to make a living thing out of the substances of his one life, must find that way, that language, and that door — must find it for himself." He sought this language, this tool of communication, not only in the rolling periods of rhetoric but also in the sensious image drawn from the 'world's body," which is a distinctive aspect of the language of lyric and dramatic writing. And here, in the concrete and particularized representation of the sensory world, he was triumphantly the master. It is Wolfe's ability to evoke the world's body which is responsible for the sense of total reality which his work produces in the young and impressionable, and it is this seeming immetsion in the sensors which makes him sometimes appear to be more the poet of the senses than of sense.

This concern with language, one so great that he might have said of his total work, as Whitman did of Leaves of Grass, that it was "only a language experiment," is the logical expression of one of Wolfe's major themes, the Ioneliness at the core of all human experience. He saw each individual in the world as living in a compartment in isolation from his fellows and unable to communicate adequately with them. It is this tragedy of loneliness that is at the heart of Eugene Gant's experience and makes Look Homeward, Angel a book which can appropriately hear the subutle "A Story of the Buried Life." The desire to break down the walls keeping him from communion with others is at least a part of "man's hunger in his youth," in Of Time and the River. The need Wolfe's characters have for a language with which to breach the isolating walls is very great. In a scene in Of Time and the River, Helen, Eugene Gant's sister, is lying awake in the darkness: "And suddenly, with a feeling of terrible revelation, she saw the strangeness and mystery of man's hie; she felt about her in the darkness the presence of ten thousand people, each lying in his bed, naked and alone, united at the heart of night and

darkness, and listening, as the, to the sounds of silence and of sleep . . . And it seemed to her that if men would only listen in the darkness, and send the language of their naked lonely sprils across the silence of the night, all of the error, falceness and contain of their lives would ansuish, they would no longer be strangers, and each would find the life he sought and never yet had found." There are few lonelier people in faction than IV. O and Eliza Gant. Each is lost in an envelope of private experience and each tres vainly to express himself – W. O. through rhetoric, invective, alcohol, and lust, Eliza through garrulity, money, and real strate. The terrible incompatibility in which they live reaches its almost shocking climax when, in the last moments of Gan't life, they finally speak across the void to each other, and Gant's expression of kindness disolvee Eliza into tears.

Wolfe described the controlling theme of all his books as "the search for a father"-the theme he said he consciously made central in Of Time and the River at Perkins' suggestion. Perkins had intended merely to suggest a type of plot, but Wolfe took the suggestion as a statement of philosophical theme, and he defined that search as a search for certainty, an "image of strength and wisdom external to his [man's] need and superior to his hunger." In one sense, this search is the seeking for an individual with whom communication can be established and maintained. The search grows out of Eugene's loneliness an his childhood and the sense of isolation which he has in his world. It is intensified by his inability to communicate his love to his brother Ben, In his later hie, whether for Gant or lor George Webber, it finds expression in the relationships established and broken with Francis Starwick, Esther Jack, and Foxhall Edwards, to name only the major figures. About all these relationships there is a recurrent pattern: the new person is approached with eagerness; an intense relationship is established; then a failure of communication and understanding occurs; and Gant Webber rejects the friendship The affair with Esther Jack is, perhaps, the cleatest example of this pattern. It is debatable whether the idea of the search for the father, with its suggestion of myth and of fable, defines as well

as does the representation of loneliness the fundamental theme of Thomas Wolfe, whether that loneliness be described at the search for "a stone, a leaf, an unfound door," as the urge to wandering and the counter tug of home (so well articulated in The Web of Earth and parts of Of Time and the River), or as the desire sicariously to be one with and to understand "ten thousand men" in the cities, the towns, and the hamlet of America.

'Here Wolfe's concern with oppositions takes on its tragic overtone. The essentially contradictory aspect of life creates barriers of race, of place, of heritage, of language, and as he portrays these barriers, he tries to lead us to say at the end of the Gant Webber chronicle, as he says at its beginning: "Naked and alone we came into exile. In her dark womb we did not know our mother's face: from the prison of her flesh have we come into the unspeakable and incommunicable prison of this earth." Thus, as Wolfe sees it, all human experience seeks the "great forgotten language, the lost lane-end into heaven." Certainly, as several critics have pointed out, there are Wordsworthian suggestions here. Out of some transcendent glory of childhood, we gradually are hemmed in by the growing prison house of the world, the luster and glory of life are gradually tarnished, and we are forced further away from communion. But there are also suggestions of a book which Wolfe knew and praised and whose formlessness he defended, Laurence Sterne's Tristram Shandy, Sterne's novel is concerned with the education of the young through the impact of the world outside upon the young mind. It is told through the memories in maturity of Tristram, and it is the associational pattern of those memories which determines the form of the book. At the core of Tristram Shandy is the tragedy of isolation. W. O. Gant has in one sense a recognizable ancestor in "My Father" Walter Shandy, who sought in vain for a word to communicate with wife and brother. Lonellness, memory, and time are intertwined in the sad comedy of the Shandean world, And so they are in Wolfe's.

onandean world. And so they are in Woites.

For shille the Wolfean character cannot find a language through
which to speak, cannot break through "the incommunicable prison of this earth," he is the sictim of more than alence and the

lack of a language—he is also the victim of time. And the entity time is for Wolfe the great factor in life and in his books and the only really serious philosophical concept which he uses in his fiction One of the structural problems with which he grappled seriously throughout his novelistic career was the finding of a means by which to represent adequately his views of time, which he saw as threefold

The first and most obvious element of time, he believed, is that of simple chronology, the element that carries a narrative forward; this may be called "clock time" The second element is past time, the "accumulated impact of man's experience so that each moment of their lives was conditioned not only by what they ex-perienced in that moment, but by all that they had experienced up to that moment." This past time exists in the present princi-pally through the action of the memory, being triggered by a concrete sensory impression which in some way recalls the past. concrete sensory impression which in some way recalls the pea-thwever, as Margaret Churth has pointed out, memory in Wolfe merely recalls this past, it does not re-create it or actually assert its continued existence, as Bergson's and Proust's theories of time tend to do. All this action – the present and the recollections of the past in the present – takes place against what Wolfe calls "time immutable, the time of rivers, mountains, oceans, and the earth; a kind of eternal and unchanging universe of time against which would be projected the transience of man's life, the bitter briefness of his day." It is this mexorable forward flow of time. pictured as a river or more often as a train, which constantly carpictured as a river or more often as a train, which constantly ex-rise sam away from his golden youth, which is "lota and far" and can exist again only in memory. It is Wolfe's repeated representa-tion of his protagonist as a narrator reporting his present emotion as he remembers the past in seminous detail which, at least in part, creases the nostalgic quality of his writing Wolfe's problem was the picturing of scenes so that an aware-ness of these three elements of time was created. In a given itiua-

tion a man caught in his particular instant in time has it enriched and rendered more meaningful as the past impinges upon him through memory, and he gets thereby a sense of the absolute time within which his days are painfully brief. Wolfe gives this concept fictional expression in his four-part story "The Lost Boy." In the first part, a boy, Grover, passes an initiation point in life, as his father intercedes for him with a candy store keeper. "This is Time," thought Grover. Here is the Square, here is my father's shop, and here am I." The second part is the mother's reminiscence years later about Grover on a train trip to the St. Louis Fair, Her monologue ends, "It was so long ago, but when I think of it, it all comes back . . . I can sull see Grover just the way he was, the way he looked that morning when we went down through Indiana, by the river, to the Fair." The third part is a monologue by the sister, recounting Gcover's death. It ends, "It all comes back as if it happened yesterday. And then it goes away again, and seems farther off and stranger than if it happened in a dream." In the fourth part, the brother, who was a very small boy when Grover died, goes to the house in St. Louis where it happened and tries by the use of memory to bring back the "lost boy." This section ends: "And out of the enchanted wood, that thicket of man's memory, Eugene knew that the dark eye and the quiet face of his friend and brother - poor child, life's stranger, and life's exile, lost like all of us, a cipher in blind mazes, long ago - the lost boy was gone forever, and would not return." The ultimate meaning of the statement "You can't go home again," which Wolfe used over and over in the last year of his life, is to be found here. "Home" is a symbol of the past, of what has been lost; for the holder of a romantic view of childhood, it is a peculiarly effective and revealing symbol. None of us, it says, can return to the lost childhood, the lost community, the fading glory, for time carries us inexorably away. We can't go home again.

In Wolle's work this vision of time is always associated with the sense of being alone, of being isolated. In Of Time and the River he tries to enumerate the concrete memories which taken together make up the remembered past for America, and then he says: "But this was the reason why these things could never be forgotten—because we are so lost, so maked and so lonely in America. Immense and cruel skies bend over us, and all of us are driven

on forever and we have no home. Therefore, it is not the slow, the punctual sanded drip of the unnumbered days that we remember best, the ash of time, nor is it the huge monotone of the lost years, the unswerving schedules of the loss life and the well known faces, that we remember best. It is a face seen once and lost for ever in a crowd, an eye that looked, a face that smiled and vanished on a passing train " And a little later, he describes the way in which the past almost forcefully entered the present for him: ". . . always when that lost world would come back, it came at once, like a sword thrust through the entrails, in all its panoply of past time, living, whole, and magic as it had always been." It is like a sword because it cuts sharply and deeply and hurts very much. Perhaps the one emotion which Wolfe describes most effectively is this pain from which comes the sudden hunger for a lost and almost forgotten aspect of life, for "the apple tree, the singing, and the gold." Wolfe succeeds in giving us this sense of the onward rush of time and the death of the morning's gold, an the onward rush of time and the death of the morning's gold, an awareness of the price that is paid before the "years of philosophic calm" can come. Since this feeling is very much a part of youth and it is pain and Weltechmerz, its inarticulate melancholy, he speaks with peculiar authority to the very young and to those older chiefly through their memories of having been very young-Wolfe did not henoure about these concepts of time, or, eventy in passing, discuss them. He probably did not know the works of Protust at all well, despite the degree to which the sense impressions in the present restored the lost pass for both of them. Kann Phines has considered with a sense of the sense o

Wolfe did not theorize about these concepts of time, or, except in passing, discuss them. He probably did not know the works of Proust at all well, despite the degree to which the sense impressions in the present restored the lost past for both of them. Kam Pfister has suggested that Wolfe's time theories may one something to those of Bergson, to whom Proust was also a debtor. As a novelist Wolfe seemingly was fascinated by the mystery right than the metaphysics of time. In The 19th and the Rock he wrote: "Time is a falsh and mystery... it broods ored the images of earth... Time is collected in great clocks and hung in towers... and each man has his own, a different time." The river and the ocean he used as large symbols for "time in-

mutable," yet his clearest figure for the ceaseless motion and the inexorable passage of time is the train. No American in the past

filty years has been more the poet of trains. Their rushing across the face of the earth, the glimpses of life to be seen flashing part their speeding windows, the nostalgue and lonely wail of their whistles in the night, even their sounds echoing in depots, which in Of Time and the River he imagines to be the very sounds of time itself—all these characteristics Wolfe associates with loneliness and movement and the sad passage of time.

Yet in one sense Wolfe's characters transcend his themes. The paradox here is a very great one: Wolfe, who asserted that no man could know his brother, described his fellowmen with deep understanding; Wolfe, whose subject seemed always to be himself, whose charactets are drawn in large measure from real life rather than imagined, and who presented his world chiefly through the consciousness of an autobiographical hero, created a group of characters so fully realized that they live with great vigor in the reader's mind. Look Homeward, Angel is perhaps the most autobiographical novel ever written by an American, yet the protagonist, Eugene Gant, is a much less vivid person than the members of his family. It is W. O. Gant, Eliza, Helen, and brother Ben who glow with life and absorb our imaginations. Eugene himself is more a "web of sensibility" and a communicating vehicle than a person, or perhaps it is that he seems to us more nearly ourselves and less someone whom we are observing. In Of Time and the River the Gant family, Bascom Pentland, Francis Starwick, Abraham Jones, and Ann are more convincingly persons than the hero is. In The Web and the Rock, there is less centering in the consciousness of the protagonist and George Webber exists more as an individual than Eugene does. The result is that the other characters of this novel and You Can't Go Home Again are seen in relation to the protagonist rather than through him. Yet in these books too Wolfe's gift for creating believable people of unbelievable gusto is very impressive. Certainly among all his memorable creations Esther Jack, Dick Prosser, Nebraska Crane, Judge Rumford Bland, and Foxhall Edwards would stand high.

Wolle's concentration upon people of excessive vigor may be the result of his vitalism, his worship of life as a pervasive force and

what he and Perkins regarded as a "Marxist" interpretation of the social scene in Of Time end the River, although Perkins dis sudded him from doing it. The egalitarianism and the essentially middle-class economic radicalism of his native region reasserted themselves in his thinking during this period, and in You Gan's Go Home Again they find expression. A sense of primary social injustice in the world is an operative force in Book II, "The World That Jack Built," which was originally published as the short novel The Party at Jack's and which contrasts the world of the very wealthy with that of the laboring classes that serve it, in the section "The Hollow Men," dealing with the suicide of C. Green and asserting the primary worth of the individual in a society that would reduce him to a mere statistic, in Book IV, "I

Have a Thing to Tell You," also originally a short novel, with its angry picture of Nazi Germany; and in the revised segment from the short novel "Boom Town," with its satiric pictures of Libya Hill in the grip of the real estate boom and in the disaster of the crash, where ignoble motives of little men play destructively

upon the common greed of their fellow citizens. One of the repeated charges that Wolfe made against Perkins was that be was a "conservative," whereas Wolfe had become what he called a "revolutionary." Yet his social thinking is lacking in depth and significance, Pamela Hansford Johnson is probably too harsh when she says, "His is a young man's socialism, hased on the generous rage, the infuriated baffled pity; like the majority of young, middle-class intellectuals, he looked for 'the people' in the dosshouse and upon the beaches of the midnight parks." But, as E. B. Burgum has noted, . . . he was so constituted that he must fight alone." In that aloneness he was unable to act as a part of any coordinated social scheme. The future of America which he asserts at the conclusion of You Can't Go Home Again is really an act of faith - and of a faith still hased on the spiritual as opposed to the material, on the reawakening of "our own democracy" within us. Here, as a social critic, he again reminds us most of Whitman. For Whitman in Democratic Vistas saw with mounting

alarm the pattern that his nation was following and opposed it

to the expanding realization of the self, of "Personalism," which it was the poet's program to advance. This is a defensible and even an admirable position, but the work of those who hold it can seldom bear the logical scruttiny of those who exposite specific so-tial programs. As contrasted with Maxwell Perkins, Wolfe projectly regarded himself as a "revolutionary," yet he remained the most persuasive advocate of an enlightened middle-class democracy that America has produced this century.

ray that America has produced this century.

It was inextiable that the centrality of loneliness and separateness in Wolfe's experience and his writing, coupled with the social problems and the human suffering of the years of his active career, should have fosiered in him a sense of evil in the world and have given a trage quality to his writing. His very method of oppositions would lead him to a Manichaean cosmic view. Furthermore, he was a product of a region steeped in defeat, suffering, and the acceptance of an anthiftshable inevitability, As C. Vann Woodward has stated it, "Nothing about full history is conductive to the thory that the South was the darling of divine providence" Something of this attende—which, in Wolfe, E. is Burgum maccurately called "reconclusions with despalf" as part of the heritage of all southerners, even in the theral area of the South was the active in which Wolfe tree win.

of the South such as the one in which Wolfe grew up.
Wolfe wrote of the shock he experienced in Brooklyn during
the depression at the "black pieture of man's inhumanity to his
fellow man . . . of suffering, violence, oppression, hunger, cold,
and fith and poverty," and added, "And from it all, there has
come the finial depost, a burning memory, a certain evidence of
the fortitude of man, his abluty to suffer and somehow to nurve."
Lonelmess and suffering and pain and death — these are the things
which man—frail, weak, hauntingly mortal—can expect. Yet
man, for Wolfe, is also a noble creasure. The depair of the literary naturalist, so common in America in the twentieth century,
is not a part of his thinking, la too obvious extension of speeche
by Hamlet and Jaques, in the twenty-eventh chapter of Yea
Can't Go Home Again, Wolfe attempts to answer the question
"What is man?" and in his answer states as clearly as he was ever

to do the basic contradiction and the tragic magnitude of the carthly experience. Man is "a foul, wretched, abominable creature . . . it is impossible to say the worst of him . . . this travesty of waste and sterile breath." Yet his accomplishments are magnificent. The individual, viewed as physical animal, is a "firal and petty thing who lives his days and dies like all the other animals and is forgotten. And yet, he is immortal, too, for both the good and the evil that he does live after him." In the teering, uneven pages of Wolfe's work this vision of man possessed of tragic grandeur—essentially the vision of the suncecenth-century Romanne—is presented with great intensity.

Wolfe believed that the American experience demanded a new art form and a new language for the expression of this view, however. Like Whitman, he invited the Muse to "migrate from Greece and Ionia." and

Making directly for this rendervous, vigomusly clearing a path for herself, striding through the confusion, By thud of machinery and shrill steam whistle

undismay'd, Bluff'd not a bit by drain-pipe, gasometers, artificial fertilizers.

Smiling and pleas'd with palpable intent to stay, She's here, install'd amid the kitchen watel

Wolfe wroter "... in the cultures of Europe and of the Orient the American artist can find no antecedent scheme, no structural plan, no body of tradition that can gwe his own work the validity and truth that it must have. It is not merely that he must make somehow a new tradition for himself, derived from his own life and from the enormous space and energy of American life ... it is even more than this, that the labor of a complete and whole articulation, the discovery of an entire universe and of a complete language, is the task that lies before him."

In his attempt to accomplish that task Wolfe strove with unceasing diligence. That he failed to realize the full structural plan of his work in the years in which he lived is obvious; that he made no whole articulation of the space and energy of American life is obvious, that he failed to formulate a completely adequate larguage for the unger of America in fiction is also obvious. What he might have done and even why he did not accomplish more of it become finally unanswerable questions, they tease the mind without enlightening it. We must ultimately accept or reject what he did accomplish

Wolfe's kind of imagination and his artistic attitudes and methods equipped him well for the depiction of character and the portrayal of action in self contained but violated sequences. He seems to have functioned most naturally and best when he was depicting his recollections of individual people and specific actions, when he was making the effort which F. Scott Fittgerald praised in him and called "the attempt". To recopture the exact feel of a moment in time and space, exemplified by people rather than by hings: a mattern at a mature memory of a deep excentence."

moment in time and space, exemplified by people rather than oy things. a nattempt at a matter memory of a deep experience." Hence he showed a control and an objectivity in his short sortes and his short novels that effectively belier the charge of formleanners. Yet his desure to find a new "structural plan" and as a kind of national epicemaker to create the "complete and whole articulation" of America led him to fragment these effective short. fictions and use them as portions of the record of the total experience by which his Whitmanesque narrator knows and expresses his native land He never succeeded completely in this effort, and the result is that the parts of his books are often better than the une result is that the parts of his books are often detert than wholes which they go together to create Despite his bardic effort and his epic intention, his total work—however flawed, imperfect, fragmentary—is ultimately the record of a self and only very partially that of a nation. Wolfe himself described its strength and suggested its great weakness when he called it "a giant web in suggested to give weathers when he called it a good which I was cought, the product of my lugge inheritance—the tor-rential recollectiveness, derived out of my modier's tock, which became a living, million fibered integument that bound me to the past, not only of my own life, but of the very earth from which I past, not only on my own me, out of the very earth from what-came, so that nothing in the end escaped from its inrooted and all feeling explorativeness." To the end Thomas Wolfe retained a childlike, pristing delight in the manifold shapes, colors, odors,

sounds, and textures of experience and his work communicates this delight—shadowed with a nostalgia for things past—with almost total authority.

The measure of this accomplishment is not small. Look Homeward, Angel is a richly evocative account of the pans and joys of childhood and youth, peopled with a host of living characters. With all its flaws, it is a fine novel, and one that gives promise of enduring. In Wolfe's total work a personality is set down with a thoroughness and an honesty, with an intensity and a beauty of language unsurpassed by any other American proce writer, even though, aside from Look Homeward, Angel, it is only in the short novels that we find really sure artistic control, and sprinkled through the other books are passages of very bad writing and of irrelevant action.

Wolfe began obsessed with paradox and contradiction; the shape of his whole career reflects startling contrast. He who would have written the definition of his nation left primarily the definition of a self; he who would have asserted that though we "are lost here in America... we shall be found" was from birth to death a lonely man, vainly seeking communion. He survives—and probably will continue to survive—as the chronicler of a lost childhood, a vanished glory, the portrayer of an individual American outlined, stark and lonely, beneath a cruel sty.

Nathanael West

NATHAMAEL WEST was born Nathan Weinstein in New York City on October 17, 1905, the child of Jewish immigrants from Russia. His mother, Anna Wallenstein Weinstein, came of a cultivated family, and had been a beautuful grif, courted in Europe by the painter Maurice Stern. As a housewise the turned stout and bossy. West's father, Max Weinstein, a building contractor, was slight, kind, and shy. Of West's two sisters, the elder, Hinda, somewhat resembled the mother, and the younger, Loraine (called Laura), was more like the father. West was particularly devoted to his father, and so close to hir, younger siner that in later life he repeatedly said he could never marry less fine a woman than his sizer Laura.

The boy West attended P.S. 81 and P.S. 10, both in Manhattan, where he showed no carderme distinction. He was a lhin, awkward, and ungainly duid. Summers he went to Camp Paradox in the Adirondacks, and a former counselor remembers him as "a quiet chap and not much of a mixer." Baseball was his passion, although he tended to daydream in the outfield. When a fly ball hit him on the head and bounced off for a home run, he got the nickname, "Pep." that sayed with him all his life.

Otherwise West seems to have spent most of his time reading. It his sisters' recollection can be trusted, he read Tokoo at ten, and by thirteen he was familiar with Dostoevski and other Rusian literature, Flaubert, and Henry James. He trained his built serrice to bite anyone who came into his room when he was read-

ing. After his graduation from P.S. 10, West enrolled at De Witt Clinton High School, where he soon distinguished himself as one of the weakest students in the school. He took no part in any extracurricular activity. In June 1920, West left Clinton without graduating.

In September 1921, West was admitted to Tufis University, on the strength of what now seems to have been a forged transcript from De Witt Clinton. Two months later, as a result of academic difficulties, he withdrew. In February 1922, he was admitted to Brown University as a transfer student from Tufis, this time on the basis of the transcript of the record of another Nathan Wein stein at Tufts. Once enrolled at Brown, West got serious, and managed not only to pass his courses but to graduate in two and a half year.

At Brown, West developed another personality, or showed another side of his personality than the solitary dreamer. He became an Ivy League fashion plate, wearing Brooks Brothers suits and shirts, and a homburg. A college friend, Jeremiah Mahoney, recalls that West looked like a "well-heeled mortuary assistant." Although his manner was reserved, he was friendly and gregamous, generous with his large allowance from his father, and a fairly good banjo player. With girls, he tended to be either too shy or too brash. One summer, West and another college friend, Quentin Reynolds, worked as hod carriers for West's father, and West not only built muscles on his thin frame but got on surprisingly well with the workmen.

West received little or no education in the Jewish religion, and although he was probably ritually circumcised, he was never confirmed in a Bar Mittrah cremony. During his years at Brown, West threw off what he could of his Jewishness, and suffered from the rest. "More shan aryone I ever knew," his friend John Sanford later reported, "Pep writhed under the accidental curs of his religion." West had nothing to do with any organized Jewish activity on campus, hung around the snobbish Centule Institution, and was intensely anxious to be pledged and intensely bitter that he never was. "Nobody ever thought of Pep as

being Jewish," a college friend has said, but apparently the Brown fratemities did.

West's great success at Brown was as an aesthete. He dabbled in mysticism, ritual magic, and medieval Catholicism, quoted from obscure saints, discovered Joyce, and for a while was a Nietzschean S I Perelman, a college friend who later married West's sister Laura, recalled that West was the first man on campus to read Jurgen He was equally devoted to Baudelaire, Verlaine, and Rimbaud, Huysmans and Arthur Machen. His personal library was the largest any Brown man had at the time, and he loaned books liberally, Relying on the other Nathan Weinstein's credits in science and economics, West was able to confine himself almost entirely to courses in literature, philosophy, and history. His principal extracurricular activity was working as an editor of Casements, the Brown literary magazine. He drew its first cover design, naturally of casements, and contributed a poem, "Death," and an article, "Euripides - a Playwright," The 1924 Liber Brunensus, the yearbook, tdentified West as a genius with an unpredictable future

After his graduation in 1924, West persuaded his father to send him to Paris, where he spent two happy years and grew a red beard. He returned to New York carly in 1926, worked for his father for a while, and then in 1927, through a family connection, got a job as assistant manager at the Kenmore Hotel on East synd Street. Put on night duty, he was able to spend the nights reading. He gave rooms to his Brown friends and their homeless friends among them Dashiell Hammet, who finished The Maltere Falcon as West's bootleg guest at the Kenmore. In 1928 he progressed to the same job at a fancier hotel, the Sutton on East 36th Street, when the put up other andigent writers, at reduced rates or no charge at all, among them Erskine Caldwell and James T. Farrell. After the stock market crash, which named West's father, the took for market crash, which named West's father, the visited kear."

West's first novel, The Dream Life of Balso Snell, seems to have been first written in college, but he rewrote it at the Sutton, and in 1931 be managed to get it privately printed in a limited edition of 500 copies. One review appeared, in Contempo, but otherwise Balso Snell caused no stir whatsoever. The book listed "Nathanael West" as author and thus marked West's official change of name. He had spent much of his dass time at Brown doodling "Nathan von Wallenstein Weinstein," which was the name signed to his Gaerments contributions, but even that had turned out to be not Gentile enough. West explained to William Carlos Williams bow he got the name: "Horace Greeley said, 'Go West, young man.' So I did." West's anti-Semitusm was now considerable. He referred to Jewish girls as "bagels," and avoided them.

In 1931, West took a leave from the Sutton and he and Sanlord, another aspiring novelist, rented a shack in the Adirondacks near Warrensburg, New York. Here they wrote in the mornings and fished and hunted in the afternoons. West was working on Miss Lonelyhearts, reading each sentence back aloud, producing about a bundred words a day. He rewrote the manuscript five or six times, in the Adirondacks, then back at the Suttons fanally, having quit the Sutton, in a lovel in Frenchtown, New Jersey.

Late in 193 West and the Perelmans bought a farmhouse in Bucks County, Pennsylvania, and Mrs. Weinstein soon moved in to take never the cooking and try to persuade West to return to the hotel business. In 1933 Miss Lonelyhearts was published, and it was reviewed enthuisatically. Unfortunately, the published, and Plorace Liveright, chose that moment to go bankrupt, the printer refused to deliver most of the edition, and by the time West got another publisher to take it over, the reviews were forgotten. Altogether Miss Lonelyhearts sold fewer than 800 copies, and West's total income from his first two books and three years of writing came to \$78.0.

In 1932 West lad become co-editor with Dr. Williams of a lutle magazine, Contact, and he published attitles and chapters of Mus-Lonelyheart in it and in Contempo in 1933. In August 1933, he became associate editor of a magazine, Americane, edited by Alexander King, Before Americane expired in November, West managed to publish a Hellyhood story, "Business Deal," and some excerpts from Balso Snell in it. West then wrote some stories for the slick magazines, but did not succeed in selling any. He applied for a Guggenheim fellowship, with F. Scott Fitzgerald as one of his sponsors, but failed to get it.

West next wrote A Cool Million in a hurry, hoping to profit from the reviews of Miss Lonelyhearts and make some money. It appeared in 1934, was unfavorably reviewed, sold poorly, and was soon remaindered.

West's personal life in the East was no more successful than his literary career. Balso Snell was dedicated to Altre Shepard, a Roman Catholic grl who had gone to Pembroke College with West's suster Laura He was secretly engraged to her from 1929 to 1934, then publicly engaged, but they rever married, although West had bought a marriage license and carried it around with him for several years. His poterty was the explanation given out but in Sanford's opinion the engagement foundered on the religious difference.

West had been to Hollywood for a few months in 1935, when Must Lonelyhearts was sold to Twentieth Century Fox and West received a writing contract at \$350 a week. He was given little to do, saw his novel made into a Lee Tracy murder thriller, and came back to New York in July disillusioned and bitter. Nevertheless, in 1935, when every other possibility seemed closed to him, West returned to Hollywood and went to work for Republic Studios as a script writer, He switched to RKO Radio in 1938, and also worked for Universal International Pictures. In the remaining few years of his life, West turned out a number of trivial screenplays, alone or in collaboration, among them Five Come Back, I Stole a Million, and Spirit of Culver. As a result of his facility as a script writer, West was able to live in comfort and security for the first time since the 1929 crash. He worked a few hours a week dictating to a secretary, and spent most of his weekends on hunting trips, following the season down from Oregon through California into Mexico each year. He acquired two hunting dogs, which slept on his bed, and he explained to people that he needed a house and servants for the dogs.

West made it clear that he despised the "pants pressers" of Hollywood, and he tried to escape in a number of fashions. He collaborated on two plays for Broadway, but the first never got there and the second only lasted two performances, winning from Brooks Atkinson the accolade "nitwit theatre." He became a fellow traveler of the Communist party, signing the call for the American Writers Congress in 1955, joining the Screen Writers Guild, and working stremously on behalf of Loyalts Epain and other causes. (Earlier, in 1953, he had published a Marxiti poem in Contempo. Before leaving for California in 1955 he had picketed Orbach's with other Communist sympathizen and was jailed for a few hours "for obstructing traffic.") He was, luckily, unable to get his political orientation explicitly into his fiction.

West published The Day of the Locust in 1939, hoping its succas would get him out of Hollywood, but despite some good reviews it was a commercial failure, selling fewer than 1500 copies. (Weat's publisher, Bennett Cerf, explained to him that it failed because women readers darfut the it.)

because women readers didn't like ii.)

Weit's isolation ended suddenly and surprisingly in 1940, when he fell in love with Eileen McKenney, the protagonist of Ruth McKenney's My Saster Eileen. They were married in April, and spent a three-month honeymon in Oregon, hunting and fishing. On West's return he got a higher paid job at Columbia Pictures; later Columbia bought A Cool Million and a screen treatment of it on which West had collaborated. The great happy period of West's life, begun in the spring, did not last out the lear. On December 18, the Wests were returning from a hunting trip in Mexico, when West, a poor driver, went through a stop sign near El Centro, California. Their station wagon crashed into an automobile. Eileen died instantly, West an hour later on the way to the bospital. He was thirty-seven. His body was shipped to New York and burted in a Jewish cemetery.

Since his death West's reputation has risen continuously. Mus Lonelyhearts has sold 190,000 copies in paperhack, and The Day of the Locust 150,000. Scholarly articles about West, here and abroad, multiply cancerously. Mus Lonelyhearts has been made into a play, a more faithful film than the Lee Tracy one, and an opera In 1916 it was translated into French by Marcelle Sibon as Mademoutle Cozun-Bruck with an introduction by Philippe Soupault, and it has had a visible effect on later French fiction. Since 1940, all West books but the first have been published in England When the four novels were reisued in this country in one volume in 1957, all the reviews were favorable, and there was general agreement that West was one of the most important writers of the chitties, as American as apple pic. West's picture appeared on the cover of the Saturday Review, looking very leavin

The Dream Life of Balso Snell (1931) is almost impossible to synopsize A poet named Balso Snell finds the wooden Trojaa Hone and has a picarseque pourrey up its alimentary canal. In the course of his travels he encounters, a Jewish guide; Maloney the Arcopague, a Catholic myster: John Gilson, a precedous schoolboy; and Miss McGeeney, John's eighth grade teacher. Each has a story, sometimes several stories, to tell, and their stories merge with their dieams and with Balso's dreams in a thoroughly confusing, and deliberately confusing, fashion. The book ends with Balso's orgain, sull in the books of the horse, during a dream of rapturous sexual intercourse with Miss McGeeney, Balso is dreaming the schoolboy's dream, and may have become the schoolboy's dream, and may have become the

The overwhelming impression the reader gets is of the conruption and repulsiveness of the flesh. In one of John Giston's fantasses of beating a mutries, the explaint his action: "I have a siy on my eye, a cold sore on my hp, a pimple where the edge of my collar touches my neck, another pimple in the corner of my mouth, and a drop of salt most on the end of my nose." Further more, "It seems to me as though all the materials of life—wood, glass, wool, skin—are rubbing against my sty, my cold sore and my pimples." When Balso encounters Miss McGeeney, a middleaged the most my displayed for the moment as a beautiful naked young gul, site offices him her poeue vision: "Houses that are protuberances on the skin of streets - warts, tumors, pimples, corns, nipples, sebaecous cysts, hard and soft chancres."

In a dream within his dream, Balso is attracted to girl cripples: "He likened their disarranged hips, their short legs, their bumps, heir splay feet, their wall-eyes, to ornament." He cries tenderly to one of them, Janey the hunchback: "For me, your sores are like flowers: the new, pink, budlike sores, the full, rose-ripe sores, the sweet, seed-bearing sores. I shall cherish them all." One of Balso's beautiful memories in the book is of a girl lie once loved who did nothing all day but put bits of meat and gravy, butter and cheese, on the petals of roses so that they would attract flies instead of butterflies and bees.

As the human body is seen as a running sore, Christianity is seen entirely in terms of Christ's wounded and bleeding body. Maloney the Arcepagies is witting a hagiography of Saint Puce, a flea sho was born, lived, and died in the armpit of Jesus Christ. Maloney's blasphemous idea that Saint Puce was born of the Holy Chost enables West to mock the mysteries of Incarnation, as the flea's feasting on the divine flesh and blood enables West to mock Eucharits. The Passion is burlesqued by Maloney, who is encountered naked except for a derby stuck full of thorns, trying to crucify Inimself with thumbtacks, and by Beagle Darwin, a fictional invention of Miss McGeeney's, who does a juggling act, keeping in the air "the Nails, the Soourge, the Thorns, and a piece of the True Cross."

a piece of the True Cross." Nor is West's bitterness in the book reserved for Christianity. Judaism comes in for its share. The song in praise of obscene roundness that Balso makes when he starts his journey concludes;

Round and Ringing Full
As the Mouth of a Britaming Goblet
The Rust Laden Holet
In Our Lord's Feet
Entertain the Jew Driven Nails.

The guide turns out to be not only a Jew, but a Jew who at the mention of such melatious Jewish names as Hernia Hornium and Pateit Pearlberg finds at necessary to affirm: "I am a Jew.

I'm a Jew! A Jew!" Balso answers politely that some of his best friends are Jews, and adds Doughty's epigram: "The semites are like to a man sitting in a cloaca to the eyes, and whose brows touch heaven"

touch heaven "
The strength of Balso Snell lies in 115 garish comic imagination
Maloney's crucificion with thumbtacks is not only a scrious
theme that West's later work develops, it is also funny and, as a
parody of the stance of Roman Catholic mysticium, devastising
The account in John Gilson's journal of his Gidean and Dosiocriskian murder of an idiot dishwasher is repulsive but gentundey
imagined, and its unconscious sexual motivation is bolidy dramatized: stripping for the crime, John notices his genitals tight
and hard; afterwards he feels like a handy soung still. "Misterwards he feels like a handy soung still."

The account in John Gilson's journal of his Gidean and Dossevikan murder of an idiot dishwather is repulsive but genumely imagined, and its unconscious sexual motivation is boldly dramaited: stripping for the crime. John nousces his gentials ught and hard; afterwards he feels like a happy young girl, "Station inh, cuney-cutey, darlingey, springumey", when he sees almon the street, he filtrs and camps and feels "as though I were melting—all silk and perfumed, pink lace." The hunchbard Janey is a insplamarish vision of the female body as terriplingtransformed into comedy she has a hundred and forty four exquisite teeth, and is pregoant in the hump. Some of West's language in the book foreshadows his later trummbs. I ancy immense death to he "the putting on a wet

transformed into comedy she has a hundred and forty four equisite teeth, and is pregnant in the hump. Some of West's language in the book foreshadows his later trumphs, Janey imagines death to be "like putting on a wet [bathing] suit—shivery." John desembes his dual nature to his fantay mistress, Saniette: "Think of two men—myself and the chaufteur within me. This chaufteur is very large and dressed in ugly ready made clothing. His shoes, soiled from walking about the streets of a great city, are covered with animal ordure and chewing gum. His hands are covered with coarse woolden gloses. On his head is a derby hat." Sometimes John speaks in a voice we can hear as the youthful West's. He tells [ablo: "I need women and because I can't buy or force them, I have to make poems for them, God knows how truel I am of using the insunity of Van them, God knows how truel I am of using the insunity of Van

On his head is a derby hat." Sometimes John speaks in a vote we can hear as the youthful West's. He tells Balso: "I need women and because I can't buy or force them, I have to make poems for them. God knows how tred I am of using the insanity of Van Gogh and the adventures of Caugum as canopeners." John explains his position in a pamphlet, which he sells to Balso for a dollar. In it he confesses: "If it had been possible for me to at tract by exhibiting a series of physical charms, my hatred would have been less. But I found it necessary to substitute strange con-

ceits, wise and witty sayings, peculiar conduct, Art, for the muscles, teeth, hair, of my rivals."

The weaknesses of Balso Snell are all characteristically juvenile. The principal one is the obsessive scatology, which soon becomes boring. "O Anus Mirabilisl" Balso cries of his rectal entrance to the Trojan Horse, and his roundness song takes off from that anal image. "Art is a sublime excrement," he is told by the Jewish guide (who seems to justify only the first half of Doughty's aphorism). John sees journal-keepers in excremental imagery: "They come to the paper with a constipation of ideas - eager, impatient. The white paper acts as a laxative. A diarrhoea of words is the result." When the idiot dishwasher swallows, John compares it to "a miniature soiler being flushed" As John beats Saulette, he cries. "O constipation of desire! O diarrhoea of love!" He has visions of writing a play that will conclude when "the ceiling of the theatre will be made to open and cover the occupants with tons of loose excrement." Balso speaks "with lips torn angry in laying duck's eggs from a chicken's rectum." James F. Light reports that West was fond of quoting Odo of Cluny's reference to the female as "succus stercoris," but the book's scatological obsession is clearly not restricted to the female. It is no less than a vision of the whole world as one vast dungheap,

When Miss Lonelyhearts was published two years later, in 1935, West fold A. J. Liebling that it was entirely unlike Balso Snell, "of quite a different make, wholesome, clean, holy, slighly mysuc and inane." He describes it in "Some Notes on Mits Lonely-hearts" as a "portrait of a priest of our time who has lad a religious experience." In it, West explains, "violent inarges are used to illustrate commonplace events. Violent acts are left almost bald." He credits William James's Varieties of Religious Experience for tus psychology. Some or all of this may be Westian legybill

The plot of Mus Lonelyhearts is Sophoclean irony, as simple and inevitable as the plot of Balo Snell is random and whimical. A young newspaperman who writes the agony column of his paper as "Mis Lonelyhearts" has reached the point where the poke has gone sour. He becomes obsested with the real misery of his correspondents, illuminated for him by the cynicism of William Shrike, the feature editor. Mis Lonelyhearts pursues Shrike's usife Mary, unsuccessfully, and cannot content himself with the love and radiant goodness of Betty, his fancée. Eventually he finds his fate in two of his correspondents, the crippled Peter Doyle and his wife Fay. Mis Lonelyhears is not punithed for his tumble with Fay, but when on his next encounter he fights her off, it Irads to his being shot by Doyle.

The characters are allegorical figures who are at the same time convincing as people. Miss Londyhearts is a New England puritan, the son of a Baptist minister. He has a true religious vocation or calling, but no institutional church to embody it. Whea Betty suggests that he quit the column, he tells her: "I can't quit-And even if I were to quit, it wouldn't make any difference. I wouldn't be able to forget the letters, no matter what I did.

In one of the most brilliant strokes in the book, he is never named, always identified only by his role. (In an earlier draft, West had named him Thomas Matlock, which we could translat "Doubter Wrestler," but no name at all is infinitely more effective) Even when he telephones Fay Doyle for an assignation, he dientifies himself only as "Miss Lonelyhearts, the man who does the column." In his namelessness, in his vocation without a church, Miss Lonelyhearts is clearly she prophet in the reluctance stage, when he denies she call and relis God that he stammers.

but Miss Lonelyhearts, the prophet of our time, is stuck there until death.

Miss Lonelyhearts identifies Betty as the principle of order; "She had often made him feel that when she straightened his tie, she straightened much more." The order that she represents is the innocent order of Nature, as opposed to the disorder of sinful Man, When Miss Lonelyhearts is sick, Betty comes to nourish him with hot soup, impose order on his room, and redeem him with a pastoral vision: "She told him about her childhood on a farm and of her love for animals, about country sounds and country smells and of how fresh and clean everything in the country is. She said that he ought to live there and that if he did, he would find that all his troubles were city troubles." When Miss Lonelyhearts is back on his feet, Besty takes him for a walk in the 200, and he is "amused by her evident belief in the curative power of animals," Then she takes him to live in the country for a few days, in the book's great idyllie scene. Miss Lonelyhearts is beyond such help, but it is Betty's patient innocence - she is as soft and helpless as a kitten - that makes the book so heartbreaking. She is an innocent Eve to his failen Adam, and he alone is driven out of Eden.

The book's four other principal characters are savage earliatures, in the root sense of "caricature" as the overloading of one autibute. Shite is a dissociated half of Mis Lonelyhearts, his cynical intelligence, and it is interesting to learn that Shrike's htstorical matterpiece, the great speech on the varieties of escape, was spoken by Mis Lonelyhearts in an earlier draft. Shrike's name is martelously apt. The shrike or butcherbird impaler its prey on thorns, and the name is a form of the word "shrick." Shrike is of course the mocker who hands Mis Lonelyhearts his crown of thorns, and throughout the book he is a shricking bird of prey when not a butcherbird, "a screaming clumny gull."

Shinke's wife Mary is one wast teasing mammary image. As Miss Lonelyhearts decides to telephone Mary in Delchanty's speakeasy, he sees a White Rock poster and observes that "the artist had taken a great deal of care in drawing her breasts and their nipples stuck out like tray red hata." He then thinks of "the play Mary made with her breaut She used them as the coquettes of long ago had used their fans. One of her tricks was to wear a medal low down on her chest. Whenever he asked to see it, instead of drawing it out she leaned over for him to look. Although he had often asked to see the medal, he had not yet found out what it represented." Miss Lonelyhearts and Mary go out for a gay exening, and Mary flaunts her breasts white talking of her mother's terrible death from cancer of the hreast. He finally gets to see the medal, which reads "Navatded by the Boston Laun School for first place in the too yd, dash." When he takes her home he kiest her becasts, for the first time briefly slowing down her dash.

The Doyles are presented in inhuman or subhuman imagery. When, in answer to Fay's letter of sexual invitation. Miss Lonelyhearts decides to telephone her, he pictures her as "a tent, haircovered and veined," and himself as a skeleton; "When he made the skeleton enter the flesh tent, it flowered at every joint." Fay appears and is a giant: "legs like Indian clubs, breasts like balloons and a brow like a pigeon." When he takes her arm, "It felt like a thigh." Following her up the stairs to his apartment, "he watched the action of her massive hams; they were like two enormous grandstones" Undressing, "she made sea sounds; something flapped like a sail; there was the creak of ropes; then he heard the wave-against a-wharf smack of rubber on flesh, Her call for him to hurry was a sea moan, and when he lay beside her, she heaved. tidal, moon-driven." Eventually Miss Lonelyhearts "crawled out of bed like an exhausted swimmer leaving the surf," and she soon drags him back.

If Fay is an oceanic monster, Peter Doyle is only a sinister puppy. In bringing Miss Lonelyhearts hack to the apartment af Fay's order, he half-Jokes, "Aid't I the pimp, to bring bome a guy for my wife?" Fay reacts by hitting him in the mouth with a forlled up newspaper, and his comic response is to grow! like a do and catch the paper with his teeth. When she lets go of her end, he drops to his hands and knees and continues to mittate a doy on the floor. As Miss Lonelyhearts leans over to help him up.

"Doyle tore open Miss Lonelyhearts' fly, then rolled over on his back, laughing wildly." Fay, more properly, accepts him as a dog and kicks him.

The obsessive theme of Miss Lonelyhearts is human pain and suffering, but it is represented almost entirely as female suffering. This is first spelled out in the letters addressed to Miss Lonelyhearts: Sick-of it-all is a Roman Catholic wife who has had seven children in twelve years, is pregnant again, and has kidney pains so excruciating that she cries all the time. Desperate is a sixteenyear-old born with a hole in her face instead of a nose, who wants to have dates like other girls. Harold S. writes about his thirteenyear-old deaf and-dumb sister Gracie, who was raped by a man when she was playing on the roof, and who will be hrutally punished if her parents find out about it. Broad Shoulders was hit by a car when she was first pregnant, and is alternately persecuted and deserted by an unhalanced husband, in five pages of ghastly detail. Miss Lonelyhearts gets only two letters about male suffering, one from a paralyzed boy who wants to play the violin, the other from Peter Doyle, who complains of the pain from his crippled leg and the general meaninglessness of life.

The theme of indignities committed on women comes up in another form in the stories Miss Lonelyhearts' friends tell in Delchanty's. They seem to be exclusively anecdotes of group rape, of one woman gang raped by eight neighbors, of another kept in the back room of a speakeasy for three days, until "on the last day they sold tickets to niggers." Miss Lonelyhearts identifies himself with "wife-torturers, rapers of small children." At one point he tries giving his readers the traditional Christian justification for suffering, that it is Christ's gelt to mankind to bring them to

Him, but he tears up the column.

Ultimately the novel cannot justify or even explain suffering, only proclaim its omnipresence. Lying sick in bed, Miss Lonely-hearts gets a vision of human life: "He found himself in the window of a paymhop full of fur costs, diamond rings, watches, thougans, failing tackle, mandolins. All these things were the paraphernalia of suffering. A torsured high light twisted on the

STANLEY EDGAR HYMAN

blade of a gift kmie, a battered horn grunted with pain." Finally his mind forms everything into a gigantic cross, and he falls asleep exhausted.

The book's desperate cry of pain and suffering comes to a focus in what Miss Lonelyhearts calls his "Christ complex." He recognizes that Christ is the only answer to his readers' letters, but that "if he did not want to get sick, he had to stay away from the "lether but had been and the stay have from the suffered had been and walks through a little park, the shadow of a lampoot pierces his side like a spear, Since nothing grows in the park's bettered earth, he decides to ask his correspondents to come and water the soil with their tears. He finagines Shrike telling him to teach them to pray each morning, "Give us thus day our daily stoone," and thinks: "He had given his teader many stones; so many, in fact, that he had only one left—the stone that had formed in his gut."

Jesus Christ, Shrike says, is "the Miss Lonelyhearts of Miss Lonelyhearts." Miss Lonelyhearts has naited an ivory Christ to the wall of his room with great spite, but it disappoints him: "Instead of writhing, the Christ remained calmly decorative," Miss Lonelyhearts recalls: "As a boy in his father's church, had discovered that something stirred in him when he thoused the name of Christ, something secret and enormously powerful." Unfortunately, he recognizes, it is not faith but hysteria: "For him, Christ was the most natural of excitements."

Mis Lonelyheart rells Betty he is "a humanity lover," but Shrike more apply identifies hims "leper licker." "If he could only believe in Christ," Miss Lonelyhearts thinks, "then everything would be simple and the letters extremely easy to asswer." Later he recognues that "Shrike had accelerated his alckness by teaching him to handle his one escape, Christ, with a thick glove of words." He decides that he has had a par in the general betrayal of suffering mankind: "The thing that made his share in it particularly had was that he was capable of dreaming the Christ dream. He felt that he had failed at it, not so much because of Shrike's jokes or his own selfdouth, but because of his lack of lumility." Mis Lonelyhearts concludes that "with him, even the word Christ was a vanity." When he gets drunk with Doyle the calls on Christ joyously, and goes home with Doyle to bring the glad tidings to both Doyles, to heal their marriage. He preaches "low" to them and realize that be is only writing another column, switches to preaching Christ Jesus, "the black fruit that langs on the crosstere... the bidden fruit," and realizes that he is only echoing Shrike's poisoned rhetoric.

What Miss Lonelyhearts eventually achieves, since he cannot believe in the real Christ, and refuses to become a spurious Christ. is Peter's condition. He becomes the rock on which the new church will be founded, but it is the church of catatonic withdrawal. After three days in bed Miss Lonelyhearts attains a state of perfect calm, and the stone in his gut expands until he becomes "an ancient rock, smooth wish experience." The Shrikes come to take him to a party at their spartment, and against this rock the waves of Shrike dash in vain. When Mary wriggles on Miss Lonelyhearts' lap in the cab, "the rock remained perfect." At the party he withstands Shrike's newest mockery, the Miss Lonelyhearts Game, with indifference: "What goes on in the sea is of no interest to the rock." Miss Lonelyhearts leaves the party with Betty; "She too should see the rock he had become." He shamelessly promises her marriage and domesticity: "The rock was a solidification of his feeling, his conscience, his sense of reality, his selfknowledge." He then goes back to his sickbed content: "The rock had been thoroughly tested and had been found perfect."

The next day Miss Lonelybearts is burning with fever, and "the rock became a furnace." The room fills with grace, the illusory grace of madnes, and as Doyle comes up the stairs with a pistol Miss Lonelybearts rushes downstairs to embrace him and beal his crippled leg, a miracle that will embody his succoring all suffering mankind with love. Unable to excape Miss Lonelybearts' mad embrace, terrified by Betty coming up the stairs, Doyle tries to toss away the gun, and Miss Lonelybearts is accidentally shot. He falls dragging Doyle down the stairs in his arms.

It is of course a homosexual tableau—the men locked in em-

16

brace while the woman stands helpleasly by—and behind his other miseries Miss Lonelphearus has powerful latent homoscunality. It is this that is ultimately the joke of his name and the book's tule. It explains his acceptance of teasing dates with Mary and his coldness with Mary, he thinks of her excitement and notes "No similar change ever took place in his own body, however. Like a dead man, only friction could make him warm or violence make him mobile." It explains his discontent with Betty. Most of all it explains his joy at being seduced by Fay—"fite had always been the pursuer, but now found a strange pleasure in having the roles reversed"—and how quickly the pleasure turns to disput.

The communion Mis Lonelyhears achieves with Doyle in Delehantys constat in their stiting sitently holding hands, Mis Lonelyhearts pressing "with all the love he could manage" to overcome the revultion he feels at Doyle's touch. Back at the Doylets, after Doyle has ripped open Miss Lonelyhears; fly add been kicked by his wife, they hold hands again, and when Fay comes back in the room the asys "What a sweet pair of fairies you guy are" It is West's ultimate irony that the symbolic embrace they manage at the end is one penetrating the body of the other with a butlet.

We could, if we so chose, write Miss Lonelyhearts' case history before the novel begans. Termfeel of his stern religious father, identifying with his soft loveng mother, the boy renounces his phallicism out of castration anxiety—a classic Oedpuss complex. In these terms the Shrikes are Miss Lonelyhearth' Oedpus paperns, abstracted as the father's loud voice and the mother's cantalting heast. The scene at the end of Miss Lonelyhearth' date with Mary Shrike is horrelying and superb. Standing outside her apartment door, suddenly overcome with passion, he strip her naked under he fur coat while she keeps talking mundlessly of her mother's death, mumbling and repeating herself, so that Shrike will not hear their sudden silence and come out. Finally Mary agrees to let Miss Lonelyhearts in if Shrike is not home, goes made, and cons shrike peers out the door, wearing only the top of his pa

jamas. It is the child's Oedipal vision perfectly dramatized: he can clutch at his mother's body but loses her each time to his more potent rival.

It should be noted that if this is the pattern of Miss Lonelyhearts' Oedipus complex, it is not that of West, nor are the Shrikes the pattern of West's parents. How conscious was West of all or any of this? I would guess, from the book's title, that he was entirely conscious of at least Miss Lonelyhearts' latent homosexuality. As for the Oedipus complex, all one can do is note West's remarks in "Some Notes on Miss Lonelyhearts": "Psychology has nothing to do with reality nor should it be used as motivation. The novelist is no longer a psychologist. Psychology can become much more important. The great body of case histories can be used in the way the ancient writers use their myths. Freud is your Bulfinchi; you can not learn from him."

The techniques West uses to express his themes are perfectly suited to them. The most important is a pervasive desperate and savage tone, not only in the imagery of violence and suifering, but everywhere. It is the tone of a world where unreason is triumphant. Telling Miss Lonelyhearts that he is availing a gril "of great intelligence," Shrike "illustrated the word mtelligence by carving two enomous breasts in the air with his hands." When Miss Lonelyhearts is in the country with Betty, a gas station attendant tells him amiably that "it wasn't the hunters who drove out the deer, but the yids." When Miss Lonelyhearts accidentally collides with a man in Delehanty's and turns to apologire, he is punched in the mouth.

The flowering cactus that blooms in this wasteland is Shrike's thetoric. The book begins with a mock prayer he has composed for Miss Lonelphearts, and every time Shrike appears he makes a masterly speech: on religion, on escapes, on the gospel of Miss Lonelphearts according to Shrike. He composes a mock letter to God, in which Miss Lonelphearts confesses shyly: "I read your column and like it very much." He is a cruel and releutless punster and wit. In his sadistic game at the party, Shrike reads aloud letters to Miss Lonelphearts. He reads one from a pathetic old

woman who sells pencils for a living, and concludes; "She has rheum in her eyes. Have you room in your heart for her?" He reads another, from the paralyzed boy who wants to play the violin, and concludes: "How pathetic! However, one can learn much from this parable, Label the boy Labor, the violin Capital, and so on . . ." Shrike's masterpiece, the brilliant evocation of the ultimate inadequacy of such escapes as the soil, the South Seas, Hedonism, and art, is a classic of modern rhetoric; as is his shorter speech on religion. Here are a few sentences from the latter: "Under the skin of man is a wondrous jungle where veins like lush tropical growths hang along averripe organs and weed-like entrails writhe in squirming tangles of red and yellow. In this jungle, flitting from rock-gray lungs to golden intestines, from liver to lights and back to liver again, lives a bird called the soul. The Catholie hunts this bird with bread and wine, the Hebrew with a golden ruler, the Protestant on leaden feet with Icaden words, the Buddhist with gestures, the Negro with blood,"

The mher cactus that flowers in the wasteland is additict violence. The book's most harrowing chapter, "Miss Lonelyhearts and the lamb," is a dream or recollection of a college escapade, in which Miss Lonelyhearts and two other boys, after drinking all night, buy a Jambo to barbecte in the woods. Miss Lonelyhearts persuades his companions to sacrifice it to God before barbectings it. They lay the lamb on a Bower-covered aller and Miss Lonelyhearts tries to cut its throat, but succeeds only in maining it and breaking the knide. The lamb escapes and crawls off into the underbrush, and the boys fiee. Later Miss Lonelyhearts goes back and cruthes the lamb's head with a tome. This nightnarish scene, with its unaboly suggestions of the barafies of Isaac and Christ, embodies the book's bitter paradox: that sadum is the pervension of love.

Visiting Betty early in the novel, aware "that only violence could make him supple," Miss Lonelyhearur reaches inside her robe and tugg at her nipple unpleasantly. "Let me pluck this rose," he says, "I want to wear it is my buttonhole," In "Miss Lonelyhearus and the clean old man," be and a drunken frush find an old gentleman in a washroom, drag him to a speakeasy, and torment him with questions about his "homoscutalistic tend-encies." As they get nastier and nattier, Miss Lonelyheart feels "as he had felt years before, when he had accidentally stepped on a small frog. Its spilled guts had filled with him paty, but when its suffering had become real to his senses, his pity had turned to rage and he had beaten it frantically until it was dead." He ends by twisting the old man's arm until the old man screams and some one hits Miss Lonelyhearts with a chair.

The hook's only internal of decency, beauty, and esee is the

pastoral idyll of the few days Miss Lonelyhearts spends with Betty in the country. They drive in a borrowed car to the deserted farmhouse in Connecticut where she was born. It is spring, and Miss Lonelyhearts "had to admit, even to himself, that the pale new leaves, shaped and colored like candle flames, were beautiful and that the air smelt clean and alive." They work at cleaning up the place, Betty cooks simple meals, and they go down to the pond to watch the deer. After they eat an apple that has ominous Biblical overtones, Betty reveals that she is a virgin and they go fraternally to bed. The next day they go for a naked swim; then, with "no wind to disturb the pull of the earth," Betty is ceremonially deflowered on the new grass. The reader is repeatedly warned that natural innocence cannot save Miss Lonelyhearts: the noise of birds and crickets is "a horrible racket" in his ears; in the woods, "in the deep shade there was nothing but deathrotten leaves, gray and white fungi, and over everything a funereal hush." When they get back to New York, "Miss Lonelyhearts knew that Betty had failed to cure him and that he had been right when he had said that he could never forget the letters." Later, when Miss Lonelyhearts is a rock and leaves Shrike's party with Betty, he tries to create a miniature idyll of innocence by taking her out for a strawberry soda, but it fails. Pregnant by him and intending to have an abortion, Betty remains nevertheless in Edenic innocence: Miss Lonelyhearts is irretrievably fallen, and there is no savior who can redeem.

The book's pace is frantic and its imagery is garish, ugly, and

compelling. The letters to Miss Lonelyhearts are "stamped from the dough of suffering with a heart-shaped cookie knife." The sky looks "as if it had been rubbed with a soiled eraser." A bloodthat eye in the peephole of Delehanty's glows "like a ruby in an antique iron ring." Finishing his sermon to the "intelligent" girl, Shrike "buried his triangular face like the blade of a hatchet in her neck," Miss Lonelyhearts' tongue is "a fat thumb," his heart "a congealed lump of icy fat," and his only feeling "icy fatness," Goldsmith, a colleague at the paper, has cheeks "like twin rolls of smooth pink toilet paper." Only the imagery of the Connecticut interlude temporarily thaws the iciness and crases the unpleasant associations with fatness and thumb. As Miss Lonelyhearts watches Betty naked, "She looked a little fat, but when she lifted something to the line, all the fat disappeared, Her raised arms pulled her breasts up until they were like pink-tipped thumbs."

The unique greatness of Miss Lonelyhearts seems to have come into the world with hardly a predecessor, but it has itself influenced a great many American novelists since. Mus Lonelyhearts seems to me one of the three finest American novels of our century. The other two are F. Scott Fitugraids T The Great Gaibly and Ernest Henningways The Sun Alto Rises. It thares with them a lost and vicinized hero, a bitter sense of our civilization't falsity, a pervasive melancholy atmosphere of failure and defeat. If the tone of Miss Lonelyhearts is more striden, its images more grapt and pyterical, it is as fitting an epilome of the thirtnes as they are of the twentier. It nothing in the forties and fifties has similarly gone beyond Miss Lonelyhearts in violence and thock, it may be because it stands at the end of the line.

A Cool Million, subtitled "The Binnantling of Lemuel Pitkin," Is a comic even a parody, nosel, to some catent a recension to the world of Balio Snell. It tells the mory of Lemuel Pitkin, a poor but honest Vermont boy, as he attempts to make his way in the world. As the confronts each experience with the old fashioned virtues of honesty, sobiety, good sportsmanship, thrift bravery, chivalty, and kindness, he is robbed, beaten up, mut lated, cheated, and victimized. In an interwoven subplot, Eliza beth Prail, a neighbor who similarly represents decent American grithood, is sexually mistreated: raped, beaten by a sadist, kid napped by white slavers and sold into prostitution, turned ou owalk the streets, and so forth. Meanwhile their town banker "Shagpoke" Whipple, a former President of the United States creates an American fascist movement and takes over the country.

The total effect is that of a prolonged, perhaps overprolonged iape. The stages of the action are the stages of Lem's dismantling. thrown into jail in a frame-up, be loses all his teeth because the warden believes teeth to be the source of moral infection; rescuing a banker and his daughter from a runaway horse, Lem loses an eye; kidnapped by agents of the Communist International, he is involved in an automobile collision and loses a thumb; trying to save Betty from rape, he is caught in a bear trap that the villain has planted, which costs him a leg, and while unconscious in the trap he is scalped by a Harvard-educated Indian. He is eventually hired as stooge for a vaudeville act and demolished during each performance; when he is hit with a mallet, "His toupee flew off, his eye and teeth popped out, and his wooden leg was knocked into the audience." Eventually Lem is that down onstage while making a speech for American fascism. As a result of his martyrdom Whipple's Leather Shirts triumph, and Pitkin's Birthday becomes a national holiday, on which the youth of America parade singing "The Lemuel Pitkin Song."

What form the book has comes from these ritual stages of dimmental but in a truer sense A Gool Million is formless, an inorganic stringing together of comic set pieces, with the prepoterous incidents serving metely to raise the various topica West thooses to saltrier. Thus Betty's residence in Wu Fong's brothel sets off pages of comic description, first of the brothel as a House of All Nations, then, when Wu Fong is conserted by the "Buy American" campaign of the Hearst newspapers, into an all Ameri-

STANLEY EDGAR HYMAN

drunk, they do nothing to put out the fire. Instead they loot the house while the chief rapes Betty, leaving her naked and unconscious on the ground. She is then sent to an orphan asylum, and put out at fourteen to be a maid in the household of Descon Sterp, where in addition to her other duties he is enthusiatically beaten twice a week on the bare behind by the Deacon, who gives her a quarter after each beating.

In this world where firemen are footen and rapists and church elders perverts and hypocrites, policemen appear only to beat up the victums of crimes. When them is first seried by the police, on his way to the big city to make his fortune, a patrolism clubd him on the head, one detective sitch him in the stomach, and a second kicks him behind the ear; all three actions unrelated to any of the remarks they make to Lean, but rather, natural reflexes. When Lean faints from the wound he received from stopping the runaway horse, he is found by a poficeman, who establishes communication by kicking him in the geroit. The brutal finage of the police in the book is always the raised truncheon, the doubled fist, the foot drawn back.

The weaknesses of the book are perhaps the inevitable weaknesses of the form, jokes that do not come off and failures of tools Sometimes the book is almost unbelievably rorny and heavyhanded. When he is in this mood, West will even have someone address a Chinese in niderin and be assured in flawless English.

The uncertainty of tone is mainly in regard to sex. When West is openly vulgar, he is fine, but on occasion be seems to smith, and then he is leas face. A scene between Lenn, captured by Wu Fong's men, dressed in a tight fatting autor sout, and set up as a monosexual prostutuse in the broothet, and his client, a lipping Indian maharajah, is perhaps the most extreme failure. The fart appe of Berty by the drunken for each if disturbing and effective, but her thousandth rape is boring and meaningless, as consedy, social comment, or even littliction, Berty is almost invariably unconcious when raped, an oddly necrophiline touch, and sometimes the details lead us to expect a salatious illustration on the DEES PARE.

West's last book, The Day of the Locust (1939), it a novel about a young painter named Tod Hackett, working at a Hollywood movie studio as a set and costume designer, and some people he encounters. These are principally Faye Greener, a beautiful young girl whom he loves; her father Harry, an old vaudeedle comic; Earle Shoop, Faye's cowboy beaux Miguel, Earle's Mexican triend who breeds fighting cocks; Abe Kusich, a dwarf racetrack tout; and Homer Simpson, an innocent from the Middle West also in love with Faye. In the course of the novel Harry dies, and Faye and her friends go to live with Homer. The action is climaxed by a wild party at Homer's, after which Faye and Miguel end up in bed. This results, the next day, in Homer's demented murder of a boy, which in turn precipitates a riot in the streets, on which the book ends. The title comes from the plague of locusts visited on Pharach in the Book of Exodus.

Like the characters in Mus Lonelyhearu, the characters in The Day of the Locust code to be symbolic abutractions, but here with some loss of human reality. Tod, who never quite contex to life (mainly, I think, because of West's efforts to keep him from being autobiographical), represents The Painter's Eye. All through the book he is planning a great canvas, "The Burning of Los Angeles," which will sum up the whole violent and demonted diviliation. It is to show the city burning at high moon, set on fire by a gay holiday crowd, who appear carrying baseball bats and torches: "No longer bored, they same and danced joyously in the red light of the flames." In the foreground, Tod and his friends fice the mob in various characteristic postures: Faye naked and running rather proudly, throwing her kneets high; Homer half-alleep; Tod stopping to throw a stone at the crowd. Meanwhile the flames lick avidly "at a cortinthian column that held up the palmleaf roof of a nutburger stand."

Faye is nothing like the Fay of Miss Lonelyhearts (as the Betty of A Gool Million is nothing like the Betty of Miss Lonelyhearts — West was overeconomical of names). Faye is seventeen, "a tail girl with wide, straight shoulders and long, swordlike legs." She has "a moon face, wide at the cheek bones and narrow at chin and brow," her hair is platinum-blonde, her breasts are "placed wide apart and their throut" is "upward and outward," her buttocks look, "like a heart uptide down." She dresses like a child of twelve, eats an apple with her little furger curled, and has a brain the size of a walnut.

Like Betty in Must Lonelyhearts, Faye represents Nature, but now Nature's appearance of innocence is seen as deceptive, and Faye is as far as can be from Betty. Tod looks at an inviting photograph of her, lying "with her arms and legs spread, as though seloning a lover," and think: "Her invitation wan't to pleasure, but to struggle, bard and sharp, closer to murder than to love. If you threw yourself on her, it would be like through yourself from the parapet of a skyscraper. You would do it with a scream. You couldn't expect to rise again. Your teeth would be driven into your skull like mals into a pine board and your back would be hroken. You wouldn't even have time to sweat or close your eyes." What then is Tod's conclusion," If she would only let him, he would be glad to throw himself, no matter what the cost." Luckly, the nev's Teck him.

All experience rolls of Paye. She smells to Tod like "huck-wheat in flower"; when she leans toward him, drooping slightly. The had seen joung birches droop lake that a midday when they are over-beavy with sun," When she announces her intention of becoming a call girl, Tod decides that "her beauty was structural like a tree's, not a quality of her mind or heart. Perhaps even whoring wouldn't damage it for that reason." A spell of whoring does not in fact damage it, and when Tod sees the r later: "She looked just born, everything moist and fresh, volatile and perfumed." In her natural acceptance of the world of sexuality, she is, as Homer tells Tod proudly, "a fine, wholesome child."

This vision of Nature emphasizes its induriating invulnerability, and Tod not only wants to smash himself on it, but in other

This vision of Nature emphasizes its infuriating invulnerabiity, and Tod not only wants to smath himself on it, but in other moods, to smath Faye. He thinks: "If he only had the courage to throw himself on her. Nothing leavisient than rape would do. The sensation he felt was like that he got when holding an egg in his hand. Not that the was fragile or even seemed fragile, it waan't that. It was her completeness, her egglike self-sufficiency, that made him want to crush her." Seeing her again, Tod feels "Her self-sufficiency made him squirm and the desire to break its smooth surface with a hlow, or at least a sudden obscene gesture, became irresistible." When Faye disspapers at the end of the book, Tod cannot decide whether she has gone off with Miguel or gone back to being a call girl. "But either way she would come out all right," be thinks. "Nothing could hurt her. 5th was like a cork. No matter how rough the sea got, she would go dancing over the same waves that sank iron ships and tore away piers of reinforced concrete." Tod then produces an elabotate fantasy of walting in a parking lot to knock Faye unconscious and rape her, and he steps from that into the riot of the book I sat seene.

The men around Faye are in their different fashions as mindless as she. Her father, Harry Genera, after forty years in vaudeville and burleque, no longer has any petsonality apart from his clowning role. "It was his sole method of defense," West explains. "Most people, he had discovered, won't go out of their way to punish a clown," West invents a superh clown act for him, presented in the form of an old clipping from the Sunday Times, hut the clowning we see in the book is of a more poignant sort, his comie act peddling home made silver polity.

Faye's cowhoy, Earle Shoop, is an image of virile idiocy. "He had a two-dimensional face that a talented child might have drawn with a ruler and a compass. His chin was perfectly round and his eyes, which were wide apart, were also round. His thin mouth ran at right angles to his straight, perpendicular nose. His reddish tan complexion was the same color from hairline to throat, as though washed in by an expert, and it completed his resemblance to a mechanical drawing." His conversation consists of "Lo, thar," "Nope," and "I was only funning."

The Mexican, Miguel, is an image of pure sensuality: "He was toffee-colored with large Armenian eyes and pouting black lips. His head was a mass of tight, ordered curis." When Faye responds to him, "his ikin glowed and the oil in his hlack curis sparkled." Early in the book we see him thumba with Faye, until jealows

drives Earle to smash him over the head with a stick. Later he tangos with her, a tango that ends in bed. "Mexicans are very good with women," Tod decides, as the moral of the episode.

Homer is the most completely abstracted character in the book. As Mary Shrike in Miss Lonelyhearts is entirely reduced to Breasts. So Homer is entirely reduced to an image of Hands, enormous hands independent of his body. We see him waking in the morning: "Every part was awake but his hands. They still slept. He was not surprised. They demanded at the his hands. They still slept. He was not surprised. They demanded at child, he used to stick pin into them and once had even thrust them into a fire. Now he weed only cold water." We see him plunge his hands into the washbastin: "They lay quietly on the bottom like a pair of strange aqualic animals. When they were thoroughly chilled and began to crawl about, he lifted them out and hid them in a towel," In the bath "He kept his enormous hands folded quietly on his belig. At though absolutely still, they seemed curbed rather than resting," When Homer cut bis hand opening a can, "The wounded and writched about on the kitchen table until is was carried to the sink by its mass and hashed tenderly in his vater; how the start in the stark is more and hashed tenderly in his water.

by its mate and bathed tenderly in hot water."

When Fape crice at their first meeting, Homer makes "his big hands dance at the end of his arms," and "several times his hands moved forward to comfort here, but he succeeded in cuthing hem." At he and Fape sit and east "His hands began to bother him. He rubbed them against the edge of the table to relieve help itch, but it only stimulated them. When he clasped them behind his back, the strain became molerable. They were hot and swollen Uning the dubne as an excuse, he held them under the cold water tap of the sink." When Faye leaves, Homer is too bashful to say anything affectionace, but: "His hands were breakting to say After she leave, "His hands hep his hought buy. They reabled and jerked, as though troubled by dreams. To hold them till, he clasped them together. Their fangers winded like a tangle of thigh in miniature. He snatshed them apart and ast on them," During the final party, when Tod ais to suited tailing to Homer.

he watches Homer's hands doing "the most complicated tie" Tod had ever seen, "manual ballet": "His big hands left his lap, where they had been playing 'here's the church and here the steeple,' and bid in his armpits. They remained there for a moment, then slid under his thight. A moment later they were back in his lap. The right hand cracked the joints of the left, one by one, then the left did the same service for the right. They seemed easier for a moment, but not for long," Each time the hands start the routine, Homer tries to trap them between bis knees, but each time they struggle to get free, and eventually they crawl out again, since Homer must compulsively perform the rivual three times.

This garsh and remarkable image is built up throughout the box to embody all of Homer's repressed violence: the hands are strangler's bands, rapist's hands. For reasons impossible to imagine or justify, West let it all go to waste. When Homer's violence finally does break out, when Faye's leaving has driven him out of his mind, he till is a boy who bas hit him in the face with a stone by stomping him to death, never touching him with his hands.

The most grotesque character in this gallery of grotesques is the dwarf, Abe Kusich. When Tod first meets him, he is wearing perfect dwarf headgear, a light green Tyrolean hat. Unfortunately, "the test of his outfit didn't go well with the hat. Instead of shoes with long points and a leather apron, he wore a blue, double-breasted suit and a black shirt with a yellow tie. Instead of a crooked thorn stick, he carried a rolled copy of the Daily Running Horse." His tiny site is made pathetic in an image of his catching Tod's attention by tugging at the bottom of bis jacket, but it is accompanied by an unbelievable pugnacity, verbal and physical. He is a small murderous animal like Homer's bands, and he too finally crupts into violence, responding to a kick in the stomach from Earle by squeezing Earle's testicles until be collapses.

West's earlier tule for The Day of the Locust was The Cheated, and the latent violence of the cheated, the mob that first Los Angles in Tod's picture, and rious in the fieth at the end of the book, is its major theme. The cheated are recognizable by sight

in Hollywood: "Their clothing was somber and badly cut, bought from mail-order house." They stand on the streets staring at passers-by, and "when their stare was returned, their eyes filled with hatred." They are the people who have "come to California to die." At one point Tod wonders "It he weren't exaggerating the importance of the people who come to California to die. May the they weren't really desperate enough to set a single city on fire, let alone the whole country." His ultimate discovery is that they are.

Some of the cheated some to Harry's funeral, "hoping for a dramatic incident of some sort, hoping at least for one of the mourners to be led weeping hysterically from the chape." As he stares at them, "it seemed to Tod that they stared back at him with an expression of victious, acrid boredom that trembled on the edge of violence." In the book's last scene, the cheated line up to the thousands outside Kahn's Pertian Palace Theatre for the première of a new picture. The mob terrifies Tod, and he now recognites it as a demonic collective entity, unstoppable once aroused except by machine guns. In one of West's rare Marxist slantings, the mob includes no workingsoen, but is entirely "made up of the lower middle classes." Tod concludes.

It was a mistake to think them harmless currously seekers. They were savage and butter, especially the middle-aged and the old, and had been made so by boredom and disappointment.

All their lives they had slaved at some kind of dull, heavy

All their liver they had slaved at some kind of dull, heavy labor, behind desha and counters, in the fields and at tedious machines of all sorts, saving their pennes and dreaming of the leisure that would be theirs when they had enough. Finally that day came. They could draw a weekly moones of ten or fifteen dollars. Where else should they go but California, the land of sunshine and oranses?

Once there, they discovered that unathure in 't enough. They get tired of oranges, even of avocado pears and passion fruit. Nothing happens. They don't know what to do with their time. They haven't the mental equipment for leiture, the money nor the physical equipment for pleasure. Did they take so long just to go on an occasional Jowa punitk What the is there? They watch the ware come in at Venice. There want any ocean where

most of them came from, hut after you've seen one wave you've seen them all. The same is true of the airplanes at Glendale, If only a plane would crash once in a while so that they could watch the passengers being consumed in a "holocaust of flame," as the newspapers put it. But the planes never crash.

Their boredom becomes more and more terrible. They realize that they've been tricked and burn with resentment. Every day of their lives they read the newspapers and went to the movies. Both fed them on lynchings, murder, sex crimes, explosions, wrecks, love nests, fires, miracles, revolutions, wars. This daily diet made sophisticates of them. The sun is a joke. Oranges can't titillate their jaded palates. Nothing can ever be violent enough to make taut their slack minds and bodies. They have been cheated and betrayed. They have slaved and saved for nothing.

As the marching Leather Shirts were West's fantasy of American fascism, this victous mob of the cheated lower middle class is his fantasy of American democracy, and it is overpowering and terrifying. The rest of Hollywood, the cheaters, have no more cultural identity than the "cheated," but their plight is comie or pathetic rather than menacing. They inhabit the Chamber of American Horrors, come to life. They live in "Mexican ranch houses, Samoan huts, Mediterranean villas, Egyptian and Japanese temples, Swiss chalets, Tudor cottages, and every possible combination of these styles." Tod sees "a miniature Rhine castle with tarpaper turrets pierced for archers. Next to it was a little highly colored shack with domes and minarets out of the Arabian Nights." The house Homer rents is Irish peasant style: "It had an enormous and very crooked stone channey, little dormer windows with big hoods and a thatched roof that came down very low on both sides of the front door. This door was of gumwood painted like fumed oak and it hung on enormous hinges. Although made by machine, the hinges had been carefully stamped to appear hand-forced. The same kind of care and skill had been used to make the roof thatching, which was not really straw but beavy fireproof paper colored and ribbed to look like straw." The living room is "Spanish," with red and gold silk armorial banners and a plaster galleon; the bedrooms "New England," with spool beds made of iron grained like wood.

STANLEY EDGAR HYMAN

The people are as purrous as the bouser and things. An old Hollywood Indian called Chief Kiss-My-Towkus speak a language of "Vas you dere, Sharley?" Human communication is impossible anywhere in Hollywood. At a party of mosie people, the men go off to talk shop and as feast one woman assumes that they are telling durry jokes. Harry and Faye are unable to quarrel in words, but have blitter wordless battles in which the laught in marely, she unge and darner. Even Faye's sensual genure of weing her lips with her tongue as the smiler is meaningless. At first To datales in to be an invitation, and drawm: "Her lips must take of blood and tall." Evenually fire thicovers the fruth: "It was one of her most characteristic genures and very effective. It seemed to promue all wate of undefined intimates, yet it was really as simple and automatic as the word thanks, She used it to teward anyone for anything, no matter how numporeach."

One of the clues West gives to his conception of the nature and desuny of his characters is subity dropped in a comic scene. Tod and Homer meet a neighbor of Homer's, Maybelle Loomis, and her eight year-old son, Adore, whom she has trained as a per-former, He is decised as an adult, his eyebrows are plucked, and he sines a salacious song with a mechanical counterfeit of sexualtty: "When he came to the final chorus, his buttocks writhed and his voice carried a top-heavy load of sexual pain." In a more personal display. Adore makes horrible faces at Homer, and Mrs. Loonus apologies: "He thinks he's the Frankenstein monster." Adore is the Frankenstein monster, and it is he who is killed by Homer in the book's last scene. But Homer too it the Frankenstein monster, getting out of bed "in sections, like a poorly made automaton," and his hands are progeny monsters. Earle is a lesser monster, a wound up cowboy toy, and Miguel is a phallic Jack-inthe box. More than any of them, Faje is a Frankenstein monster. a mechanical woman self-created from bits of vanished film beroines, and her invulnerability is the invulnerability of the already dead. Here is the novel's deepest indictment of the American civilization it symbolizes in Hollywood: if the rubes are theated

by the image of an artificially colored orange, Tod is more deeply cheated by a zombie love; our dreams are fantasies of death.

In his article "Some Notes an Violence," published in Contact in 1932, West writes: "What is melodramatic in European writing is not necessarily so in American writing. For a European writer to make violence real, he has to do a great deal of careful psychology and sociology. He aften needs three hundred pages to motivate one little murder. But not so the American writer. His audience has been prepared and is neither surprised nor shocked if he onits artistic excuses for familiar events." The action of The Day of the Locust is the releasing of springs of violence that have been wound too tight: Abe's sexual maining of Earle, Miguel smashing Abe against the wall in retaliation, Homer's brutal murder of Adore, the riot of the cheated. All of these are directly or indirectly inspired by Faye: Earle and Abe and Miguel are competing for Faye, Faye has made Homer insane, Homer's act triggers the mob's linanity.

The party scene consists of a progressive stripping of Faye. She receives her five male guests wearing a pair of green silk lounging pajamas with the top three huttons open. By the time she dances with Miguel all the huttons are open. In the succeeding fight her pajamas are badly torn, and she takes off the trousers, revealing tight black lace drawers. When Homer finds ber in bed with Miguel, the is naked, It beautifully represents a metaphoric stripping of Faye in the course of the book. Darwin writes that we observe the face of Nature "bright with gladness," and forget the war to the death behind its innocent appearance. Faye is that bright glad face of Nature, and the stripping gradually reveals the violence and death her beauty conceals. The novel is a great unmasking of a death's head.

West's literary techniques in The Day of the Locust develop organically out of his themes. The imagery for Hollywood wild and surrealist. Tod's friend Claude Estee, a successful screen writer, has a lifetire rubber dead home, hloated and putrelying, in his swimming pool. The supermarket plays colored spotlights on the foods: "The orange were bathed in red, the lemons in

herring, bought at Jewish delicatessens. The spoken language in the book is a tribute to the delicacy of West's ear. It includes Harry Greener's vaudeville jargon: "Joe was laying up with a whisker in the old Fifth Avenue when the stove exploded. It was the hroad's hushand who blew the whistle." Along with it there is the very different belligerent idiom of Abe Kusich, shouting "No quiff can give Abe Kusich the fingeroo and get away with it," calling Earle a "pee-hole bandit," or boasting after he has incapacitated him, "I fixed that buckeroo." At the same time there is the witty and epigrammatic conversation of Claude and Tod. Typically, Claude describes Mrs. Jenning's hrothel as "a triumph of industrial design," Tod answers that he nevertheless finds it depressing, "like all places for deposit, hanks, mail boxes, tombs, vending machines," and Claude then improvises on that set theme. Claude is clearly West's ideal vision of himself: "He was master of an involved comic rhetoric that permitted him to express his moral indignation and still keep his reputation for worldliness and wit"

Some of the images in the book are as powerful as any in Miss Lonelyhearts. One is hird blood. We see it first as Earle plucks some qualit. "Their feathers Iell to the ground, point first, weighed down by the tiny drop of blood that trembled on the tips of their quills." It respects magnified and horrible as the losing cock's beak breaks: "A large hubble of blood rose where the beak had been." Another powerful image is of Homer crying, at first mains solubing "like an ax chopping pine, a heavy, hollow, chunking noise." A third image is the scene of male communion between Tod and Homer, resembling that between Miss Lonelyhearts and Doyle, and like it a prelude to violence. Tod and Homer leave the party to it out on the cuth, and Homer ais inarticulate, with a "sweet grin on his face," then takes Tod's hand and makes "trembling signals of affection."

The book's most vivid sustained image, perhaps more powerful than anything in Miss Lonelyhearts, is the riot, which is nightmarithly sexual as well as threatening. Swept along by the moh,

STANLEY EDGAR HYMAN

Tod is thrown against a young gul whose clothes have been half torn off. With her thigh between his legs, she clings to lum, and he discovers that the is being attacked from behind by an old man who has a hand inside her dress and is biting her neck. When Tod frees her from the old man, she is seried by another man, as Tod is swept impotently by. In another part of the crowd, they are talking with delight of a persert who ripped up a gul with a pair of stanor, as they hug and pinch one another. Tod finally kicks off a woman trying to hang on to him, and escapes with no more than his leg broken, and a vision of the mob for his painting as "a great united from of irrevibilis and crewboxes."

Despute this and other very powerful scenes, I think that The Day of the Locust ultimately fails as a novel. Shifting from Tod to Ilomer and book to Tod, it has no dramatic unity, and an comparison with Miss Londyhearts, It has no moral core. Where Miss Londyhearts' inability to stay in Betty's Eden is heartbreaking. Tod's disillusion with Faje is only sobering, and where the end of the former is trajec, the end of this, Tod in the police car screaming along with the siren; is merely hysteric.

There is humor but little joy in West'a novels, obsessive sexuality but few consummations (except for that sit up and lie-down doll Betty Prail). The world West thows us is for the most part repulsive and terrifying. It is his genius to have found objective correlatives for our sickness and fears; our maimed and ambivalent sexuality, our terror of the idiot mass, our helpless empathy with suffering, our love perserted into sadism and masochism. West did this in convincing present-day forms of the great myths: the Quest, the Scapegoat, the Holy Fool, the Dance of Dezth. His strength lay in his vulgarity and bad taste, his pessimism, his nastiness. West could never have been the affirmative political writer he sometimes imagined, or written the novels that he told his publisher, just before his death, he had planned: "simple, warm and kindly books." We must assume that if West had lived, he would have continued to write the sort of novels he had written before, perhaps even finer ones.

Nathanael West

In his short tormented life, West achieved one authentically great novel, Miss Lonelyhearis, and three others less successful as wholes but full of brilhant and wonderful things. He was a true pioneer and culture hero, making it possible for the younger symbolists and fantasists who came after him, and who include our best writers, to do with relative case what he did in defance of ' the temper of his time, for so luttle reward, in solation and in pain.

Selected Bibliographies

EDITH WHARTON

NOVELS AND COLLECTIONS OF SHORT STORIES The Greater Inclination New York Scribner's, 1899 The Touchitone New York Scribner's, 1900, Gructal Instances New York Scribner's, 1901

Works

The Felley of Decision. New York. Scribners, 1908
Sanctuary New York: Scribners, 1909, New York.
The Descent of Man and Other Stonen. New York. Scribners, 1904
The House of Mirth, New York: Scribners, 1907,
Meddome de Treymen, New York Scribners, 1907,
Meddome de Treymen, New York Scribners, 1907,
The Hermit and the Widd Woman and Other Stonen. New York. Scribners, 1907
The Hermit and the Widd Woman and Other Stonen. New York. Scribners, 1907

1908.
Tales of Men and Ghosts. New York: Scribners, 1910.
Ethan Frome. New York Scribner's, 1913.
The Reef New York: Appleton, 1912

The Gustom of the Country. New York: Scribner's, 1913 Xingu and Other Stories. New York: Scribner's, 1916. Summer, New York: Appleton, 1917.

The Marne, New York: Appleton, 1918.
The Age of Innocence New York: Appleton, 1920.
The City of the Mary York: Appleton, 1920.

The Glimpses of the Moon, New York: Appleton, 1912.

A Son at the Front, New York: Schbacre, 1913.

Old New York: Eetse Dawn (The Fortes): The Old Maid (The 'Fifites), The

Old New York: Eette Dawn (The Fortres): The Old Maid (The Fifters), The Spark (The Stattes): New York Day (The Seventres), New York, Appleton, 1924.
The Mother's Recomberise, New York: Appleton, ross.

Here and Beyond. New York: Appleton, 1926.
Thulight Sleep. New York: Appleton, 1937.
The Children. New York: Appleton, 1937.
Hudon River Bracketed. New York: Appleton, 1939.
Certain People New York: Appleton, 1930.
The Gods Arrive. New York: Appleton, 1931.
Human Neture. New York: Appleton, 1931.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHIES

The World Over New York: Appleton Centusy, 1935. Chasts New York. Appleton Centusy, 1937.

The Buccanters New York: Appleton-Century, 1938.

POETRY

Ferses Newport, R.L., C. E. Hammett, Jr., 1878.
Ariems to Aciacon and Other Ferse New York: Seribner's. 1909.
Twelve Poems London. The Medad Society, 1918.

NONFICTION

The Decoration of Houses (with Ogden Codman, Jr.) New York: Scribner's, 1807.

1893. Italian Filles and Their Gardens New York Century, 1904. Italian Backgrounds New York; Senbarr's, 1905. A Motor Flight through Franca New York, Senburr's, 1908.

A Motor Flight through France New York, Scribner's, 1908. Fighting France, from Dunkerque to Belfort New York; Scribner's, 1915-French Ways and Their Meaning New York, Appleton, 1919. In Margeo New York, Scribner's, 1910.

The Writing of Fution, New York, Scribnet's, 1925
A Backward Glance New York, Appleton-Century, 1924.

TRANSLATIONS

The Joy of Living, by Hermann Sudermann New York: Scribner's, 1902.

COMPILATIONS

The Book of the Nomeless New York, Scribner's, 1915.

Elemai Fastion in English Poetry (with Robert Norton and Gaillard Lapsley).

New York: Appleton Century, 1926.

Critical and Biographical Studies

Andrews, Wayne Introduction to The Best Short Stories of Edith Whartor-New York, Scribners, 2028, (Includes fragments from Mrs. Wharton's diary) Brooks, Van Wyck, The Confident Fears New York: Dutton, 1952.

Browne, E. K. Edsh Wherton, Etude Critique, Farst Labratic E. Droz. 1935 Edel, Leon. "Eduh Wharton," in The Dettomary of American Biography. Volume 12. New York, Scribier's, 1938.

James, Henry. Letters to Walter Berry. Paris: Black Sun Press, 1928. Kazin, Alfred. On Nature Grounds New York: Reyral and Hischcock, 1941-Lubbock, Perry, Portrast of Edith Whatese New York: Appleton Century.

Crofts, 1947.

Nevus, Blake. Edith Wharton, A Study of Her Fiction. Betkeley and Los Angeles University of California Press, 2053.

SINGLAIR LEWIS

Works

Our Mr. Wrenn The Ramantic Adventures of a Genile Man. New York: Harper, 1914.

- The Trail of the Hank' A Comedy of the Seciousness of Life New York' Harper, 1915-
- The Job An American Novel New York. Harper, 1917 The Innocents A Story for Locers New York Harper, 1917.
- Free Air, New York: Harcourt, Brace, and Howe, 1919
- Main Street The Story of Carol Aennacott New York' Harcourt, Brace, 1920. Babbitt. New York, Harcoust, Brace, 2022.
- Arrowsmith New York: Harcourt, Biace, 1925.
- Mantrab New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1026 Elmer Gantry New York' Harcourt, Brace, 1927
- The Man Who Knew Coolidge. Being the Soul of Louell Schmaltz, Constructive and Nordie Citizen New York, Harcourt, Brace, 1018.
- Dodswarth New York, Harcourt, Brace, 1929
- Ann Fickers New York' Doubleday, Doran, 1935 Work of Art New York Doubleday, Doran, 1934.
- Selected Short Stories New York Doubleday, Dotan, 1945.
- layhawker. A Play in Three Acis (written with Lloyd Lewis) New York; Doubleday, Doran, 1045.
- It Can't Happen Here New York, Doubleday, Doran, 1935. The Producal Parents. New York: Doubleday, Doran, 1018
- Bethel Merriday, New York Doubleday, Doran, 1010
- Gideon Planuh, New York: Doubleday, Doran, 1945.
- Gass Temberlane: A Novel of Husbands and Wives New York' Random House.
- Kingiblood Royal, New York Random House, 1947
- The God-Seeker, New York, Random House, 1949.
- World So Wide New York' Random House, 1051.
- From Main Street to Stockholm Letters of Sinclair Lewis, 1919-1930, edited by Harrison Smith, New York, Haicoust, Brace, 1052
- The Man from Main Street: Selected Essays and Other Westings, 1904-1950, edited by Harry E. Maule and Melville H. Cane, New York: Random House, 1953.

Critical and Biographical Studies

- Grebstein, Sheldon Norman, Sinclair Lewis, New York: Twayne, 1962 Guthrie, Ramon "Sinclair Lewis and the 'Labor Novel,' " Proceedings (Second Series, Number 2), American Academy of Arts and Letters, New York, 1942.
 - (An interesting account of Lewis attempt to write his labor novel) ... "The 'Labor Novel' That Sinclair Lewis Never Wrote," New York Herald Tribune Books, February 10, 1952. (A shorter version of the preced-
 - "Harrison, Oliver" (Harrison Smith), Sinclest Lewis, New York: Harcourt,
 - Brace, 1925. (A promotion piece communioned by Lewis' publisher) Hoffman, Frederick 1. The Twenties: American Wisting in the Postwar Decade. New York: Viking, 1955.
- Lewis, Grace Hegges, Half a Loaf. New York; Laveright, 1931. (This novel by Lewis' first wife is a bizaire roman & clet)
- With Love from Gracie, New York: Harmourt, Brace, 1955. (A biographical memoir following closely Half a Loaf.)
- Manson, Alexander (as told to Helen Camp), "The Last Days of Sinclair Lewis," Saturday Evening Post, 225 27, 210-12 (March 31, 1051). (An account by Lewis' last secretary, much less effective than Perry Miller's)

SELECTED BIRLIOGRAPHIES

Miller, Perry, "The Incorruptible Sinclair Lewis," Allaniic, 187, 10-14 (April 1951) (A persuanvely written impression of Lewis' last days in Florence) Schoter, Mark, Sinclair Lewis, An American Life, New York: McGraw-Hill.

1061 (Contains a reliable there has of Lewis' publications.) - ed Sinclair Lewis A Collection of Critical Essays Englewood Chills, N J · Prentice Hall (Spectrum Books), softs. (The best critical writing about

Lewis is contained in this collection)

Sherman, Stuart Pratt. The Significance of Sinclair Lewis, New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1982 (A promotion piece commissioned by Lewis' publishers.) Thompson, Dorothy, "Boy and Man from Sauk Centre," Atlantic, 206 39-48

(November 1960). (A touching piece of reminiscent speculation.) Van Doren, Carl. Sinclair Lewis A Biographical Sheich. With a Bibliography by Harvey Taylor, New York Doubleday, Doran, 1935 (A promotion piece communioned by Lewis' publisher; the bibliography is highly unreliable)

F. SCOTT FITZGERALD

This Side of Paradue, New York: Scribner's, 2020,

Works Flappers and Philosophers, New York: Scribner's, sort, (Contains "The Offshore Pirate," "The lor Palace," "Head and Shoulders," "The Cut-Glass Bowl," Bernice Bobs Her Halr," "Benediction," "Dalyrimple Goes Wrong."

and "The Four Figur." The Beautiful and Damned. New York: Scribner's, 1922.

Tales of the Jazz Age. New York: Scribper's, 1922, (Contains "The Jelly-Bean," "The Camel's Back," "May Day," "Portelain and Pink," "The Dismond as Big as the Rita," "The Ourious Case of Benjamin Button," "Tarquin of Cheapside," "O Russet Witch!" "The Lees of Happiness," "Mr. Icky." and "Jemins.")

The Vegetable, of From President to Postman, New York: Scribner's, 1935.

The Great Galiby, New York: Scribner's, 1925. All the Sad Young Men. New York: Sunbact's, 1926. (Contains "The Rich Boy." "Winter Dreams," "The Baby Party," "Absolution," "Rags Martin Jones and the Pr-nor of W-les," "The Adjuster," "Hot and Cold Blood," "The

Sensible Thing," and "Gretchen's Force Winks,") Tender Is the Night. New York: Scribner's, 1934.

Taps at Reveille, New York: Sembner's, 2015. (Contains Basil: 1. "The Scandal Detectives," a. "The Freshest Boy," 3. "He Thinks He's Wonderful," 4. "The Captured Shadow," s. "The Perfect Life": Josephine: t. "First Blood." 2-"A Nice Quiet Pisce," s. "A Woman with a Past"; and "Crary Sunday,"
"Two Wrongs," "The Night of Chancellorsville," "The Last of the Belles," "Majesty," "Family in the Wind," "A Short Trip Home," "One Interne," "The Fiend," and "Babylon Revisited.")

The Last Tycoon, edited by Edmund Wilson, New York: Scribner's, 1941.

The Crack-Up, edited by Edmund Wilson New York: New Directions, 1945-(Contains "Echoes of the Jars Age," "My Lost City," "Ring," "Show Mr. and Mrs. F. to Number....... "Auction... Model 1934," "Steeping and Waking." "The Crack Up," "Handle with Care," "Pasting It Together," "Early Success." "The Note-Books," Letters)

The Stones of F Scott Fitzgerold, a Sciences of as stories with an Introduction by Malcolm Cowley, New York: Scribner's, 2052. (Contains eighteen stories from the four earlier volumes and "Magnetum," "The Rough Crossing."

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHIES

"The Bridal Party," "An Alcoholic Case," "The Long Way Out," "Financing Finnegan," "Pat Hobby Humself: A Patrioue Short, Two Old Timers," "Three Hours between Planes," and "The Lost Decade," all previously uncollected.) Alternoon of an Author, A Selection of Uncollected Stories and Essays, with an Introduction and Notes by Arthur Mizener, New York, Scribner's, 1958. (Con-

tains twelve atories and eight emays: "A Night at the Fair," "Forging Ahead." "Banl and Cleopatra," "Outside the Cabinet Maker's," "Ooe Trip Abroad." "I Didn't Get Ovet," "Afternoon of an Author," "Design in Plaster," Pat Hobby: s. "Boil Some Water - Lots of It," a. "Teamed with Genius," 3. "No Harm Trying," "News of Paris - Fifteen Years Ago," "Princeton," "Who's Who - and Why," "How to Live on \$16,000 a Year," "How to Live on Prac tically Nothing a Year," "How to Waste Material: A Note on My Generation." "Ten Years in the Advertision Business," "One Hundred False Starts," and "Author's House,")

The Pat Hobby Stories, with an Introduction by Arnold Gingrich New York. Scribner's, 196a. (Contains "Pat Hobby's Christmas Wish," "A Man io the Way." "Boil Some Water - Lots of It." "Teamed with Geniut." "Pat Hobby and Orson Welles," 'Pat Hobby's Secret," "Pat Hobby, Putative Father, "The Homes of the Stars," "Pat Hobby Does His Bit," "Pat Hobby's Preview," "No Harm Trying," "A Patriotic Short," "Oo the Trail of Pat Hobby," "Fun in an Arust's Studio." "Two Old-Timers," "Mightler Than the Sword."

"Pat Hobby's College Days.") The Letters of F. Scott Fitzerald, edited by Andrew Turnbull New York Sembner's, 1001.

Bibliographies

Beebe, Maurice, and Jackson R. Bryer, "Criticism of F. Scott Fitzgerald, A Selected Checklus," Modern Fection Studies, 7 82-94 (Spring 1961). Bryer, Jackson R. "F. Scott Fitzgerald and His Critica: A Bibliographical

Record," Bulletin of Bibliography, as 155-58, 180-83, got-7 (January-September 1961). Mitener, Arthut, "Fitzgerald's Published Work," The Far Side of Paradise.

Critical and Biographical Studies

Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1951.

Barrett, William, "Fitzgerald and America," Partuan Review, 18, 245-52. (May-fune 1951). Bewley, Mattur "Soutt Fittgerald and the Collapse of the American Dream."

to The Eccentric Design: Form in the Classic American Novel, New York; Columbia University Press, 2010. Bushop, John Peale, "The Missing All." Firenna Quarterly Review, 14'107-21

(Winter 1987).

Bruccoli, Matthew J. The Composition of Tender Is the Night, Pittaburgh; University of Pittsburgh Press, 1962.

ed. Futzgerald Newsletter, Number 1 (Spring 1938) to date. Callaghan, Morley E That Summer in Paris; Memories of Tangled Friendships with Hemingway, Fitzgerald, and Some Others. New York: Coward-McCann, 1064

Chase, Richard. The American Novel and Ba Tradition. Garden City, NY .: Doubleday (Anchot Books), 1967, Pp. 162-67.

Ehle, Kenneth E. F. Scott Fitzgerald, New York: Twayne, 1964.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHIES

Genmar, Maxwell "F Scott Fitzgerald Orestes at the Ritz," in The Last of the Provincials The Americal Novel, 1915-1925 Boston: Houghton Millin, 1945

Goldhurst, William F Scott Fitzgerald and His Contemporaries Cleveland. World, 1963

Graham, Sheilah, and Gerold Frank Beloved Infidel New York Holt, 1958.

Pp 175-737 Harding, D W Scott Flugerald, Scrutiny, 18 166-74 (Winter 1951-53) Hoffman, Frederick J "Points of Moral Reference A Comparative Study of Edith Whatton and F. Scott Flugerald," in English Institute Essays 1949,

World, 1951 Kuehl, John 'Scott Fitzgerald's Reading," Princeton University Library

Chronicle, \$x 55-89 (Winter 1961)
Leighton, Lawrence An Autopsy and a Prescription," Hound and Horn,

5.519-59 (July 1931)
Miller, James F., Jr. The Fictional Technique of Scott Fittgerald International

Scholars Forum, vol. 9 The Mague Martinus Nijhoff, 1937
Nurper Arthur The Far Side of Faredue Boston Houghton Mifflin, 1931.

Scott Fitzgerald and the 1980's," Minnesote Review, 1'161-74 Uanuary

"Scott Furgerald and the Top Girl," Atlantic Monthly, 207 55-60 (March 1951)

Cliffe, N I Prenice Hall (Spectrum Books), 1962

Modern Fiction Studies, vol. 7 (Spring 1961) (Essays on Fitzgerald by A. E. Dyson, Eugene White, Donald A. Yaten, Marithew J. Bruccoli, Kent and Greichen Kreuter, Robert F. McDonnell, John E. Hart, and John Rueht J. Moers, E. F. F. Scott Fitzgerald Reveille at Taps, Commentary, 34, 285-30

(December 1968)
Morris, Wright "The Function of Nostalgas - F Scott Fitzgerald," in The Territory Ahead New York Harcourt, Blace, 1918

Perosa, Sergio, L'arie di F. Scott Fitzgerald Roque. Editioni di Storia e Let teratura, 1961

Fiper, Henry Dan. "Frank Norses and Scott Estretald." Huntington Library Quarterly. 19 353-400 (August 1956) Schulberg, Budd. "Old Scott: The Mask, the Riyth, and the Man," Esquire,

55 95-101 (January 1961).
Tompkins, C. "Living Well Is the Best Revenge," New Yorker, 28 21-50

Tumbuil, Andrew. Scott Fingerald. New York: Scribner's, 1953

WILLIAM FAULKNER

Works

The Marble Faun, with a Preface by Phil Stone Boaton. The Four Seas Company, 1974.
Soldiers' Pay, New York. Bond and Liveright, 1925 Monquitors, New York. Bond and Liveright, 1925. Sartoris New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1919
The Sound and the Fury New York, Jonathan Cape and Harrison Smith.

1939
At I Lay D) ing New York Jonathan Cape and Harrison Smith, 1930

Sanctuary New York: Jonathan Cape and Harrison Smith, 1931.

Thest Thirteen New York. Jonathan Cape and Harrison Smith, 1931. (Contains "Victory," "All the Bead Pilots," "Crevasic," "A Justice," "Mustral," "Ad Astra," "Red Leaves," "Drower in Naples," "Carcasone," "A Rose for

Emily," 'Hatt," "That Evening Sun," and "Dry September.")
'Ayll in the Detect. New York: Random House, 1941, 'A limited edition of

400 copies, never reprinted)
Miss Zilphia Gost The Book Club of Texas, 1932. (A limited edition of 300 copies, never reprinted)

Light in August New York Harrison Smith and Robert Haar, 1932.

Salmagunds, Milwaukee The Cassnova Press, 1932 (Contains early essays and poems, mostly from the Double Dealer)

A Green Bough, New York: Harrison Smith and Robert Hazs, 1935.

A Lifect abugh, New York, Hartison Smith and Robert Haas, 1935,

Doctor Mertino and Other Stones New York: Harrison Smith and Robert

Haas, 1934 (Contains "Black Music," "Leg." "Doctor Martino," "For Hunt,"

"Death Drag," "There Was a Queen," "Smoke," "Turn About," "Beyond,"

"Wash," "Elly," "Mountain Victory," "Honor,")
Pylon, New York: Harrison Smith and Robert Haza, 1935

Absalom, Absolom! New York, Random House, 1936.
The Unvanguahed New York: Random House, 1938
The Wild Palms New York: Random House, 1939.

The Hamlet New York: Random House, 1940

Go Down, Moses and Other Stories New York Random House, 1942 (In

Go Down, Moses and Other Stories New York: Kandom House, 1948 (In subsequent printings and other deditions, "and Other Stories" was omitted from the title, thus emphasizing the unity of the collection) Intruder in the Duils New York: Random House, 1948.

Night's Gambit New York Random House, 1999. (Consins "Smoke," reprinted from Doctor Marino, "Monk," "Hand upon the Watern," "Tomorrow," "An Error in Chemiting," and "Knight's Cambit 7

Golfetted Stones of William Fasikare New York Random Bouse, 1950. Reputate all the tiones from Theer Thinteen and Doctor Marines as well as "Artist it Home," "The Brough," "Centager in Brain," "A Countiby," "Gold on Land," "Lot," "Mule on the Yard," "My Grandonter Milliard and Gen eral Bodford Forrest and the Basile of Hersphan Creft, "Templyson Stawall Be Hine," "The Solders," and "tude Wally," he Lail Men, "That Wall Be Hine," "The Solders," and "tude Wally,"

Notes on a Horsethief Greenville, Miss., The Levee Press, 1951.
Requiem for a Nun, New York, Random House, 1951

A Fable. New York: Random House, 1954.

Big Woods New York: Random House, 1955 (A collection of earlier storics plus "Race at Morning")

New Orleans Sketches by William Faultner, Tokyn, Japan. The Hokuseldo Press, 1955. (Contains the "Misrors of Chartres Street" sketches which appeared originally in the Tomes Presignar)

peans originately in the Jimes ranguage. Faulther at Nagano, Tokyo, Japan, The Kenksusha Press, 1956. (Contains intertient Faulther gave during his visit to Japan, plus statements and speeches). Faulther in the University, edited by Predenck L. Gwinn and Joseph Blot.

18 273

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHIES

ner. Charlottesville, Va... University Press of Virginia, 1959 (Contains questions put to Faulkner by students and faculty and his replice.)

The Town. New York Random House, 1957
The Mansion New York: Random House, 1959.
The Reports New York, Random House, 1962.

The Revers New York. Random House, 1952 William Fauliners Early Prose and Poetry, educed by Carvel Collins. Boston: Lattle, Brown, 1962.

Bibliographies

Beebe, Maurice "Criticism of William Fauliner: A Selected Checklut with an Index to Studies of Separate Works," Modern Fiction Studies, 2-150-64 (Autumn 1936) (Published at Pardue University, Lafayette, Indiana) (Autumn 1986) (Fablished at Pardue University, Lafayette, Indiana) (Autumn 1986) (Fablished at Pardue (Fabrished at Pardue) (Published Fauliner, New

Haven, Coun.: Yale University Labrary, 1946
Meriwether, James B. "William Faulkner: A Checklist," Princeton Library
Chronicle, 18 150-58 (Spring 1957)

Critical and Biographical Studies

Beck, Warren, Men in Motion Madson University of Wisconsin Press, 1961-Broks, Cleanth. William Faulkney: The Toknapatampha Country. New Haven, Conn. Yale University Press, 1965.

Campbell, Harry M., and Ruel E Foster, William Faulkner A Critical Apprairal Norman University of Oklahoma Press, 1951

Culten, John B. Old Times in the Faulaner Country Chapel Hill University of North Carolina Press, 1961

Hoffman, Frederick J. William Faulhner New York, Twayne, 1981

East Lansing' Michigan State University Press, 1980. Howe, Irving, William Feulkner & Critical Study New York, Random House,

1952, revised 1964. Miner, Ward L. The World of William Faulhner Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 1968.

O'Connor, William Van. The Tangled Fire of Walliam Faulkner. Minneapolist University of Minnesota Press, 1934 Thompson, Lawrance. William Faulkner. New York. Barnes and Noble, 1963

ERNEST HEMINGWAY

Principal Works

Three Stores and Ten Forms. Paris and Dijon Contact Publishing Co., 1943 In Our Time. New York. Bond and Literaght, 1945 The Torrest of Jonny, New York. Sonhers, 1945.
The Sun Aito Ruse. New York: Scahners, 1946.
He suthout Women. New York: Scahners, 1949.

A Farewell to Armi, New York; Schbuer's, 1949 Death in the Afternoon, New York; Schbuer's, 1933 Winner Take Nothing New York; Schbuer's, 1935 Green Hills of Africa, New York; Schbuer's, 1935 To Have and Have Not. New York; Schbuer's, 1935.

The Fifth Column and the First Forty-Nine Stones. New York. Scribnet's, 1938. For Whom the Bell Tolls. New York: Scribnet's, 1940 Across the River and into the Trees New York Scribners, 1950 The Old Man and the Sea New York Scribner's, 1952

Bibliographies

Baker, Carlos 'A Working Checklist of Hemingway's Prose, Poetry, and Journalism—with Notes," in Hemingway The Writer as Artiul Princeton, N.J. Princeton University Press, 592a, thank edition, enlarged, 1955.

———, "A Checklist of Hemingway Criticism," in Hemingway and His Critics.

An International Anthology New York Hill and Wang, 1961,

An international Antiology New 2014. Still and Wing, 1901.

Beebe, Maurice "Criticism of Emest Hemingway A Selected Checklist with
an Index to Studies of Separate Works," Modern Fiction Studies, 1 36-45
(August 1955)

Samuels, Lee. A Heminguay Check List New York, Scribner's, 1951

Biographies

Hemingway, Leicester My Brother, Ernest Hemingway Cleveland World, 1052.

1901.
Ross, Lillian. Portreit of Hemingway. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1961.
Sanford, Marcelline Hemingway. At the Hemingway: A Femily Portreit,
Boston. Little, Brown 1652.

Critical Studies

Baker, Carlos Hemingway: The Writer as Artist Princeton, N.J. Princeton University Press, 1942; third edition, enlarged, 1958.

unvenuy ries, 1931 inite cition, etiangui, 1993.

Net Val. Herniques and Bu Crisco. Al Decept Phonologia, André Mauria, Edmind Wilson, André Mauria, Edmind Wilson, Lonel Trilling, H. E. Baier, Mark Spills, Harry Levin, Mano Pers, Peter Praceco Poblin, Demeng Brown, Ivan Stahken, Michael F. Moloney, Teedenc I Carpenter, Arturo Barea, Hort Oppel, Opph Warres Back, Melvin Backma, Chinnol S Burhant, Fr. and Reitchl

Harada)
Featon, Charles A. The Apprenticeship of Ernest Hemingway New York

Farrar, Straus, and Young, 1954
Killinger, John. Hemingawy and the Dead Gods A Study in Eastentialism

Lexungton: University of Kontocky Fren, 1951
McCallery, John, M., et. Ernes Hemmyony: The Mass and His Work
Cleviand: World, 1950, (Essays by John Groth, Gettrade Sten, Malchoto
Covley, Lincols Kuntens, Mass Lestman, J. Kashken, Elliot Faul, John
Covley, Lincols Kuntens, Mass Lestman, J. Kashken, Elliot Faul, John
Coving, Lincols L. Land, Mass Lester, Lincols L. Land, Mass Lester, Lincols L. John
Charles Could Cover Cover

Theodore Bardacke) Modern Fiction Studies, Volume 1 (August 1955) (Essays by Melvin Backman, Tom Burnam, C. Hugh Holman, Bernard S. Oldsey, H. K. Russell, and

Green D. Wyrick)
Rovit, Earl. Erneit Hemingwey, New York: Twayne, 1965.

Sanderson, S. F. Ernest Heminguay New York: Grove, 1961.

Weeks, Robert P., ed. Hemingway A Collection of Critical Essays Englewood Cliffs, N J: Prenice Hall, 1962 (Eurays by Lillian Ross, Malcolm Cowley, E. M. Halliday, Harry Levin, Lessie Feedler, D. H. Lawrence, Philip Young,

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHIES

Sean O Faolain, Cleanth Brooks and Robert Penn Watten, Carlos Bakes Mark Spilks, Ray B. West, Jr., Nemt D'Agostino, Joseph Waldmeir, and Leon Edel) Young, Philip Emest Hemingway, New York: Rinebart, 1952.

THOMAS WOLFE

Principal Works

Look Homeward, Angel New York: Seribnet's, 1939. 01 Time and the River New York: Seribnet's, 1935. From Death to Marning New York: Seribnet's, 1935. The Story of a howel New York. Seribnet's, 1935. The 1926 and the Rock, New York. Harper, 1939.

You Can t Ga Home Again New York Harpet, 1940. The Hills Beyond New York Harper, 1941.

Thomas Wolfe's Letters to Mrs Mother Julia Elizabeth H'olfe, edited with an Introduction by John Statts Torm New York's Sentimet's, and

Introduction by John Skally Terry, New York: Sembner's, 1945 Mannerhause A Pley in a Prologue and Three Acts New York: Harper, 1948 A Western Journal Pstuburgh, Unaversity of Pstubutgh Peres, 1951-

A return journal ritidiancy, University of ritidians a returning to the Correspondence of Thomas Welle and Homer Andrew Well, edited by Outr Catgill and Thomas Clark Pollock, New York, New York University Press, 1931.

The Letters of Thomas Wolfe, collected and edited by Elizabeth Nowell. New York Scribner's, total

Welcome to Our City. A so-Scene Play, Enquire Megatine, 48 58-83 (October 1957).

The Short Novels of Thomas Wolfe, edited with an Introduction and Notes by C. Hugh Holman New York. Senbner's, 1981. (Contains the original vertical of A Portrait of Bascom Houke, The Web of Earth, No Door, "I Have a Thing is Tell You." and The Party at Int/4.

Thing to Tell You," and The Party at Jack's)
Thomas Wolfe's Purdue Speech, edited by William Braswell and Leslie A.
Field Lafayette, Ind. Purdue University Studies, 1964.

Books of Selections

The Face of a Nation: Poetical Passages from the Writings of Thomas Walfe [selected by John Hall Wheelock] New York: Scribner's, 1939.

A Stone, a Leaf, a Door, Forms by Thomas Wolfe, selected and arranged in verse by John S. Barnes New York: Scribner's, 1945 The Portable Thomas 18 folls, edgeed by Maswell Ceitings New York, Viking.

1946. (Contains The Story of a Novel, episodes from each of the novels, and six short stories.)
The Thomas Wolfe Reader, edited with an introduction and Notes by C. Hugh Holman, New York, Scriberr's, 106s. (Contains The Story of a Novel and

selections from all of Wolfe's other books.)

Bibliographies

Holman, C. Hugh, "Thomas Wolfe: A Bibliographical Study," Texas Studies in Literature and Language, 1 427-43 (Autumn 1959)

Johnson, Elmer D. Of Time and Thomas Wolfer & Bibliography with a Character Index of Hu Works, New York: Scarcetow Press, 1980, (See, however,

Alexander D. Wainwright's review in Papers of the Bibliographical Society of America, 55.258-65 (July-September 1961), for corrections) Kauffman, Bernice, "Bibliography of Periodical Articles on Thomas Wolfe,"

Bulletin of Bibliography, 17:162-65, 172-90 (May, August 1942).

Preston, George R., Jr. Thomas Wolfe. A Bibliography. New York: Charles S. Boesen, 1949

Critical and Biographical Studies

Most of the good entical and beographical essays of less than book length are reprinted in Holman, The World of Thomas Wolfe, and Walser, The Enterna of Thomas Wolfe. The following last includes some additional articles as well as books that may profitably be consulted.

Adams, Agatha Boyd, Thomas Wolfe: Carolina Student Chapel Hill: Univermay of North Carolina Library, 1950. Appoll, Chatles, "Thomas Wolfe and the Opulent Manner," Southwest Re-

trew. 48 ve-val. 81-64 (Winter 1009).

Baker, Carlos, "Thomas Wolfe's Apprenucrahip," Delphian Quarterly, 13.20-15. (Tapuary 2040)

Beach, Joseph Warren, American Fiction: 2020-2020, New York, Macmillan, 1941, Pp. 173-415.

Budd, Louis J. "The Grotesques of Anderson and Wolfe," Modern Fiction Studies, a ros-10 (Winter 1919-60).

Daniels, Jonathan. Thomas Wolfe: October Recollections. Columbia, S.C.: Bostick and Thornley, 1961.

Delakas, Daniel L. Thomas Wolfe, la France, et les romanciers français. Paris: Jouve & Car. 10to. Faton, Clement, "Student Days with Thomas Wolfe," Georgie Review, 17:146-

55 (Summer 1964). Field, Leslie A. "Wolfe's Use of Folklore," New York Folklore Quarterly,

16.203-15 (Autumn 106c). Celemat, Maxwell. Writers in Cruss, Boston, Houghton Millin, 1942. Pp 185-

Holman, C. Hugh, "The Dark Ruined Helen of His Blood': Thomas Wolfe and the South," in South: Modern Southern Laterature in Ity Cultural Setting, edited by Louis D. Rubin, Ir. and Robert D. Jacobs. Garden City, N.Y. Doubleday, 1961. Pp. 177-97.

- ed. The World of Thomas Wolfe. New York; Scribner's, 106s. (Contains The Story of a Novel and selected critical enays)

Johnson, Pamela Hansford, The Art of Thomas Wolfe, New York: Scribner's, 1963. (A teprant of Hungry Gullmer (New York: Scribner's, 1948), which was an American edition of Thomas Wolfe: A Critical Study (London: William Heinemann, 1947))

Kearns, Frank, ed. "Tum Wolfe on the Drama," Carolina Quarterly, 11.5-10 (Spring 1960) (An autobiographical sketch by Wolfe)

Kennedy, Richard S. The Window of Memory: The Literary Career of Thomas Wolfe. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 196a. Ledig Rowohlt, H. M. "Thomas Wolfe in Berlin," American Scholar, 22:185-

201 (Spring 1953). Little, Thomas, "The Thomas Wolfe Collection of William B. Wisdom," Harvard Library Bulletin, 1:280-87 (Autumn 1047).

SELECTED BINLIOGRAPHIES

McElderry, B. R., Jr "The Autobiographical Problem in Thomas Wolfe's Earlier Novels," Arizona Quarterly, 4 915-24 (Winter 1948).

"The Durable Humor of Look Homeward, Angel," Arizona Quarterly, 11 124-28 (Summer 1056).

"Thomas Wolfe Dramatist," Modern Drama, 6 1-11 (May 1965).

Thomas Wolfe New York: Twayne, 1964

Muller, Herbert J Thomas Wolfe Norfolk, Conn New Directions, 1947 Norwood, Hayden, The Marble Man's Wife Thomas Wolfe's Mother New York, Scribner's, 1047.

Nowell, Elizabeth. Thomas Wolfe. A Biography, Garden City, N.Y., Doubledar, 1060.

Pfiner, Kann Zeit und Winklichkeit bei Thomas Wolfe Heidelberg: Carl Winter, 1964

Pollock, Thomas Clark, and Oscae Cargill Thomas Wolfe at Washington Square, New York: New York University Press, 1954

Reaver, J. Russell, and Robert I, Stroner "Thomas Wolfe and Death," Georgia Review, 16 220-50 (Fall 1962)

Reeves, George M. Jr. Thomas Wolfe et Europe Paris Libraine Marcel

Didier, 1988. Reeyes, Parchal "The Humor of Thomas Wolfe," Southern Folklore Quarterly,

24 109-20 (June 1960). Rubalow, Harold U "Of Jews and Thomas Wolfe," Chicago Jewish Forum, 15 89-99 (1954)

Rubin, Louis D., Ir Thomas Wolfe The Weather of His Youth, Baton Rouge Louisiana State University Press, sout

The Ference Country Seattle University of Wathington Press, 1965 Pp 78-104 "The Self Recaptured," Kenyon Review, as 30-413 (Summer 1965).

Skipp, Francis E. "The Editing of Look Homeword, Angel," Papers of the Bibliographical Society of America, 17 1-18 (First quatter 1964) Thornton, Mary L. ed "'Dear Mabel': Letters of Thomas Wolfe to Hu Sister,

Mabel Wolfe Wheaton," South Atlantic Quarterly, So 450-83 (Autumn 1961) Welser, Richard Thomas Wolfe, An Introduction and Interpretation New York Bernes and Noble, 1961

ed. The Enigms of Thomas Wolfe Brographical and Critical Selections Cambridge, Mass ' Harvard University Press, 1988

Watkins, Floyd C, "Thomas Wolfe and the Southern Mountaineer," South Atlantic Quarterly, so 18-71 (January 2041) "Thomas Wolfe and the Nashville Arragane," Georgia Review, 7 410-

as (Winter ross) Thomas Wolfe's Characters: Portraits from Life, Norman, University

of Oklahoma Press, 1957 Wheaton, Mabel Wolle, and LeGette Blythe Thamas Wolle and His Family Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday, 1061

NATHANAEL WEST

The only book so far published on West is James F Light's Nathanacl West An Interpretative Study, to which Mr. Hyman expresses his indebtedness for nearly all of the biographical information included here, All four of West's novels are available in the one-volume The Complete Works of Nathanael West. A West library thus counts enemially of two books.

.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHIES

Works

The Dream Life of Bolso Snell, Paris and New York. Contact Editions, 1931.
Miss Lonelyhearts, New York. Laveright, 1935.
A Goof Million New York: Court Ende. 1944.

The Day of the Locust, New York Random House, 1939

'Some Notes on Violence," Contest, 1 132-35 (October 1932)
"Some Notes on Miss Lonelyhearts," Contempo, 3 1-2 (May 15, 1935)

"Business Deal," Americana, 1.14-15 (October 1955).
"Soft Soap for the Barber," New Republic, 81 25 (November 1954).

"Soft Soap for the Bather," New Republic, 8s 25 (November 1954)
The Complete Works of Nathannel West New York Farrar, Straus, and
Cudahy, 1957.

Bibliography

White, William, "Nathanael West A Bibliography," Studies in Bibliography, 11.107-24 (1958) (Papers of the Bibliographical Society of the University of Virgina, Challotteville, Vigensa)

Critical and Biographical Studies

Aaron, Daniel. "The Truly Monstrous A Note on Nathanael West," Partuan

Review, 14 98-106 (February 1947)

"Witting for Apocalippee," Hudson Review, 3 534-35 (Winter 1951)
Coate, Robert M. "Messah of the Lonely Hearts," New Yorker, 9 59 (April

1950.
Commonweal, 64 276-78 (June 15, 1956).

Gehman, Richard B. Introduction to The Day of the Locust New York New Directions, 1950. Liebling, A. J. "Shed a Teat for Mr West," New York World Telegram,

June 24, 1935, p. 14. Light, James F. Nathangel West: An Interpretative Study Evanaton, 111

Northwestern University Press, 1961.

McKenney, Ruth. Love Story, New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1950. Pp 175-76, 195-97.

Podhoreiz, Norman, "A Particular Kind of Joking," New Yorker, 33 156-65 (May 18, 1957). Rosenfeld, Isaac. "Faulkner and Contemporaries," Partisan Review, 18 106-

Rosenfeld, Issae. "Faulkner and Contemporaries," Partisan Review, 18 100-14 (January-February 1951) Ross, Alan Introduction to The Complete Works of Nathanael West

Sanford, John. "Nathanael West," Screen Writer, 2 10-13 (December 1916). Troy, William, Four Newer Novelasts," Nation., 155 fee-73 (June 14, 1953) Williams, William Carlos "Sords Cood God!" Contemps, 3 5, 8 (July 25,

1935)

Review of The Day of the Locust, Tomorrow, to 58-59 (November 1950)

1950) Wilson, Edmund. "Postscript," The Bays in the Back Room. San Francisco: Colt Press, 1951.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

About the Authors

LOUIS AUCHINICLOSS is a prolific novelist and critic as well as a partner in a New York law firm. Among his recent works are The House of Five Talents, Portrait in Brownstone, and Powers of Attorney.

MARK SCHORER, professor of English at the University of California, Berkeley, is the author of three novels, many short stories, works of literary cruicism, and Sinclair Lewis: An American Life.

CHARLES E. SHAIN, president of Connecticut College, was formerly a professor of English and American Studies at Carleton College, Northfield, Minnesota. He has contributed articles to various journals.

WILLIAM VAN O'CONNOR has written many volumes of literary criticism and a collection of short stories and has edited several textbooks. He is a professor of English at the University of California. Davis.

PHILLY Young is a professor of American literature at the Pennsylvania State University, He is the author of the book-length study Ernest Hemingway and of numerous critical articles.

C. Hught Holman is Kenan professor of English and dean of the Graduate School at the University of North Carolina. He is coauthor of The Development of American Literary Criticism and has edited several volumes.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

STANLEY EDGAR HYMAN, staff writer for the New Yorker and book critic of the New Leader, is a member of the literature faculty at

Bennington College Among his books are The Tangled Bank, Poetry and Criticism, and The Promised End,

INDEX

Index

"Absolution," 110

"All Souls." #4

All the Sad Young Men, 109 "Alpine Idyll, An," 157

166-68, 159, 174

29, 31, 52, 34, 35-36, 39, 41, 42-43. Adams, Nick (factional character), 143, 43-44. Lewis' use of, 63, Fitzgerald's 134-59, 160, 161, 165, 168, 270, 178, use of, 111, 112; Hemingway's use of 183, 184-86 160, 165, 166, 167, 185-87; Wolfe's Adventures of Huckleberry Funn, The, DIC Of, 201 148, 176, 184-86 Anderson, Sherwood, 66, 75, 76, 120, Africa, \$5, 165, 164, 170, 174 121, 122, 241, 159, 177, 178, 190 "After Holbein," 40-41 Afternoon of an Author, 100 Andrews, Wayne, 14 "Angel at the Crave, The," 15 Age of Innocence, The, 32-34, 35- 44 "Angel on the Porth, An," 191 Asken, Conrad, 140 Alexander the Great, 16 Ann Yukers, 69-70 Antecus (Of Time and the River), 192, Alger, Horato, 86

208 Anti-Saloon League, 62

Arabian Nights, 257

Archer, Newland (firtional charactet). Altamont (fictional city), 195, 196, 201 Ambassadors, The, 34 33-34 Amold, Matthew, 110, 113 American Academy of Arts and Letters, Arrowanith, Martin (fictional char 66, 75 American Adam, The, 77-78 acter), 57, 58, 64 "American Fear of Literature, The," Arrowsmith, 57-59, 65 At I Lay Dying, 128-31, 144, 151, 152 Asheville, N C.: Wolfe's literary use of, American Mercury, 64 193, 195, 205; Wolfe's roots in, 195

American Tragedy, The, 108
American Writers Congress, 231
Americans, 259
Americans and American society, 2thraudes toward: of Edith Whyston 27

Absalom, Absalom1, 8, 135, 138-40, 149

Across the River and into the Trees,

Americans, 229
Americans and American society, attitudes toward: of Edith Wharton, 17,
22, 28-29, 30-31, 37-58, 59-40, 44-55;
of Lewis, 50, 52, 55, 59, 64-65, 56,

of Lewis, 50, 51, 55, 59, 64-65, 66, 87, 806 67-68, 71-72, 76-80; of Fitzgerald, 95, 95-102, 107-8; of Hemingway, 186-88; of Wolfe, 190, 192, 108, 210, 227-84.

by Wolfe, 199 Ashley, Lady Brett (fictional character)

141. 160

18, 210, 219-24; of West, 248-49, 255-

59. See also Society and social classes

Edith Wharton's use of, 22, 27, 28-

106; rendents indignant at portrayal

Aswell, Edward C., 203, 204, 205, 206,

Americans in Europe, literary theme

287

Babbiti, George F (fictional character), 55, 54, 35, 36, 37, 64, 65, 75, 500 Babbiti Irving, 75 Babbit, 42, 5a-57, 59, 65

Babylon Revasted, 111 Baker, Carlos, 170 Baker, George Pierce, 195, 197 Balian, Consuelo Vanderbilt, 40 Baptists, 155, 136

Baikley, Catherine (fictional character) 148, 162, 169 Bern Burning" 149 151 Barnes Jake (fictional character), 140

Barnes, Jake (Sctions) character), 14a 16o Bail, Lily (Sctions) character), 17-19.

#6, #8, #9, 36
"Bailler, The," #33
Baudelaire, Charles, ##8
"Bear, The," #46-47
Beardaley, Aubrey, ##1

Beautiful and Demned, The, 99, 102-4, 109, 178
Bergson, Henri, 216, 216
Berlin, 63 67
Bernicz Bobs Her Hair," 99
Bernstein, Mrs. Aline, 198, 199

Berry, Walter, 20-22, 4t
Sethal Merridey, 73
"Bewitched," 23
"Bewitched," 25, 179
Beg game busting, literary use by Hem

Big game hunting, literary use by Hem togway, 158, 165, 178
"Big Two-Hearted River," 156, 157
Buhop, John Feale, 89, 96, 97, 105
Blame, Amory (fictional character), 95,

g6, g7, 102
Bons and Liveright, 159, 250
Book of Common Prayer, 153
Boom Town, 200, 211
Boston, bang Elmer Gentry, 62
Roston Transcript, 62

'Bottle of Perner, A." #5
Bourget, Faul, 16, ag, 30
Brave New World, 72
Bronté, Emily, 8, 9
Brooke, Rupert, 30
Brooke, Rupert, 30
Brooklyn, NY, 191, 199, ROF, RBO, RBF
Brooks, VAn Wyck, 12

Brothers Karamatov, The, 128 Broughton, Rhoda, 13, 14 Brown, Charles Brockden, 152, 185 Brown University, 227-28, 220

152, 153, 153 17, 127-18, 189

Bryant William Gullen, 13
Buchann, Driy Fay (fictions) chreactry, 5, 91, 93, 94, 106-8, 109
Bulfach, Thomas, 45
Bullfighting, literary use by Heming

Bulfighting, Interary use by Heating way, 158, 160, 165-64, 173 "Butner Suters, The," 46 Burden, Jornas, 3, 135, 135 Burgum, Edwin Berry, 421 Berns, Robert, 194 "Business Deal," 229 Business Deal," 229 Business Deal," 220

Businessman in helion in Edita viantons work as-23, 47-58, 39-40; in Lewis work, 51, 53, 34-55, 69, 70-73 flutler, Samuel, 90, 97 Caldwell, Erskine, 248

California: Lewis in, 48, West a literary use of, ang. 251, 256, 257, 258, 259-61; West in, 250-51 Camus Albert, 128 Carew, Thomas, 194

Carmel, Calif., 48
Carolina Playmakers, 194, 197
Carraway Nick (fictional character),
107, 115
Casements, 218, 229
Case Timberlane, 75-74

Castro, Fidel, 179 Cather, Wills, 8, 114 Cert, Bennett, 181 Chapel Hill, N.C., 195, 194, 197 Characterization, in novels, 4-71 by Edith Wharton, 4, 16, 34; by Fruik-

oer, 5, 6-7, 45, -65, 128, 131, 136-37-151: by Hemlogway, 5, 133, 134-158 by Fingerald, 5, 33-94, 103-3; by Wolfe, 5, 419-20: by West, 5, 235-39-531-33, 238: by Lewis, 79-Bo. See elio Hero, Heroine "Chickamaya," 206

"Child by Tiger, The," 191 Children, The, 34, 41-44 Christianity: Futliner's acceptance of Christian moral code, 144-15, 150'd Hemingway's use of Christian symbolism, 169, West's use of Christian Imagery and ideas, 451, 454, 159, 460.

imagery and ideas, as3, as4, 259, a40. 844 Christman, Joe (fictional character), 3-233-25, 250 Church, Margaret, 216 Cincinnati, Ohio, setting of Babbitt, 32 Civil War, 13, 17, 118, 119, 139, 140, 155, 200 Clemens, Samuel L., see Twain, Mark

Coates, Robert M., 249 Code 2nd code hero, in Hemingway, 142, 158-59, 164, 168-69, 178-79, 187.

See also Hero Coleridge, Samuel Taylor, \$15, \$47, \$94

Collected Stories (Faulkner), 149 Columbia Pictures, 131 Communists, in Level Section 25

Communists; io Lewis' fiction, 7s; in Fitzgerald's fiction, 116; West's sympathy with, 231; in West's fiction, 147 Compson family (fictional), 6-7, 110,

123-28 Conrad, Joseph, 8, 105, 106, 125, 131, 152, 177

Contact, 229, 259
Contact Publishing Company, 142
Contempo, 229, 231
Cool Million, A, 230, 231, 246-50, 231
Coolidge, Calvin, 111

Cooper, James Fenimore, 152 Cowley, Malcolm, 73, 103, 123, 126 Comens, James Could, 80 Crack Up, The, 86, 114

Crant, Stephen, 125, 176-77, 179 Crime and Punuhment, 128 "Cross Country Snow," 157 Crucial Instences, 15 Cuba, 164, 168, 172, 173, 175

Custom of the Country, The, 28-29
"Cut-Glass Bowl, The," 100
"Dairy Miller," 25
"Dalyrimple Goes Wrong," 100

"Dangerous Summer, The," 175
Dark Laughter, 187
Dark Laughter, 187
Dary Of the Locust, The, 131, 151-62
Death, theme in Hemograsy's work,
154-55, 157, 163-64, 166, 175, 179, 183,
188

188
Death in the Afternoon, 153-54
Death in Proud Brother, 100, 102
Decoration of Houses, The, 118
Theyection," 115
De Kruf, Paul, 57-58
Theyection," 147
Democratic Fulsa, 211

Depression, 65, 69, 220, 222
Descent of Man, The, 16
De Voto, Bernard, 58-59, 203
"Diamord as Big as the Ritz, The,"
101-2
Duckens, Charles, 6, 8, 9, 116

Dickens, Charles, 6, 8, 9, 116
"Dilettante, The," 16, 19
Disendanted, The, 115
Diser, Dr. Richard (fictional character),
118-15, 114

"Doctor's and the Doctor's Wife, The,"
155
Dr. Martino, 149
Dr. Martino, 149

Dodsworth, Samuel (fictional character), 54, 63, 64 Dodsworth, 51, 63-65, 69, 75 Donne, John, 165 Dornn publishing house, 49

Doran publishing house, 49
Dos Passos, John, 9, 98, 101, 116, 151
Dostocrali, Feedor, 128, 226
Double-Desler, 121
Doughty, Charles Mootagu, 234
Doyle, Fay (Sctional character), 236,

Doyle, Fay (fictional character), 236, 238-39, 248 Doyle, Peter (fictional character), 238, 238-39, 241, 242, 261 Dramatic adaptations, of Faulkner's Requirem for a Nun, 123; of West's

Mus Lonelyhaertt, 231-32. See also Motion pictures, Theater Dream Life of Balio Snell, The, 228, 219, 230, 232-35, 256, 245

119, 250, 230-35, 250, 240
Dresser, Theodore, 7, 55, 66, 76, 101, 108, 151
Drunkard's Holiday, The, 108

"Dry September," 149, 151 Dublin, 9

Ecclemantes, book of, 161 Elnot, C. S., 8, 114, 137 Elmer Gentry, 55-63 "End of Something, The," 155 England: Lewst in, 58; Fitzgeralds in, 104; Hemangway in, 174; West's books published in, 237

Erquire, 82, 114, 116, 207
Ethan Frome, 15-25, 44
Eogénie, Empress of France, 13
Europe: Edith Wharton's sojourns in,
12, 13, 24, 29-31, 35; Edith Wharton's

Interary use of, 15, 42, 27, 48-29, 32,

33. 59. 41. 44-52. 55-56. kiteraty theme of Amorama in 8, 22, 97, 88-79. 51 57 58 55-56. 50, 41, 44-55. 45-46. 56, 111, 111. 50, 105, 166. 56, 167. 56, 111, 111. 50, 105, 166. 56, 167. 56, 111, 111. 50, 105, 167. 56, 111, 111. 50, 105, 167. 56, 111, 111. 50, 167. 56, 111, 111. 50,

199; Wolfe ! literary use of, 201, 205;

West travels in 228
Euthanssia, 25
Eve of Saint Agnes, The, 39

Eve of Saint Agnes, The, 39 Fycs, The," 23-24 Fable, A, 120, 149-50, 151

Fadman, Chitton, on Dodaworth, 53-54
Faikner J W T, 180
Faikner Maud Butler, 119
Faikner, Murry C, 119
Faikner, William C, 119
Farwell to Arms, A, 4
14-45, 156
101-65, 165, 167, 161, 18

161-63, 165, 167, 176, 180

Farrell, James T., 228

Fathers and Sons," 157, 184

Feulkines, Estelle Oldham, 128

Faulkner, William, 8, 79, 80 good at story beginnings, 4, diversified than acters of, 5, 6-7 125-26 128, 131, 136-\$7. 151. literary world of, 118-19 lit erary use of South, 118-19, 120, 122, 124, 128, 155, 158, 141, 142, 148, 149 150, 15a; autobiographical basis of fiction, 119, 112, 150, family back ground, 119-to, early years and schooling, 120-21, poetry of, 121, Interesty myle of, 121-22, 123-44, 125-26, 118, 137-38, 159-40, 145-46, 151, mailinge of, 122, receives Nobel Price, 123, 148, receives Pulitier prizes, 125 death of, 123 tripcal ar scament of, 125-24 150-52, accept ance of Christian moral code, sac-25, 150, position of Negro in novels of, 134, 138-39, 146-47, 148, 250, copppared with Hemingway, 141-43, in "tall tale" tradition, 145-45, as short story writer, 149; on Thomas Wolfe, 208, on writer's responsibility, 211 works. Absalom, Absalom, 8, 135.

138-40, 149, As I Lay Dying, 128-31, 144, 151, 151, "Barn Burning," 149-151, "The Bear," 145-47, Collected Stories, 149, "Delta Autumn," 147-Dr. Mertino, 149, 'Dry September," 149, 151, A Fable, 120, 149-50, 151, "The Fire and the Hearth," 147, "Go Down, Moses," 147-48, Go Down, Moses, 145-48, The Hamlet, 144-45, 150, 151, 152, Intruder in the Dust, 147, 148, Knight's Gambit, 148-49; Light in August, 4, 139-35, 137, 151; The Mansion, 150, The Marble Feun, 110, 121, 'Mirrors of Chartres Street," sat, Mosquitoes, 122, "The Old People," 147, "Pantaloon in Black," 147. Portable Faulkner, 123. Pyton, 135-38, 151; "Red Leaves," 149. The Reivers, 183, 180, Requiem for a Nun, 122, 149, 'A Rose for Emply, 140, Sanctuary, 122, 151-33. 149, 150, 132; Sartoris, 120, 122, 125, 132. Soldiers' Pay, 121-22, 142, The Sound and the Fury, 6-7, 8, 120, 121, 125-25, 151, 151; "That Evening Sun," 140, These Thirteen, 149, The Town, 123, 150. The Unvanguished, 120, 140-41, 146, "Was," 147, "Wash,"

149. The Jild Paint, 149-44
Faulkner at Nagano, 183, 136
Fay, Monsignor Sigourney, 85
Fetth Column, The, 165
"Filty Grand," 1x8

Fine, Huckleberry (fictional character), and Hemingway hero, 183-86 "Fire and the Hearth, The," 147 Fittererald, Aonabel, 100

Fitzgerald, Frances Scott (daughter of F Scott Fitzgerald), 89, 98, 104, 109.

Fitzgreald, F. Scott, 8, 9, 65, 79, 80, 177-178, 814, 829, 245 five and fall of personal and professional fortunes, 81-8a, problem with sicohol, 81, 934 critical assessment of work, 82, 93, 116, 117, autobiographical basis of fatton, 83, 86-87, 19, 19, 46, 59, 710, 109, 110, 111, 117; attillude towerd money, 84-84, 93, 104, 105, 107-8, 102, and Heroingus, 82-85, 114, birth and catily gran, 85-85, Cholich Endrigound of, 85-86, thorit somes of, 85-87, 95-99, 00-910, cally shiring, 87-85, witting for theater, 88, 99, 10; 12 Princeton, 85-90; letters to daughter, 89, 98-99, 109, on writing, 89; service during World War I, 90, countz Zelda Sayre, 91-99; literary spile of, 91, 97, 115, attitude toward women, 91-92; salter cost of, 94, 100, one summation of princial legend, 109; "the crack-up," 14-13.

works, "Absolution," 110, Afternoon of an Author, 109: All the Sad Young Men, 109; "Babylon Revinted," 111; The Besuliful and Damned, 99, 102-4, 109, 178, "Bernice Bobs Her Hair," 99, The Crack Up. 86, 114; "The Cut Glass Bowl," 100, "Dalyrumple Goes Wrong," 100; "The Diamond as Big as the Ritz," 101-2, Flappers and Philosophers, og, The Great Catsby, K. 81, 85, 91, 94, 99. 104, 105-8, 109, 113, 116; The let Palace," na. 100, "The Jelly Bean," 99-100: The Last Tycoon, 04, 116-17; "The Lees of Happiness," soo; "The Lost Decade," 116, "May Day," 100-1, "The Off Shore Pirate," 100, "One

Trip Abroad," 111; "The Rich Boy,"
10; "The Standal Detectives," 8;;
The Stores of F. Scott Fitzgrould,
100; Talkes of the Jass Age, 199, "Feyl
at Revolle, 109, 114, Tender Is the
Night, 89, 111-44, 115, Thu Side of
Faredate, 81, 85, 85, 90, 91, 94-97,
105; The Fregiolde, 104; "Winster
Drams," 36, 109-10, The World's
Fart, 108

Dreams," 86, 109-10, The World's Fatty 108
Fattycraid, Zelda Sayre, 81, 84, 90-94, 100, 104, 108, 111-12
Five Cense Back, 130
Flappers and Philosophets, 99

Flaubert, Gustave, 126
"Flight of the Rocket, The" (The Beauliful and Domned), 102
For Whom the Bell Tolk, 157, 165-66.

167, 175 Ford, Ford Medox, 8, 185, 177, 181 Forster, E. M., 4 47 Workshop, 194, 197 Four Lost Men, The 202 France 22 Felich Whester

Franc, 72 Edith Whatton lives m, 1:
3. 35. Edith Whatton lives m, 1:
3. 35. Edith Whatton's literary use
6, 22, 27, 28-89, French Ways and
Their Meaning, 30. Edith Whatton s
watture work for, 35: Figurealds in
104, 105, 109. Faulkner m, 121, 135,
recognition of Faulkner's work in
115. Faulkner's literary use of, 106,
Heuningway 10, 174, 173. West m,
28, jaillenner of West's fation in, 132
8, jaillenner of West's fation in, 132
8, jaillenner of West's fation in, 132

Francisco, Francisco, 175
Franklin, Benjamin, 105
Free Air, 50
French Ways and Their Means

French Ways and Their Meaning, 30
Freud, Signaund, 243
From Death to Morning, 189, 200, 202
Frost, Robert, 120, 124
Fruit of the Tree, The, 22-25

Fruit of the Tree, The, 12-15

Gambler, the Nun and the Radio,
The," 158-59

Gant, Ben (Schonal character), 193, 214, 219 Gant, Ehra Pentland (Schonal char-

acter), 195, 196, 200, 214, 219 Gast, Eugene (fictional character), 196, 196-99, 200, 201, 205, 209, 213, 215, 219

Cant, W O. (fictional character), 193, 196, 814, 215, 219 Cantry, Elmer (fictional character), 59

60, 61, 63 Gataby, Jay (fictional character), 5, 91, 93, 105-8, 109, 110, 112, 114

Georg, Christain, 90
Cay, Walter, 87
Gellhorn, Matthas, 172
Georgia Scener, 146
Germany, 805, 206, 220, 221
Ghost stortes, by Edish Wharton, 23-25
Ghostr, 24, 54
Gedeon Flantih, 75
Glasyow, Ellen, 45

Climpian of the Moon, The, 33-37

Go Dawn, Moscs, 147-48

Go Dawn, Moscs, 146-48

God Seeker, The, 75

Gods Arnoe, The, 42

Goethe, Johann Wolfgang von, 42 Gopher Prairie, Minn. (fictional city).

51 Gorki, Maxim, 149 Graham, Sheilah, 110, 115 Graham, Tom (Saclair Lewis), 49 Great Depression, 65, 69, 220, 222 Great Expectations, 9

Great Gaisby, The, 5, 81, 85, 91, 95, 94, 95, 104, 105-8, 109, 115, 115, 146
Great Neck, L.L., 104-5, 115
Greater Incination, The, 15
Greetey, Horace, 229

Green Hills of Africa, 183-64 Greene, Graham, 131 Greener, Faye (fictional character), 151-

53, 154, 155, 158, 159, 161 Greenlaw, Edwin A., 194 Guggenheum Foundation, 199, 208, 130

Hackett, Tod (Scilonal character), 151-61 passim

Hamlet, The, 144-46, 150, 151, 152 Hammett, Dashieli, 428 Hanover, N.H., 115 Hanover, N.H., 115

Harcourt, Alfred, 67 Harcourt, Biace, and Company, 67, 68 Harding, Warten G., 165 Hardy, Thomas, 9

Harper and Brothers, 192, 203 Harris, George W., 146 Harvard University, 194, 197, 201, 207 Hawthorne, Nathaniel, 8, 58, 101, 187,

133, 158, 187, 179, 165, 194 Hearst, William Randolph, 147 Hearst's International, 98 Heyel, Georg, 194 Heison Hall, 48

Hemingway, Clarence Edmonds (Ernest Hemingway's lather), 155, 170 Hemingway, Ernest, 7, 2, 9, 76, 79, 80,

103, 144, 446 good at intery beginnings, 41 authobographical basis of fiction, 5, 158, 165, 168-59; and Fijagrald, 63-59, 141; compared with Fanthacet, 141-43. Herary sayle ed. 53, 161, 163, 168, 171, 176, 177, 180-61; hierary hero od. 155-59, 160, 185, 165, 167, 167-68, 175, 184-80; influence on other writers, 135, 180; 180branch in works of, 154-58, 161, 158-48.

187-88, death of, 155, 175; major themes in work of, 159: In Spanish cavil war, 154; uses Christian symbolism, 169, financial success of, 170; health of, 170, 171, 173, 174, 175, birth and early years of, 170-71; as reporter and correspondent, 171, 172-73, 176; an World War 1, 171-71, 175; marriages of, 171, nonliterary exploits, 172; in World War II, 172-75, 174 last years of, 175, 175; psychological explanation of his preoccupations, 174-75, receives Nobel Prize, 176; compared with Stephen Crane, 176-77, as poet, 177, influences of other writers on, 177-80; compared with Twain, 18a-85, literary world cf. 187-88, compared with Welfe, 190

worst. Across the River and into the Trees, 166-68, 169, 174; "An Alpine Idyll," 157; "The Battler," 155; Big Two-Hearted River," 156, 1577 "Cross Country Snow," 157; "The Dangerous Summer," 175, Death in the Afternoon, 163-64: "The Doctor and the Doctor's Wale" Isst "The End of Something," 155; A Forewell to Arms. 4, 142-45, 156, 151-63, 165, 167, 176, 181; "Fathers and Sons," 15% 184. The Fifth Column, 16s; "Filty Grand," 153, For Whom the Bell Tolls, 157, 153-66, 167, 175; "The Cambler, the Nun and the Radio," 158-50. Green Hills of Africa, 165-64: "In Another Country," 187: In Our Time, 155, 155, 182, 177; "Indian Camp," 154-55, 178; "The Killers," 156, 157; "The Light of the World," 155-47; Men without Women, 155, "My Old Man," 177, 178; "Now I Lay Me," 157: The Old Man and the Sea, 159, 158-70, 181; "Out of Season," 177, 178, "The Short Happy Late of Francis Macomber," 153, 178-79. "The Snows of Kilimaniaro." 82. 170, 178, 170; The Sun Also Rues. "The Three Day Blow," 155; Three Stories and Ten Poems, 141, 177, 178. To Heve and Have Not, 164-65, 179. The Torrents of Spring, 150; "The

Undefented," 158, "Up in Michigan,"

177, 178, "A Very Short Story," 162,
"A Way You'll Never Be," 157, The
Wild Years, 170; Winner Take Nath
118, 146

Hemingway, Mary Welsh, 172 Henry, Frederic (fictional character), 142, 155, 151-53, 167, 168

142, 150, 101-69, 167, 168 Henry Esmond, 105

Hermit and the Wild Woman, The, 23 Hero, fictional: Edith Whatson's, 16, 19-20, 22, 23, 53, 54, 53, 53, 64, 42-45. Lewis, 34, 25, 57, 59-60, 64, 70; Fitzgerald's, 93, 102-3, 112-13, 114, 116-17; Hemmyay's, 13, 2-50, 160, 163,

165, 166, 167-68, 175, 184-86; Wolfe's, 190, 201, 204, 205, 219; West's, 256-37, 245, 251 Heroine, Ectional; Edith Whatton's,

17-19, 26, 27, 28-29, 31-42, 36-37, 38-39, 40, 42; Lewis', 51, 56, 69-70; Fitzerald's, 91-92, 93-94; Heming ways, 167; West's, 231-53, 258

Hike and the Aeroplane, 49 Hills Beyond, The, 189, 191, 206-7 Hitler, Adolf, 71, 249

Hitter, Adolf, 71, 249 Hobby, Pat (fictional character), 116 Hoffman, Frederick, 54

Hollywood, Calif., 100, 110: Flugerald in, 114-15; Flugerald's literary use of, 116-17; Faultoer in, 125; West's interary use of, 226, 236, 237, 238,

259-61; West in, 230-31 Homoexushiy, 137, 241-43, 245- 250, 261

"Hound of Darkness, The," sog House of Mirth, The, 16-20, 22, 26, 36, 44 "House of the F21 and Lost, The," 200

House of the Seven Gabler, The, 127 Howells, William Dean, 35, 66 Huckleberry Finn, 148, 176, 18e-86 Hudson Roser Brackeled, 42 Humor and comic literary elements,

riumor and comic literary elements, 132, 145-48, 234, 246-48, 237, 258, 250-61, 262, 5re also Sattre Harrero Forest, 173

Huor, Richard Morris, 17 Husley, Aldons, 78 Huyenans, J. K., 226

I Stole a Million, 292 "I Want to Know Why," 178 "Ice Palace, The," 9a, 100
"In Another Country," 157
Is Our Time, 14a, 153, 155, 161, 177
"Indian Camp," 134-55, 178
Indian Camp, " 134-55, 178

Isnocents, The, 49
Intruder in the Dust, 147, 148
Issung, Washington, 19
Is Can't Happen Here, 71-72
Italian Villas and Their Gardens, 11
Laly, Edith Whatton's visits to, 15;

Edith Wharton's literary use of, 13, 35. Faulkner in, 121, Hemingway laterary use of, 162, 167; Hemingway in, 171-72, 174, 182

Jack, Eather (Scitonal character), 189. 198, 201, 205, 214

198, 201, 205, 214 James, Henry, 8, 9, 28, 55, 124, 125, 128, 151, 132, 185, 226 2nd rendering of character, 5, 6, Hend of Edith Wharton's, 112 experiences umilar to

character, 5, 6, Inend of Edith Whattoo's, 11; experiences usualize to Edith Whatron's, 13, 25; Jameian qualutes in Edith Whatron's work, 15, 16, 22, 37; description of Newport, 17; ettitude toward berry, 21; ettilisms of Coutons of the Cowniny, 29; work of contrasted with

eg: work or contrasted with Edith Wharton's, 30, 34-35, 44; Edith Wharton's comment on, 38; influence on Fitzgerald, 105, 111 James, William, 235

Japan, 183 Jazz Age, 93, 99, 100, 101 Jefferson, Miss. (Sexional city), 118, 129,

131, 133, 135, 156, 144, 149 "Jelly Bean, The," 99-100 feb, The, 50

Johnson, Eastman, 33 Johnson, Pamela Handord, 221 Johnson, Dr. Samuel, 193 Jones, Edich Membell, 221

Jones, Edith Newhold, see Wharton, Edith Jones, George Frederic, 12 Jones, Lauretia Stevens Rhinelander, 12

Jones, Lucretta bievens Rhinelander, ti Joness, Ben, 194 Jordan, Robert (Sctional character),

157, 162-66 Fourney Doorn, The, 198 Joyce, James, 9, 115, 151, 152, 179, 198, 200, 218

Jude the Obscure, 9 Jurgen, 216 Kansas City, bio field of research for Elmer Gentry 59, bans book, 6a. Hemingway in, 171 Kanssa City Star, 171

Kazin, Allierd, Bo abo Keats John 80, 114 Kempore Hotel 218 Kennscott, Carol (Sctional character).

51. 48. 6a

Key, Francia Scott, 85 Key West, Fig., 178 Killers, The," 156, 157 King, Alexander, 110 Kingsblood Royal, 74-75

Kipling, Rudyard, 47 Knight's Gambil 148-49 Koch, Frederick, 194 Kruif, Paul de, see De Kruif, Paul Krutch, Joseph Wood, &c

Kuny, Bella, sao 'Lady's Maid a Bell, The," a4 Lake Come, 15

Lardner, Ring, 105, 171, 177 Last Tycoon, The, 94, 215-17 Launcelot," 47 Lawrence, D II., 9, 8s, 95, 187, 179 Leaves of Grass, att, ets

Leclerc, Jean, 175 "Lees of Happiness, The," 100 Lenox, Mass., 18, 16, 19

Leskov, Nikolal S. c40 Letters of Thomas Wolfe, They con Lewis, Claude, 52

Lewis, Edwin J. 46, 51 Lews, Grace Hegger, 49 Lewis, Michael (Sinclair Lewis' younger

son), 63, 68 Levis, R. W. B., 77-78

Lewis, Sinclair, 6, 84, 151, 198 dedicates Babbiti to Edith Wharton, 42; bieth and schooling, 46, 48, early writing 47-50, poetry of, 47, marrange to Grace Hegger, 49, writes for slick

magazines, 49, public reaction to books of, 50, 32-53, 56-57, 58-59, 60, 62-63; satire in work of, 50-54, 55-56, 57, 58, 59, 63, 64, 70, 73, 78, literary style of, 50-51, 55-56, 61-62, 64, 79-80, sittlude toward Americans and American society, 50, 54, 53, 59, 64-66, 67-68, 71-72, 75-80, chronicler

of middle class, 50-51, 58, 53, 55, 56-65, 70-71, 72, 76, 80; method of work, 51-51: businessmen in novels of, 51. 53. 54-55. 69. 70-71; literary use of Middle West, 51, 52, 57, 64-65, 75. companion with Babbitt, 54, 57, literary hero of, \$4, \$5, \$7, \$9-60, 64, 70. travels in Furope, 58, 63, 69, refuses Pelitzer Prize, 39. dedicates Elmer Gentry to Menchen, 63, marriage to Dorothy Thompson, 64, Dodsworth as turning point in career of, 6c; and Nobel Prize, 64-65, 61, 68, 75, 76, 199children of, 65, 68, breaks with Harcourt, 67, declining years, 68-69, interest in actremes and theater, 69, 75death of, 69, 75; relationship with Dorothy Thompson, 59-70, 71, entical estimate of 75-80, helps young writers, 76, influence on American literature, 79. Wolfe bases character

on, sod, induence on Wolle, see WORRS, "The American Fear of Literatute," 68; Ann Pickers, 69-700 Arronumith, 57-59. 65! Debbill, 48. 30-17. 89. 65: Dethel Merriday, 75 Cass Timberlone, 75-74: Dodsworth \$1. 69-65, 00. 75! Elmer Ganity, 59" 3. Free Air, 30, Gideon Planuh, 73: The God Seeker, 75: Hike and the Arroplane, 49. The Innocents, 49, It Can't Happen Here, 71-72, The Job. 50. Kingiblood Royal, 74-75; "Launcelot," 47, Moun Street, 48, 50-51, 59, 55. 70, "The Man Who Knew Coo-Mdge," 64. The Man Who Knew Coolidge, 55; Mantiap, 59, "Nature, Inc." 49 Our Mr. Wrenn, 49; The Produgal Parents, 7e, 73. The Trail of the Hawk, 49, Work of Art, 70-71;

World So Wide, 75 Lewis, Wells (Sinclair Lewis' older son). 68

Liber Brunenus, 128

Libya Hill (Sctional city), 195, 206, Liebling, A. J., 235

Light, James F., age Light in August, 4, 133-35, 137, 151 "Light of the World, The," 156-57 Lindsay, Vachel, 177

Little Review, 142

Liveright, Horace, 229 See also Boni and Liveright Lock, R. H., 29 London, Jack, 48, 55, 56, 179 London, 9, 30, 43, 64, 198 Long, Huey, 21

Long, Huey, 21

'Long Run, The," 21

Longfellow, Henry Wadsworth, 13

Longtreet, A. B., 145

Look Homeward, Angel, "5, 180

Look Homeward, Angel, 76, 189, 193, 194, 195, 195, 193, 194, 195, 195, 193, 194, 195, 299, 210, 115, 119, 129, 129, 129, 120, 189, The," 194, 206, 217

Lost Beade, The," 195, 126, 156

Lost Gender, The," 187, 156, 161

Lost Gender, John Lurngston, 194, 197

Lubbock, Peter, 11, 21, 23, 95, 35

Machen, Arthur, 228 McKenney, Eileen (Mrs. Nathanael Neth 44

Luxembourg, 173

11 est), 231 Mckenney, Ruth, agi Markenne, Compton, 90 Madame de Treymes, 22, 37 Mademouselle Cour Brue, 232 Magaunes, see Populat magazines Mahoney, Jeremiah, 227 Mein Street, 48, 30-51, 59, 65, 70 Matraux, Andie, 125 Maliesa Falcon, The, 228 "Man Who Knew Coolidge, The," 64 Man If ho Anew Coolidge, The, 65 Mannerhouse, 207 Manners, Lady Diana, 100 Monsion, The, 150 Maniret, rq Marble Faun, The, 119, 127 Marne, The, 31

Marquand, John, 80

"May Day," too-1

Mayo Chine, 175

Medical profession Lewis fictional

treatment of, 67-58, Fittgerald's Sc
tooal treatment of, 112-15; Hemany.

ways fictional treatment of, 154-55 Melville, Herman, & 13, 152, 167, 294 Melville, Whyte, 13 Mem tuthout Homen, 135 Memiken, II L., 55, 101, 105, 205; Edmer Camtry dedicated to, 63 Merrdith, Geotge, a

Methodisis and Methodist Church, 60, 62, 233

Metro Goldwyn Mayer, 200 Metropolitan Magazine, 104 Michigan Hemingway 2 liter

Michigan Hemingway a literary use of, 170, 171, 181; Hemingway in, 170, 172

171, 184, Hemingway's roots in, 170, 172, West's literary use of, 251 Milan, 162, 172 Minnesola: Lewis' roots in, 46, 68,

Lewis' literary use of, 51, 75, hitz gerald'z roots in, 84, Fitzgerald's lit erary use of, 84, 100, 103 See also St. Paul "Mirrors of Chartres Street" 121 "Mirs Lonelyhearts" (fictional char

acter), 236-46, 261, 262 Miss Lonelyhearts, 229, 230, 231, 237-46, 251, 254, 261, 263 Miss Mary Pask, 25

Missisppe Faulkners literary use of, 118-19, 128, 133, 138, 142, 149 150 152, Faulkners roots in, 119-20 121,

183, 150
Musumppi River, 183
Mirchell, Dr. S. Weit, 14
Mirchell, Dr. S. Weit, 14
Mirchell, Albur, 81, 83, 93, 109
Montgomery, Ala. 24, 90, 91, 92
Motan of the Lady Letty, 173
Motora, Lioyd, 79
Meaquitors, 182
Mother's Heconfewie, The, 34, 38

39

Motion pretures: adaptation of Element Gausty for, 60, Fittgreated a some sold to, 93, 119, Fittgreated interane, 61, 103, 119, 116-117, Fittgreat works on, 114-15, Faulkner works or 123, Wolfe refuses to work on, 20 West's work sold to, 130, 131, 131 West works on, 250, 251; West in 437, Morale Energy, 8 "My Old Man," 177, 178 My Suter Eileen, 241

Nathan, George Jean, 105.

"Nature, Inc." 49
Negro in literature in Lewis' work, 7475, in Faulkper's work, 134, 138-29.

146-47, 148, 150 New American Literature, The, 75

New England Edith Wharton's literary use of, 25-26

New Humanism, 65, 75
New Masses, 65
New Otleans: Faulkner in, 121, 141,
Faulkner's hierary use of, 122, 135-

36, 137, 139 New Orleans Times Pscoyune, 121

New York City, o. Edith Wharton's

roots in, 12, 13-14, 29, 31, 45 Edich Whatton's literary use of, 17, 18, 22, 28, 50, 33-33, 53, 38, 40, 42, 43, 44, Lews in, 48, 49, Fitzgerald in, 92, 104, 116, Fitzgerald's literary use of, 97,

116. Fitzgerald's literaty use of, 97, 101, 110, Faulkner in, 121, 123, Wolfe in, 197, 198, Wolfe's literary use of, 201, 205, West's roots in, 226, 228, 230, West's use of, 245

New York Times, 104 New York Tribune, 104 New York University, 62, 198, 199

Newman School, 86, 87, 97 Newport, R.L., 12, 14, 17, 10 Nictache, Friedrich, 103, 228 Nigger of the Narcusus, The, 103 108, 78

"No Door," 200 No Door, 192, 200 Nosilles, Madame de, 45 Nobel Pine for Literature to Lewis.

65-66, 67, 68, 75, 76, 199, to Faulkner, 133, 148, to Hemingway, 176 Norma, 13 Norm, Frank, 101, 179 "Now I Lay Me," 187

Oak Park, Iil., 170, 171
"Occurrence at Owl Creek Bridge, An,"

"October Fair, The," son, 208 Odo of Cluny, 255

O'Donnell, George Marion, 141

Of Time and the River, 189, 192, 197, 198, 200, 201, 203, 204, 205, 209, 210, 215, 217, 219, 221
Off Share Pirate, The," too

O Hara, John, 54, 80 Old Men and the Sea, The, 159, 168-70,

181 Old New York, 35 "Old People, The," 147

"Old People, The," 147
One Trip Abroad," 113
"Only the Dead Know Brooklyn," 191.

"Only the Dead Know Brooklyn," 191 202 Oregon, 250, 251 Ongo, Iria, 45

Orwell, George, 72 Our Mr Wrend, 49 Out of Season," 197, 178 Oxford, Miss; Faulkner's hierary use

Oxford, Miss: Faulkner's Interact use of, teg. Faulkner's roots in, 119-40, 121, 123, 150. See also Jefferson, Miss.

Panama, 48
"Panasloon in Black," 147
Panis, 11, 35, 45, 109, 111, 150, 172, 173-

ness Partman, Francis, 13 Party at Jack's, The, 192, 221 Patch, Anihony (Schonal character),

102-4, 205, 114
Patter, Fred L., 75
Pettern, The," 15
Perelman, S. J., 228, 229

Perkins, Maxwell E., Fitzgerald a editor, 94, 98, 99, 106, 108, 110, Hemingway's editor, 151, Wolfes editor, 192, 193, 199, 200-1, 203, 208, 209, 210, 211, 214, E21, 222

Printer, Pauline, 172
Febrer, Karlin, 185
Fullips, David Grissian, 55
Futor IR, Pope, 85
Futo, Gris, Pope, 85
Futo, Gris, 186
Futor Rock, 84
Futor, Edd, Wharrook, 12, 14, 15
Februl, 47; Futorrold 8, 88
Futor, Futorrold 8, 88
Futor, Futorrold 8, 88
Futorrold, 48
Futorrold 8, Futorrold 8, 88
Futorrold 8, 80
Futorrold 8, 8

pall Posterri, Raymond, 51 "Postegranate Seed," 24-25 Poole, Ernest, 55 Popular magazines, writing for by Edith Whatton, 35; by Lewis, 49, by Fitzgerald, 93, by Faulkner, 141, by Wolfe, 191, 199, 200, 206; by West,

230
Portrait of Bascom Hawke, A, 19192, 100

92, 199 Pound, Ezra, 120, 177 Presbyterians, 133 Prescott, William H., 14

Prescott, William H., 13
Princeton University: Fitzgerald at, 85,
88-00. Fitzgerald's literary use of, 07

Produgal Parents, The, 72, 73 Professor's House, The, 6 Proust, Marcel, 18, 33, 43, 215, 218 Publishing houses, Lewis works in, 44,

Lewis' relations with Harcourt, 67, Fitzgerald's relations with Schoner's, 90, 92, 114; Faulkner and Harrison Smith, 191; Hemingway changes publiahers, 159-60; Wolfe's relations

with, 192, 198-99, 205; West's relations with, 229, 255 Pulitzer Prize: Sinclair Lewis refuses,

59, to William Faulkner, 123 Pylon, 125-28, 151

Race problem: Lewis on, 74-75; Faulkner on, 159, 147, 148 Raskolnikov (fictional character), 128

Raskolnikov (Scuonal character), 128 Reading: Edith Wharton's, 13, Fair gerald's, 90; West's, 228 Red Badge of Courage, The, 179

"Red Leaves," 149 Rednecks, 120, 128, 144 Reef, The, 27-28, 33 Reign of Terror, 16 Rewers, The, 123, 150

Religion: treatment by Lewis, 53, 59-63; treatment by Faulkner, 153-34. See also Christianlty

See also Christianity
"Rembrandt, The," 15
Renan, Ernest, 97
Republic Studios, 230
Requiem for a Nun, 123, 149

Reynolds, Quentln, 227 "Rich Boy, The," 110 Richardson, Hadley, 172, 277

Richelseu, Cardinal Armand, 50 Rimbaud, Arthur, 228 Ritz Hotel, 173 Riviera, 35, 39, 105, 108, 113 RKO Radio, 250 Road to Xanadu, The, 194 Roberts, I. M., 107

Roberts, J. M., 197
Roberts, Leo., 62-63
Roberts, Margaret, 193-94, 197
Rockefeller Institute, 57
Rockwell, Norman, 249

"Roman Fever," 24
Romanic Egoust, The (This Side of Paradise), 90
Rome. 04

Romals, 16 Rosevelt, Theodore, 34 Rose for Emily, A," 149

Rose for Emily, A," 149 Rubin, Louis D., Jr., 210

St. Paul, Minn influence on Fitzgerald, 84. Fitzgerald's birth in, 85; Fitzgerald's literary use of, 86, 87, 100.

sog, Fitzgerald's plays produced in, 88, Fitzgerald returns to, 92, 104 St. Raphael, 105

Salinger, J. D., 167 Salome (Strause), 25 Senctuary (Faulkner), 123, 131-33, 149,

150, 152 Sencinary (Wharton), 16 Sanford, John, 227 Santago (fictional character), 159, 168-

70 Sartoris (amily (fictional), 120, 122, 140-

Sartorus, 120, 122, 126, 132 Sartte, Jean Paul, 123 Sattre, in literary works. of Edith

Wharton, 17; of Lewis, 50-51, 55-55, 57, 58, 59, 54, 70, 73, 78, of Fittgerald, 56-97, 101-2; of Faulkner, 12s, 13s, 146, 13o; of Itemlogway, 159; of

Wolle, 197, 198, of West, 247-49 Saturday Evening Post, 49, 86, 87, 98, 105, 109, 110, 111, 191, 249

Saturday Review, 234 Sauk Centre, Minn., 46, 48, 68, 71, 154 Save Me the Waltz, 111 Sayre, Zelda, 100 Entgerald, Zekla Sayre

"Scandal Detectives, The," 87 Scanlet Letter, The, 167, 179 Schnee Eifel, 173 Schulberg, Budd, 115 Scott, Sir Walter, 104 Screen Writers Guild, 231 Scribner's (Charles Scribner's Sons), 90, 92, 98, 99, 159, 160, 192, 198, 200, 203, 208

Scribner's Magazine, 98, 199, 200 Sea Wolf, The, 170

Seager, Allan, 260

Sciden, Lawrence (fictional character), 18-19, 36 Seldes, Gilbert, 86

Sexuality and sexual imagery, in his crary works, of Lewis, 60, of Fault-ner, 151-32, 143, of West, 254, 257-

38, 259, 241-45, 245, 247, 250, 252-53, 258, 259, 260, 261-62 Shaw George Persont on

Shaw, George Bernard, 90 Shell shock, 156, 175 Shelley, Percy Bysshe, 58 Shepard, Alice, 230

Sherwood Anderson and Other Cieoles, 121

"Short Happy Life of Francis Macomber, The," 158, 178-79 Shori Novels of Thomas Wolfe, The,

200 Shrike, Mary (fictional character), 236,

137-38, 241, 242, 254 Shrike, William (fictional character), 250, 257, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245,

246
Sibon, Marcelle, 255
Simpson, Hamer (fictional character),
251, 252, 254-55, 257, 258, 259, 268
Sinclais, Upton, 48, 55, 66

Sinuter Street, 90 Smart Set, 98 Smith, Herrison, 141

Snopes family (fictional), 152, 141, 144-45, 150 "Snows of Killmanjaro, The," Se, 170,

"Snows of Kalimanjaro, The," Se. 270, 178, 179 Society and societ classes, 32-35' Edath

Whaton concerned with, 18-19, 26, 57-28, 46-54; Lewis vs. chromcier of middle class, 50-51, 52, 55-56-65, 70-71, 72, 76, 50; Fitzerald and wealth; 58-54, 85, 100, 104-5, 107-8, 110; Faultner held not centrally concerned with stritteratus, 124, 144, treated by Wolfe, 194, 195-56, 821-82; treated by Wolfe, 194, 195-56, 821-82; treated by West, 299, 255-275.

See also Americans and American sourcey

Soldiers Pay, 121-25, 142
'Some Notes on Mus Lanelyhearts,"
235, 243

255, 245
"Some Notes on Violence," 259
Son at the Front, A, 31
Sound and the Fury, The, 6-7, 8, 120,

Sound and the Fury, The, 6-7, 8, 12 122, 125-28, 131, 151 Soupault, Philippe, 212

South (U.S.) Zelda Fitzgerald from, 91: Fitzgerald's hierary use of, 100, Faulkore i literary use of, 118-19, 120, 122, 124, 123, 135, 138, 141, 142, 148, 149, 150, 152; Faulkner's notice in, 119-20, 121, 123, 150. Wolfer

hierary use of, 193, 195, 208, Wolfe's roots for, 195, 205, 197, 182 says spain, 7, 164, 165, 166, 175, 231 Spannsh civil war, 165-68 Spengler, O'Culver, 250 Spockermen, 75

Spratting, William, 191
Subr, Mouroe (fictional character),
116-17

Siein, Gestrude, 121, 160, 161, 172, 177, 178, 179-80 Sterling, George, 48 Stern, Maorice, 226

Sterne, Laurence, 215 Stevens, Wallace, 12c, 12c, Stockholm, 65 Stone, Phil, 12c, 12c Stores of F. Scott Fitzgraid, The, 10g Story of a Novel, The, 199, 202

Straus, Richard, 25 Strether, Lambert (fictional character), 34 Strong, George Templeton, 44

Stungus, Howard, 30 Styles, literary. nt Edith Whitton, 15, 17, 34, 25, 34-35, 35-37; of Lewis, 50-51, 55-55, 51-62, 54, 79-80, of Fittgenid, 91, 71, 115, 117, of Faultner, 121-21, 123-41, 125-56, 125, 137-38, 133-40, 145-66, 151, of Heming-

ner, 121-12, 123-14, 125-26, 128, 137-38, 139-40, 145-46, 151, of Hemile way, 133, 161, 162, 168, 171, 176, 177, 130-32, of Wolle, 190-31, 100, 206, 123-13, of Woll, 130-33, 134-5, 145-44, 45-46, 148, 150, 156-57, 139, 261 Summer, 26 Summit Avenue, 84, 85, 86 Sun Also Rises, The, 103-6, 142, 157, 160-61; 172, 246 Sun Valley, Idaho, 175 Sunday, Billy, 64

Supernatural, see Ghost stories Sut Louingood's Yarns, 146 Sutton Hotel, 228, 229 Sweden, 66, 225

Swedish Academy, 65 Swinburne, Algerion Charles, 47, 90, 121

Tales of Men and Ghosts, 23 Tales of the Jazz Age, 99

Tall tale: Faulkner's contribution to tradition, 145-46, 152; West's use of, 243

143
Taps at Reveille, 109, 114
Tarkington, Booth, 55
Tender Is the Night, 85, 111-14, 116
Tennyson, Altred, 47, 90
Tennyson, d'Urbernilles, 9
Thackersy, William M., 42

"That Evening Sun," 149
Theater, Interest in: Lewis, 69, 73.
Fitigerald's, 88, 90, 104. Heming
way's, 165; Wolfe's, 194, 197, 197.
West's, 231. See also Motion pictures

These Thirteen, 149
This Side of Feradue, \$1, 85, 88, 90, 92,
94-97, 99

Thompson, Dorothy (Mrs. Sinclass Lewis), 63-64, 68, 70, 71, 73 Thoreau, Henry David, 77, 78 "Three Day Blow, The," 155

"Three Day Blow, The," 155
Three Stories and Ten Poems, 141, 1771
178
Tiger, The, 90
To Have and Have Not, 154-65, 179

To House and Have Not, 154-65. Totostoi, Leo, 276 Toronto Star, 170, 177 Torrents of Spring, The, 159 Totalitation, 71-78, 149 Town, The, 123, 150 Tracy, Lee, 230 Trail of the Hark, The, 49

Tracy, Lee, 230
Trail of the Hask, The, 49
Transallante, 142
Transall Club, 88, 90
Tristrem Shandy, 215
Tults University, 227

Turgency, Ivan, 177

Twam, Mark, 58, 77, 146, 176, 190 com parison with Hemingway, 182-86 Twentieth Century Fox, 230 Twinght Sleep, 32, 39-40

Ulysses, 175, 198
"Undefeated, The," 158
United Front, 72
Universal-International Pictures 150

University of Mississippi, 120, 121 University of North Carolina, 194, 197

University of Vitginiz, 123 Univerguished, The, 120, 140-41, 146 "Up in Michigan," 177, 178 Upjohn, Richard, 17

Upjohn, Richard, 17

Valley of Decision, The, 15-16, 25

Van Dake, Henry, 76

Falley of Decision, The, 15-10, 33 Van Dyke, Henry, 75 Fansty Fair, 105 Varieties of Religious Experience, The,

Varieties of Religious Experience, The 338 Vassat College, 89, 109 Fegetable, The, 104

Verlaine, Paul, and Verlaine, Paul, and "Very Short Story, A," ifin Fillage Firms, The (Mein Street) 48 Violence, theme of in American littera jure in Faulkiner, 159, 141; in Hem

ture in Faukner, 139, 141; in rem lagway, 154-55, 180, 183-84, 187-88, in West, 136, 143, 244, 246, 249-50, 255, 251-52 Fogue, 49

Voltaire, 65, 97

Worfen, 77-78
War: Edith Wharton's literary use of,
31: Faulkner's literary use of,
140, Henningway's literary use of, 156,
155, 160, 151-63, 165-66, 177, 187-68
Warten, Nicole (Ectional character), 94,

112-13 112-13 Warren, Robert Penn, 115-14, 163, 203 "Nas," 147

"Wash." 149 '
Wathin, Floyd C., 193
"Way You'll Never Be, A." 157
Web and the Rock, The, 189, 191, 198, 204, 109, 210, 112, 113, 119
Heb of Earth, The, 191, 100, 203, 213, 215

Webber, George (fictional character), 204, 205-6, 214, 215, 219

Weinstein, Anna Wellenstein, 226, 229 Weinstein, Hinds, 226 Weinstein, Laura (Mrs S T Perelman).

216, 225, 229, 230 Weinstein, Max, 226 Weinstein, Nathan, 222 West, Na-

thannel Welcome to Our City, 207

Wells, H G., 78, 90, 198
Wescon, Glenway, 95
Wessel, Horst, 240

West, Nathanael, 8 characterization in novels of, 5, 236-39, 251-53, 258, birth and schooling, \$26-27, at Brown University, 227-28, 2thiude toward Jewishness, 227-28, 229, 255-14. works in botels, 218, changes name, seq. sales of books, seq. sale. edits magazines, 240, engaged, 230, 10 Hollywood, \$30-51, Communist sym pathies of, 251, marriage of 251, death of, 231; influence on French fiction, 232, critical evaluation of, 222, 254, 245, 246, 240, 262, 264, ht HERY style of, 242-44, 844-45, 244-44, 245-40, 248, 250, 256-57, 259, 261 use of Christianity in work of, 233. 254, 259, 240, 244, use of sexuality and sexual imagery, 234, 257-38, 239. 241-44, 244, 247, 250, 257-53, 258, 259, 260, 201-62, violence as theme in work of, 116, 141, 144, 146, 149-50, 255, 250, 261-61, theme of human suffering, #50-40

wouss. "Business Deal," 219, 46
Cool Millom, 290, 291, 187–50, 251,
The Day of the Locust. 231, 183.—68.
The Drem Lajz of Belio Shell, 218,
219, 129, 121–13, 190, 121, 133.—16.
219, 129, 129, 129, 129, 129, 129,
Must Lonetpheans," 25, 260, 260,
Must Lonetpheans," 25, 253.—50me
Notes on Violence," 259

West, Rebecca, on Babbill, 36 West (U.S.), 19. Nathanad West's literary use of, 289, 251, 256, 237, 138, 259-61, Nathanael West in, 250-31, See also Middle West

Westport, Conn., 104 Wharton, Eduh, 4, 8, 55, 66, 214' cultivation of, 15-12, poetry of, 12, 14, 15, early reading of, 12-13; room in New York, 12, 13-14, 19, 31, 45, 10 journs in Europe, 13, 14, 29-31, 35; marriage of, 14, early writings of, 14, Interary use of Europe, 15, 25, 27, 28-29. 32. 55. 59. 41. 42-43. 45-44. liter. ary style and form of, 15, 17, 14, 15, \$4-35. 35-37. political and social conservation of, 16 27-28, 59-40, 45. laftuence of Bourget on, 16, 24; IA fluence of James on, 16, 22, 23, 34-35. hero" of, 16, 19-20, 22, 23, 35, 34, 36, 39, 41, 42-43, literary use of New York, 17, 18 22, 18, 50, 52-25, 55, 38, 40, 42, 43, 44, sature in work of, 17, attitude toward Americana and American society, 17, 22, 28-19, 50-\$4, \$7-48, \$9-40, 44-45, relationship with huiband, so, so, reletionship with Berry, 20-22 41, experiment in novel of reform, 11-13 ghost stories of, 23-25, divoice of, 20, friends of,

30; decorated by Poincare, 31; lapse

into slick fiction, \$5-27, literary use

of Middle West, 41, Lewis dedicares Babbitt to, 41, critical gesessment of, 44-43, death of, 45 works "After Holbern," 40-41, The Age of Innocence, 92-34, 35, 44, "All Souls," 24, "The Angel at the Grave," 15, "Autre Temps," 31-32, 18, 'Bewitched," zs: "A Botile of Perrier," 25, The Buccancers, 43-44. "The Bunner Suters," 25, The Children, 14, 41-42, Crueral Instances, 15; The Custom of the Country, 18-29. The Decoration of House, 11: The Descent of Man, 16, "The Dilettame." 15, 19, Ethan Frome, 25-26, 44. "The Eyes," sy-st, French Ways and Their Meaning, to, The Fruit of the Tree, 29-13. Chasts, 25, 44, The Chapter of the Moon, \$5-17. The Gods Arrive, 41, The Greater

Inclination, 13, The Hermit and the

Wild Woman, 23; The House of Mirth, 16-20, 21, 26, 36, 44; Hudson

River Brucketed, 42, Italian Villas

and Their Gardens, 11; "The Lady's Maids Bell," 24. "The Long Run."

21; Madama de Treymes, 22, 37; Tha

Marne, 31: "Miss Mary Fask." as, The Mother's Recompense, 34, 38-39; Old New York, 35: "The Pelican." 15. "Rengiannel Seed." 24-45: The Rengi, 37-45, 55: "The Rembrandu." 15: "Roman Fever," 24: Sanetuery, 16: A Son 31 the Front, 31: Summer, 16: Toles of Men and Chotts, 23, Twiltyth Stepp, 34, 39-40. The Vallry of Diction, 15-16, 25, Xingu, 21, 31

Wherton, Edward Robbins, 24, 20, 29 Wheelock, John Hall, 208 Whipple, T. K., 75, 79

Whitman, Wali, 56, 58, 66, 77, 190, 201, 211, 213, 221-22, 225, 224

Wild Palms, The, 142-44

Wild Years, The, 170

Williams, Williams, Carlos, 229 Williams, William Carlos, 229 Wilson, Edmund, 12, 14, 84, 85, 89, 97.

96, 105, 116, 180 Wilson, Woodrow, 163 Winner Take Nothing, 156

"Winter Dreams," 86, 109-10 Wolfe, Ben, 193, 195 Wolfe, Julia Filiabeth Westall 10

Wolfe, Julia Elizabeth Westall, 193. 196 Wolfe, Mabel, 196

Wolfe, Thomas, 8. zutobiographical basis of fiction, 5, 189, 190, 192-93.

201, 202, 209, 210, 211-12, 219, 224, 225; "discovered" by Lewis, 76, 199. pattern of contrasts in work of, 189-95, 211, 215, 225; themes in work of, 190, 215-19; Interary style of, 190-91. 202, 206, 212-13; structural problems of, 151-92, 200, 210, 224; 24 short story writer, 191, 224; influence of teachers on, 193-94; interest in theater, 194. 197, 207; roots in South, 195-96, 197, 221; birth and early years, 195-972 literary world of, 195-96; teaches at New York University, 198; affair with Mrs. Bernstein, 198, 199; relations with Perkins, 199, 200-1, 203, 209-11, 214; critical assessment of, 208-3, 208, 110, 212, 224-15; changes publishers, sos. iliness and death, so4-51 eauses of failings as writer, 211, 223-24; shill in characterization, 219-20; social criticism in work of, 200-22

works' "An Angel on the Porch." 191; Boom Town, 200, 221; "Chicka mauga," 206, "The Child by Tiger," 101, Death the Proud Brother, 200, 202, "The Four Loss Men," 202; From Droth to Morning, 189 200, 202. The Hills Beyond, 189, 192, 206-7, "The House of the Far and Lost," 200: The Letters of Thomas Wolfe. 200; Look Homeward, Angel, 76, 189, 193, 194, 196, 198, 199, 201, 208, 209, 210, 214, 210, 120, 125, "The Lost Boy," 191, 206, 217, Mannerhouse, 107, "No Door,' 100, No Door, 192. 200, "The October Fair," 200, 208, Of Time and the River, 180, 102, 107, 198, 200, 201, 203, 204, 205, 209, 210, 213, 214, 215, 217, 219, 221, "Only the Dead Know Brooklyn," 191, 202, The Party at Jack's, 192, 221, A Portrail of Balcom Hawke, 191-91, 199. The Short Novels of Thomas Welfe, 100. The Story of a Novel, 199. 102. The Web and the Rock, 180, 101, 198, 201, 105, 119, 212, 218, 219, The Web of Earth, 191, 200, 201, 215, 215. Welcome to Our City, 207; You Can't Go Home Agest, 189, 192, 195, 199, 200, 205-6, \$10, 219, 211, 212 Wolle, William Oliver, 102, 106

Woodward, C. Vann, 222 Woolf, Virginia, 9 Wordsworth, William, 115, 194, 215

World, literary, 7–8, 9 of Edith Whar-

ton, 17, 44-45; of Lewis, 52, 60, 80; of Fruikner, 118-19, 151-12, of Heningway, 187-58, of Wolfe, 195-96, of West, 162

World So Wide, 75 World War L, 55, 69, 88, 118. Edith

Whaton during, 31: Edith Whatton's hterary use of, 31: Fringerald's service during, 50: Faulkner's service during, 150: Faulkner's hierary use of, 150: Hemingway's hierary use of, 156, 157, 160, 161-63. Hemingway in, 171-72, 173

World War II, 72, 118; Lewis' son killed in, 68, Hemingway in, 166, 172-73, 179 Bonid's Fair, The, 108

INDEX

Wulhering Heights, 8, 9

Xingu, 21, 31

Yale College and University, 45, 48, 47, 48 Yale Literary Magazine, 47, 48

Yoknspaiawpha County, Miss. (fictionally, 118, 138
Fou Garif Go Home Again, 189, 192,
193, 199, 200, 205-6, 210, 219, 221, 222

Zeneth (fictional city), 54, 54, 57 Zola, Émile, 42 will, he overcame his fears, professional soldiers in World War II have testified that he seemed to them the bravest man they had ever seen.

He was a gifted, strong personality, and at times eccentric. In Across the River and into the Trees Cantwell's driver speculates that some of the colonel's eccentricities are the result of his baving that some of the colonel's eccentricities are the result of his naving been so often injured. Although this diagnosis may seem both offhand and indirect, one of the consequences of Hemingway's physical adventures was that he, like Cantwell, physically long retained the record of about as many blows at a man may take and live. Understandably he did not wish to go down in history for this fact. But there seems little or no danger of that, and a list of his major injuries is certainly impressive and possibly significant. His skull was fractured at least once, he sustained at least a dozen brain concussions, several of them serious ones: he was in three brain concusions, several of them serious ones; he was in three bad automobile accidents; and a few years ago in the Aftican jungle he was in two aliphane accidents in the space of two days, during which time he suffered severe internal injuries, "jammed" his spine, and received a concusion to volent that his speight was impaired for some time. (It was on this occasion that quite a few newspapers printed obstuares, which he read, after his recovery, with great pleasure; the notices were favorable), in warfare alone he was shot through nine parts of the body, and sustained tis head wounds When he was blown up in Italy at the age of cighteen, and was left, for a time, for dead, the doctors removed all of the way stoff the greater which had prepared him removed all of the \$37 steel fragments which had penetrated him that they could get at.

that they could get at.

Some amount of such gossip is relevant to any discussion of
Hemingway's work if only beckuse it confirms and informs the
picture of him which the work has given us. Our view of that
work is in turn informed and confirmed by modern psychology,
which offers an account of how many of the things to be found in
Hemingway come to be there. This is no place to go into the
niceties and vagaries of contemporary psychoanalytic theory
much of it post-Freudian, but it is perhaps not out of place to
remark that such theory does give an explanation of the pre-